



Wilson Philological Lectures

ON

SANSKRIT AND THE DERIVED LANGUAGES DELIVERED IN 1877

BY

Sir R. G. BHANDARKAR, K.C.I.E., LL.D., Ph. D.,

Member of the French Institute, &c., &c.

CARRIED THROUGH THE PRESS

BY

SHRIDHAR R. BHANDARKAR, M.A.,

Professor of Sanskrit, Elphinstone College.

BOMBAY:

Mrs. RADHABAI ATMARAM SAGOON.

1914,

(All rights reserved.)

Price Rs. 2-8.



Printed by G. N. Kulkarni at the Karnatak Press, No. 7, Girgaon Back Road, Bombay

Published by Mrs. Radhabai Atmaram Sagoon, Kalbadevi, Bombay.



PREFACE.

I was appointed Wilson Philological Lecturer in 1877 and was thus the first lecturer under the Endowment. My subject was the Sanskrit and the Prakrit Languages derived from it. I understood the word Prakrit in a comprehensive sense, so as to include modern Vernaculars of Northern India also: and thus delivered a course of seven lectures on Sanskrit in its several forms, the Pâli and the Dialects of the period, the Prâkrits and the Apabhrams'a, Phonology of the Vernaculars, Remnants of the older Grammatical Forms in the Vernaculars, New Grammatical Formations to supply the place of the forms that had disappeared and General Questions as to the relation between these several languages. The method I followed is strictly historical, tracing the modern vernaculars from the original Sanskrit through all the different stages of development of which we have evidence and assigning the different transformations to their causes, natural or physical, racial, and historical. These lectures, with the exception of the fifth and the sixth, were thoroughly revised afterwards and the first two and the seventh were published in Vol. XVI of the Journal, B. B. R. A. S., between the years 1883 and 1885; while the third and the fourth were published between 1887 and 1889. The other two lectures remained in manuscript for a long time, until I finished in 1911 my book on "Vaisnavism, Saivism etc." which was written for the series of Encyclopedia of Indo-Aryan Research, which is being published at Strassburg by Karl J. Trübner. These have now been revised and I publish them for the first time along with a reprint of the other five lectures in the present volume. The delay in the publication has been due to my having had to attend to more urgent work, such as the preparation of a translation of the Vâyu Purâna for the "Sacred Books of the East," which had afterwards to be given up, the preparation of my Early History of the Dekkan and the search for Sanskrit Manuscripts and writing my several reports on them.

As, since 1908, my eye-sight has been greatly impaired and I am unable to read matter printed in ordinary type or an ordi-

nary manuscript, I have had to depend on Readers and Amanuenses. The work on "Vaisnavism, Saivism, etc." was written under these conditions, but the publication of the present volume was undertaken by my eldest son, Professor Shridhar Ramkrishna Bhandarkar, who has been associated with me in a good deal of my literary work. The lectures were all carried through the press by him at the sacrifice of a good deal of time and trouble.

I regret to find some misprints in the present volume, but one-half of them occur in the fifth and the sixth lectures, which had to be set up from manuscript. There are comparatively few instances in the reprints of the other five lectures.

Sangam, Poona, 22nd June 1914.

R. G. BHANDARKAR.

ABBREVIATIONS.

Abl.=Ablative.
Absol.=Absolutive.
Acc.=Accusative.

Ait.=Aitareya.

Ap., Apabhr., Apbhr., Apbr. = Apabhramśa.

Ath. Pr. = Atharvah-Prâtiśâkhya.

 $\hat{\Lambda}$ tın.= $\hat{\Lambda}$ tmanepada.

B.=Bangâlî.

Brâhm. = Brâhmaṇa. Chit. = Chitpâvnî.

Corp. Insc. Ind. = Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum.

Dat. = Dative.
Dh. = Dhauli.
Dial. = Dialect.
Fem. = Feminine.
G., Guj. = Gujarâtî.
Gen. = Genitive.
Goan. = Goanese.
H. = Hindî.

Imper. = Imperative.
Ind. St. = Indische Studien.
Instr. = Instrumental.

Intr.=Intransitive.
Jñân.=Jñâneśvarî.
Karh.=Karhâdâ.
Kh.=Khalsi.
Loc.=Locative.

M., Mar.=Marâțhî.

Mah., Mahr.=Mahârâshţrî.

Mâl.=Mâlvanî.
Masc.=Masculine.

Mod.=Modern.
Neut.=Neuter.

Nom. = Nominative.

O.=Oriyâ.
Obl.=Oblique.
P.=Panjâbî.
Pân.=Pânini.

Parasm.=Parasmaipada.

Part.=Participle.
Per., Pers.=Person.

Pl.=Plural.
Pot.=Potential.

P. p. p. = Past Passive Participle.

Pr.=Prâkrit. Pres.=Present.

Pûrva.=Pûrvapakshin.

Rv.=Rigveda. S.=Sindhî.

Samh.=Samhitâ. Śat.=Śatapatha. Śaur.=Śaurasenî. Sib.=Siddhântin. Sing.=Singular.

Sing.=Singular.
Sk.=Sanskrit.
St.=Standard.

Tad.=Tadbhava.
Tait.=Taittiriya.
Term.=Termination.

Tr.=Transitive.
Tulasi.=Tulasidâs.

Vâj. Pr.=Vâjasaneyi Prâtiśâkhya,

Voc.=Vocative.

Errata.

Page.	Line.	Incorrect.	Correct.
18	2 from bottom	अंतिक्रामामः	अतिक्रामामः
32	7 from bottom	बुा त्त	दु त्ता
69	14	तनाप	मनाप
74	2 from bottom	नह नख	नह or नख
136	19	कुच्छा	कुच्छी
,,	23	ඉර්	ক্সত
139	2	til.	tîl.
145	4 from bottom	पुत्तवह	पुत्तवहू
148	21	м.	н.
151	10	पतोङ्	पतोद्व
153	17	बहुक्खा	ब हुक्खा
12	18	बभुक्षा	ह भुक्षा
157	7 from bottom	नइ or ,	नइ or नय,
170	6	संकोक	संकोच
174	15	शामलक	इयामलक
195	4	वर	वत्स
207	6	हो	हों
209	11	आद्यचिया काजाचे निपाडें	आम्रुचिया काजाचेनि पाडें
213	10	G	О
27	13	करा कसेत	करो करोत
214	17	अवघ	अवध
22 3	4.	ज्ञानोदर्यी	ज्ञानोद्यीं

Page.	Line.	Incorrect.	Correct.
226	13 from bottom	कारण्यें	कारुव्यें After"performed" add "where there are
" 2 30	19	me, and by	the six philosophies" me, by
233	12 from bottom	Me.	М.
237.	18	माणसाने	माणसोनें
210	1	of	Olı
242	2	इ	chox
,,	18	ξ	₹
"	19	· !!«	g or §
" 246	10	एखावे, सेखावे	एखार्ने, सेखार्ने
250	19	obl.	abl.
253	8	उन्हाळंनि तापे	उन्हाळेनि जो न तापे
,,	10	वासि ग्रं	वासि पे
258	12 from bottom	माहिक है	माहि कहै
260	10	Râmayan	Râmainî
,,	14 from bottom	क	कअ
276	12	ध्	छ्
285	14 from bottom	Barùs	Barús
-	1		

LECTURE I.

General Laws guiding the Development of Language; the different Stages in the Development of Sanskrit.

The occasion that brings us here together to-day is the first of its kind in the history of this University. Hitherto the University of Bombay has been merely an Examining Board. But this day witnesses the beginning of an attempt to discharge another and a more important function of such an institution. The main idea of a University is that it is a body of men devoted to learning, and engaged in acquiring knowledge and disseminating it. A country that has no such bodies of men occupies but a low position in the intellectual scale of the world, and contributes little to the general advancement of mankind in knowledge. But a devotion to learning implies sacrifice of worldly interests, and the poverty of learned men has become proverbial. To enable persons, therefore, to apply themselves to the pursuit of knowledge with undivided attention, there exist in connection with such institutions certain appointments tenable for life called professorships or fellowships, endowed by the enlightened liberality of private individuals or of the government of the country.

India and the Bombay University are no exception to the general rule. In other times learning flourished in the country under the fostering care of its innumerable princes and chiefs, as well as rich merchants. Though in the words of the poet, Śrî and Sarasvatî are, in a sense, constantly hostile to each other, it is Śrî alone at all times and in all countries that can support Sarasvatî, and enable her to live and grow. Hence it is impossible that the Bombay University should rise to the dignity of a seat of learning without such a provision as is found necessary in more advanced countries. India cannot take her place among the intellectual nations of the West, and compete with them in the advancement of knowledge unless her Universities discharge their proper function, unless we have professorships in connection with them for the cultivation of science, philosophy, history and philology.

But we may be told that an Indian does not care for knowledge for its own sake, and it is only as a means of worldly advancement that he enters the University at all, and, as soon as he takes his degree, flings away his books, and no more troubles himself with the several branches of knowledge to which he was introduced while within the walls of his college. The reproach is true, as well as untrue. It is true if it is meant that since the first school or college was opened by our Government we have had very few or none among us who have devoted themselves to the pursuit of knowledge. But if what it is intended to assert is that a love of knowledge or learning and an unselfish devotion to it are foreign to the nature of a Hindu, it is altogether untrue. Those ponderous tomes which adorn the library of the Sanskrit student and those innumerable pustakas in the Sarasyati Bhandaras scattered throughout the country tell a different tale. But in this, as in other matters, the circumstances in which our wirtnes have grown up and are exercised are not the circumstances in which we are expected to display them, and fail to do so. Love of learning is ingrained in the very nature of the Hindu, but the legitimate object of this feeling is Sanskrit literature. A knowledge of English and of English literature and philosophy is, in the first instance, sought for only for its practical benefits. A Hindu would not send his son to an English School if he could help it. A very powerful motive for the pursuit of learning, the respect of the society in which one lives, is also wanting in the case of the so-called educated Indian. A Shastri or Pandit is esteemed and treated with respect and consideration by his countrymen; the Englishknowing Indian may be feared if he holds some Government appointment, but if none, he enjoys no consideration. The very word vidvin or 'learned' is grudged him by the orthodox Hindu. In one branch of learning, however, viz. Sanskrit, an English-knowing Indian may meet with appreciation and esteem at the hands of the learned in Europe. That is a subject in which he may successfully compete with his European brother, and in which he has peculiar advantages which the latter does not possess. Among his own countrymen he will find sympathy only if he has studied Sanskrit exactly in the old way, but even in this case his heterodoxy, which is the result of his English education, would stand in the way. But there are indications that a more sympathising and appreciating body of men is growing about us, and the circle will go on widening as education advances. In this, as in other matters, there are hopes that our countrymen will, in the course of time, chiefly through the agency of Government education, adapt themselves to their altered circumstances; and the Hindu's inherent love of learning will gradually extend and engraft itself on the branches of knowledge to which he has been newly introduced by the European.

But encouragement and support are essentially needed; and, taught by our ancient tradition, we naturally look up for these, in the first instance, to our Government. Hitherto it has confined its endeavours to the education of its subjects, a thing which was never before done by any Indian prince, and for which it has the strongest claims on the gratitude of the Indians. But what Indian princes have all along done. riz., the extension of support and patronage to men of learning, and thus enabling them to prosecute their studies, has not yet attracted the attention of our Government, probably because they thought the time had not come for it. Next, it is the duty of those of our countrymen. who enjoy princely fortunes, to encourage the growth and advancement of learning among their countrymen. Now the best and most effectual way, in which learning can thus be encouraged and patronized by all who have the means, is by founding University professorships to be held for life. A beginning, however, has been made, and for it we are indebted to the friends of the late Rev. Dr. Wilson, and to the good old Doctor himself. For, when his friends raised up the sum and expressed to him their intention to present it to him, he declined to receive it unconditionally, and, contenting himself with only a life interest in it, proposed that they should hand it over to the University. and found a philological lectureship. It is in virtue of the advice he thus gave that we meet here to-day. I only regret that the Syndicate was not able to secure the services of an abler man, and that the duty of inaugurating the Wilson Lectureship, and, I may say, the new phase on which the University is entering, has devolved on me.

The subject on which the lectures are to be delivered this year is the Sanskrit, and the Prâkrit languages derived from it. (Sanskrit is the language of the religion, law. philosophy, and poetry of the Hindus; and all their ancient and most revered books on these subjects are written in that language. Even at the present day the language we use in our daily prayers and in the performance of our various religious rites is Sanskrit; learned men carry on their disputations in Sanskrit, and now and then we meet with new poems written in that language. Prâkrit is a general name given to certain dialects in which we find some old books written; and in ordinary Hindu usage the term is extended to the vernaculars we speak. Marâthî, Gujarâtî, and the various other idioms spoken in Northern India are Prâkrits.) The wording of the subject as laid down in the calendar implies that Sanskrit is the more primitive of these, and that the Prâkrits are derived from it. Our business, therefore, is to trace the history of

Sanskrit, to observe how from the very earliest form in which it is presented to us in the existing literature of the language, it gradually developed or was corrupted into the modern vernaculars. (The Sanskrit which we know of as such is not now the spoken language of any part of India or of the world. It is simply preserved in books, and is used by the learned. But if the Marâthî, Gujarâtî, and the rest show unmistakable signs of having been derived from this language, it must have been at one time spoken generally by our ancestors; and in being handed down from generation to generation it has undergone various modifications and changes, until we have now come to speak it as we do.) But of this more hereafter.

Undia may justly claim to be the original home of scientific philology. In one of the most ancient Sanskrit books, the Samhità of the Black Yajurveda, there are distinct indications of the dawn of linguistic study. (The Brahmanas of the Vedas, which rank next to the Samhitas, and even the Taittiriya Samhita itself, the composition of which differs in no particular from its Brahmana, are full of etymological explanations of words, though often they are fanciful.2. One Achârya followed another, and they all carefully observed the facts of their language, and laid down the laws they could discover. They studied and compared the significations and forms of words, observed what was common to them, separated the constant element from that which was variable, noticed the several changes that words undergo in different circumstances, and by such a process of philological analysis completed a system of grammar and etymology. In the Nirukta, Yaska, whose exact date we do not know, but who must have flourished several centuries before Christ, lays down correct principles of the derivation of words. The last of the grammarian Achâryas were Pâṇini, Kâtyâyana, and Patañjali. The Prâkrit dialects which

^{1.} वाग्वे पराच्यव्याकृतावदत्ते देवा इन्द्रमञ्जवित्रमां नो वाचं व्याकुर्विति सोऽ व्रवीद्ररं वृणे मह्यं चैवेष वायवे च सह गृह्याता इति तस्मादेन्द्रवायवः सह गृह्यते तामिन्द्रो मध्यतोऽवकम्य व्याकरोत्तस्मादियं व्याकृता वागुद्यते. Speech was once inarticulate and undistinguished (into its parts). Then the gods said to Indra, 'Distinguish our speech into parts.' He said, 'I will ask a gift of you, let Soma be poured into one cup for me and Vâyu together.' Hence Soma is poured into one cup for Indra and Vâyu together. Then Indra going into its inidst distinguished it. Hence distinct speech is now spoken. Tait. Samh., VI. 4, 7.

^{2.} The Ait. Brahm. gives the etymology of प्रेप (III.9), of माउप (III.23), of जाया (VII.13); the Tait. Samh., of रूद्ध (I. 5. 1). of वृत्र (II. 4, 1, and II. 5, 2); the Tait. Brahm., of अप (I. 1, 5), of नक्षत्र (II. 7, 18), &c., &c.

sprang from Sanskrit were next made the subject of observation and analysis. The laws of phonetic change or decay in accordance with which Sanskrit words become Pråkrit were discovered and laid down. The Sanskrit and non-Sanskrit elements in those languages were distinguished from each other. This branch of philology also was worked up by a number of men, though the writings of one or two only have come down to us.

In this condition Sanskrit philology passed into the hands of Europeans. The discovery of Sanskrit and the Indian grammatical system at the close of the last century led to a total revolution in the philological ideas of Europeans. Before this time there was hardly a scientific treatment of linguistic facts, etymological analysis was scarcely known, and philological speculation had not emancipated itself from the trammels of religion. The Jews were the chosen people of God, and hence their language, the Hebrew, was the most original of all languages, and all others were derived from it. This was the belief of European scholars, as that of the orthodox Pandit at the present day is that Sanskrit is the primitive language, and all others are corruptions of it; though, however, the Pandit has an excuse in the fact that the languages he generally hears spoken about him have really sprung from Sanskrit.\(\) But several circumstances had about this time prepared Europe for independent thought in philology, and Sanskrit supplied the principles upon which it should be conducted and determined the current in which it should run. (The languages of Europe, ancient and modern, were compared with Sanskrit and This led to comparative philology and the with each other classification of languages, and a comparison of the words and forms in the different languages led scholars into the secrets of the growth of human speech, and the science of language was added to the list of existing branches of knowledge. The progress made within about fifty years is marvellous, and affords a striking instance of the intellectual activity of the Europeans. In the cultivation of philology and the elaboration of this new science the Germans, of all other nations, have been most prominent, and have done by far the greater portion of the work. The more limited field of Prakrit philology with which we are here concerned has not been left uncultivated. Pâli, the earliest of the Prâkrit dialects, is almost as much studied in Europe as Sanskrit. We have editions of Pâli texts by Turnour, a

Ceylon Civilian, and a Danish scholar. Fausböll; and of a Native Grammar by Kachchâyana, by M. Senart, a Frenchman; an original treatise on this subject by Clough, and a very useful Dictionary by the late lamented Professor Childers. I The derivation of the language from Sanskrit forms the subject of an essay by the great French scholar, M. Burnouf, and the equally great German scholar, Professor Lassen A There are notices of the Prâkrit dialects in one of Colebrooke's essays and in the preface to Professor Wilson's Hindu Theatre. Lassen also wrote a book entitled Institutiones Lingua Pracratica. based upon the grammatical works of native writers, and upon the Prâkrit passages occurring in the Sanskrit dramatic plays. Professor Weber, whose industry and comprehensive grasp are admirable, has published an elaborate analysis of the language and contents of a Jaina religious work in Prâkrit entitled the Bhagavati, and of the language of a collection of Prâkrit songs by Hâla, together with an edition and translation of the work. Dr. Cowell has brought out a nice edition of the Prakrita-Prakas'a by Vararuchi; and Dr. Muir, whose works are so valuable to the student, devotes a large portion of his second volume of the "Sanskrit Texts" to Prâkrit philology, in which he gives his own views and a summary of those of other scholars. In Ceylon, Mr. D'Alwis has published a work on the Pâli, and in India an edition of the Prâkrit Grammar of Kramadis'vara has been published in the Bibliotheca Indica, and of that of Hemachandra in Bombay by a Jaina priest with the assistance of a Sastri's. modern vernaculars have not yet succeeded in attracting the attention of the learned in Europe. Essays on some points of vernacular philology by Professor Hoernle, of Jayanarayan's College, Benares, have appeared in the Journal of the Bengal Asiatic Society. But the most important work on this subject that has yet appeared is Mr. Beames's Comparative Grammar of the Modern Aryan Languages. Two volumes have been published, and the third and last is expected. Mr. Beames has brought his subject down to the pronoun; and the forthcoming volume will treat of the verb. Dr. Trump in his valuable Grammar of the Sindhi language compares the grammatical forms

^{3.} This lecture was written in 1877 and revised in 1878. It will be necessary for the reader to remember this in going over this short sketch. Many and that Pâli Text Society.

^{4.} Since this was written Dr. Pischel's edition of Hemachandra's Prakrit Grammar and his elaborate contribution to the Grundriss der Arischen Philologie have been published, besides several other minor works.

^{5.} Since published.

existing in the several vernaculars, and recent grammarians of Gujarâtî and Marâţlıî have made attempts in some cases to trace the grammar of these languages from the Sanskrit through the Prâkrit. A Gujarâtî Sâstrî, named Vrajalâl, has written a useful little tract on the history of his vernacular. But upon the whole, it must be acknowledged that vernacular philology is still in a state of infancy, and a great deal of what has been written is unsatisfactory.

Before I proceed to the subject in hand, it is necessary that I should trace some of the laws of the development and growth of language, as I shall have occasion to refer to them frequently in the course of these lectures. Suppose a person wishes to express the idea in a village, and the only means at his command are the roots and independent words in a language such as the Sanskrit. Now the word which expresses a village is **un**, and another which signifies the interior is wer. By joining the two together in such an expression as many he conveys the idea the interior of, or in, a village. Now this word new is pronounced use or us by persons who have either not been taught to pronounce it properly or are not sufficiently careful to do so. This again is reduced to HIN or HIN and thence to HIE or HE, and ultimately to मा or मे. In this manner ग्राममध्य becomes ग्राममा or ग्राममे, which, as you know, are the forms of the Gujarâtî, Sindhî, and Hindî locative. In this condition its origin is forgotten by the speakers, and becomes simply a termination signifying in the interior. Again, if a man wants to speak of one who makes a pot and has not got a word to express the idea, he naturally puts together the words 374 pot and 315 maker, and uses the compound grant. After a time, by faulty pronunciation. the a goes out and the word is reduced to arrive. In the same way, as before, the persons who use the word graft have no consciousness that they are putting together two words, one of which means a pot and the other maker; but the syllable sar becomes with them a simple termination which signifies the doer of the thing expressed by the base. We thus see that these two very general terminations were originally independent words, and that in the course of time they lost that character. If you examine those forms which constitute the declensions and conjugations of any language you may happen to know you will find that these also have originated in the same way. When, our remote ancestors wished to say I now do, they put together & do, a

^{6.} Hoerule's Comparative Grammar of the Gaudian Languages, Kellogg's Hindi Grammar, Grierson's Linguistic Survey of India have, among others, been published since then.

now, and H I, and said maH, afterwards changed for the sake of euphony to कणोमि. In the same way कणोति means do now he कणतम do now he (and) thou, H being a corruption of H a pronoun signifying thou, and soile do now thou. Or they expressed present time by using a pronoun equivalent to here or this and said and know here he, the st being the same as that we find in the forms अनेन, अस्मे, अस्मात, &c. and signifying something that is near. In this manner we are able to trace the original signification of a good many of these forms, but since after their invention, they are more or less corrupted, it becomes a difficult matter to do so in all Still, we know enough to be able to lay it down as certain that this is the mode in which all our grammatical forms have originated. (When a language is in that condition in which grammatical relation is expressed by such compounds consciously formed, and both parts of the compound can be used independently, it is said to be in the analytic stage.) The change of मध्य to मा or मे, or of कार to आर. or of तसि to तस or त: is what is called phonetic decay. Though this is usually spoken of in censure as corruption, it is the means by which a language leaves the analytic stage and develops. - The words forming the compound grow together, both being altered in the process, and the original sense of the latter is forgotten. It is this circumstance that renders phonetic change possible, for, if those who speak the language always used the two words in the compound with a conscious desire to express their joint sense, such a corruption would not take place. Phonetic decay reduces the latter portion to a mere termination, and thus a language arrives at what is called the synthetic stage. All the dead Aryan languages, and most of the living ones also, are in the synthetic condition, while the Chinese is said to be in the analytic stage.

Phonetic decay or corruption is a process that is constantly going on in a living language. At some stages of its history it is very rapid and at others slow. It sometimes wholly transforms words. Its causes are deeply ingrained in human nature itself. Language is not the end and aim of human life; it is simply an instrument of communicating thought, and the matter of thought is always more important than the expression. The utterance of a word implies an effort. We have to force the breath through the windpipe, check or compress it at different points in its passage through the mouth, and then let it off. The glottis or the opening of the windpipe, and the tongue and the various parts of the mouth are our organs of speech, and an innumerable variety of sounds may be produced by their means. In pronouncing certain letters the glottis must be stretched or expanded,

while in the case of others it is contracted, which is its more natural condition. The breath which comes out when it is expanded is called s'râsa or simple unintonated breath by the old Indian Philologists, but when the glottis is contracted and the breath is intonated by the vibration of the vocal chords, it becomes what is called mida, i. e., tone or intonated breath. Simple breath is the material cause of the hard consonants, and the intonated breath of the soft, and of the vowels. When nother is forced out and allowed to pass away without being obstructed we pronounce vowels, and when both s'visa and mida are obstructed at different parts of the mouth, the sounds that are formed are consonantal. Hence a consonant cannot be pronounced by itself; it is only when the touching; organs are separated and the air allowed to pass through any one of the vowel positions, that the sound is produced. intonated breath is let off through the rounded lips, it forms 3, and with the lower lip a little further off, si; while if the lips completely touch each other, and the breath is thus obstructed in its passage, we have a which, with a greater force of respiration becomes u, and when it is partly sent into the nose, it assumes the form of **\mathbb{\mathbb{\eta}}**. If the s'risa is in the same condition as naida when it forms a and u we have q and \(\varphi\). When these two kinds of breath are shut up by the tip of the tongue forming a complete contact with the root of the upper teeth, we have \(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}, \text{ and } \mathbf{q}. \text{ When the contact is effected higher up and the forepart of the tongue is made into a curve, we have द्. दू. इ. दू. and ण्. If the middle of the tongue is brought near the palate, mida passing through the intervening space forms the vowel 5; and when the tongue is a little further off and the mouth more open, we have \mathbf{v} ; while if there is complete contact, the two kinds of breath give us च, छ, ज, झ, and भ as before. When the root of the tongue touches the lower skirt of the palate, we have similarly a, a, u, u, and इ. If the intonated breath is allowed to pass away when the mouth is in its natural position with the lips open we have the vowel or, and with greater force of breath, the aspirate g. The vowel portion of a and a differs from a in the whole lower part of the mouth being brought closer to the upper in pronouncing it, while in pronouncing of the mouth are more apart; so that the vowel sound involved in * is the closest, आ, the most open. The diphthongs रे and ओ are अ+इ and 37+3 pronounced hastily. In pronouncing the semi-vowels the organs are brought very close to each other, but do not form a complete

contact; so that the breath is compressed and not shut up. Still, like the mutes, they cannot be pronounced without separating the organs. We pronounce \(\text{\text{\text{q}}} \) when we bring the two lips and the ends of the upper teeth into close proximity, and it thus corresponds to the vowel \(\text{\text{\text{\text{q}}}} \) for \(\text{\text{\text{q}}} \) the position is the same as that for \(\text{\text{\text{\text{q}}}} \) the proximity only being greater; while \(\text{\text{\text{\text{q}}}} \) is formed a little above the dental position by emitting the breath by the sides of the tip of the tongue, and \(\text{\text{\text{q}}} \) a little below the cerebral. The sibilants \(\text{\text{\text{q}}} \), \(\text{\text{\text{q}}} \) and \(\text{\text{\text{q}}} \) are continuous sounds made by letting off the \(\frac{s'visa}{s'visa} \) or simple breath with the same force as in the case of the hard aspirates and through the space between the middle of the tongue and the palatal, cerebral, and dental or dento-palatal positions brought as near to each other as in pronouncing vowels.

You will thus see how minute and laborious these operations are. The difficulty is increased when we have to pronounce two or more consonants together. We have first to put the organs of speech into one position, and immediately afterwards into another, without leaving the first. Accurate pronunciation therefore requires great effort, and since, according to an observation of Locke, labour for labour's sake is against nature, the tendency always exists of making as little effort as is consistent with the necessity of making oneself understood. Hence He is transformed to Hz i. .. only the quantity of breath necessary to pronounce Tax is let off, and the effort of forming a complete and close contact is saved; कदलकम् become कअलअम, and thence केळ् and केळ. where also you will see that the contact is avoided; and becomes acq, in which case we find that the effort involved in the change from one position of the vocal organs to another is economized, though the pressure which the organs exert upon each other in the pronunciation of a conjunct continues the same, i. e. we have a conjunct as before, but the two members are assimi-This is further changed to sqq in which the pressure is avoided, but the shortening of the time which this would involve is obviated by lengthening the preceding vowel. This tendency to phonetic decay is so powerful that if not counteracted it would in the short space of a few generations render a language entirely unintelligible to its former speakers. Children, in their first essays at speech, often mispronounce words, and if they were left to themselves, the language of a country would undergo very great transformation in a short time. But at home and in schools they are taught to speak the language of their parents correctly, and this result is averted. Education, therefore, is an agency which arrests the progress of decay.

check is afforded by the necessity of making oneself intelligible. man is careless about his language he will not be understood. He has therefore necessarily to endeavour to speak as other people do. feeling of society is also arrayed against the corruption of a language. The speech which does not approach the received standard is branded as vulgar. The tendency to corruption is greatly diminished when a language comes to have a literature. Some races are very careful about their speech, and preserve it in a comparatively pure condition; there are others which corrupt it greatly. But even in the case of the former, accidents in its history may transform the idiom considerably. If one race comes in contact with another, and gives it its language, it is sure to be greatly corrupted. The alien race cannot catch the pronunciation properly, or its vocal organs may be naturally so constituted or its previous vocal habits may be of such a nature as to render the sounds of the language difficult or impossible to be imitated. If there were no schools and colleges for teaching English to us, we should corrupt the language of our rulers so greatly as to render ourselves perfectly unintelligible to them. The native sentry's challenge FAH SI for "who comes there" would be a puzzle to the scientific philologist.

The grammatical terminations which are oftener used than any particular words suffer the most by this process of decay, and after a time they are confused with each other or dropped away. language is reduced to this condition, it has to fall back upon the expedient with which it started, and tack on certain words or particles to its nouns and verbs to express the relations which the old terminations denoted, and thus the analytic state returns. But the old process goes on again, and these words in their turn assume the character of terminations. Sometimes along with a case-form there exists in the language another expression conveying the same sense. When the former goes out of use the latter takes its place, or sometimes the latter drives out the former. The modern vernaculars have had to resort to this process of reconstruction in a great measure, and. as in the older languages, it has already become difficult in several cases to trace the origin of the forms. For instance, in Sanskrit the termination which expresses future time is स्य, and when it is applied to the root at to give, we have attach he will give. This ta is very likely a compound of the root set to be and at to go, so that the contrivance the early Aryans had recourse to to express futurity, was to attach to a root two others expressive of going to be; दास्यति therefore

originally meant he goes to be giving, i.e. he does not give, but will give since he goes to do it. Now this quality in the derived dialects becomes first quality, then quality, quality, quality, quality quality quality. Phonetic corruption has thus reduced this form to quality or \$\frac{2}{3}\$, and that for the present tense I give has also assumed the same appearance. There is thus no distinction between them; wherefore to express future time our languages have had recourse to a variety of expedients which I shall endeavour to explain in their proper place. The Hindi, however, has hit upon the same that was used by our early ancestors, and distinguished futurity by adding to this shrunken form the past participle of nq to go, which is not the future, signifies literally, gone that he (may) give.

Another phenomenon constantly observable in the history of the growth of a language is the use of false analogies. Thus in Sanskrit the root & to hear takes the augment 3 in the Present and three other tenses or moods, and the Sanskrit of he hears is pulla. Now in the course of time people forgot that this termination was used in these tenses alone, and extended it to the Future, the past participle. and other derivatives, so that in the Pâli and the Prakrits the root itself became सण=ज्ञण instead of श्रु. This root has descended to most of the modern dialects in this same form. In the same way की to buy became किण, ज्ञा to know, जाण, बुण् to know, बुज्झ and so on. All these exist in the vernaculars so augmented. In the same way, though & is conjugated in Sanskrit by adding & to the base according to the rule of the eighth conjugation, and forms atila, maira &c., in Prakrit it is conjugated according to the rule of the first or the tenth which are generalised, and has ats or ats. This arises from the same sort of mistake that children commit, when, for instance, from the analogy of धरलें from धर, पहलें from पड &c., they use करलें from कर, though the correct Marâthî form is केडें and you may remember such expressions as "I knowed" and "you was" that Dickens puts into the mouth of his lower characters. The Pâli and the Prâkrits are full of such formations. This law may be called the law of false analogies or of the generalisation of grammatical forms, or formal generalisation, and the effect of it is to do away with anomalies and introduce simplicity in a language. It springs from the same causes as phonetic decay, viz. the economization of effort, and its operation is restricted also by the same causes as those which arrest the progress of phonetic corruption.

Hitherto we have been considering the growth or decay of the grammar of a language. But the names of objects constitute a very important part of human speech. These are not arbitrary or simply conventional. The possibility of mere conventional names can exist only under a supposition of men meeting and agreeing that they should call such a thing by such a name. But this implies a condition of high social and political development, which in an early state of society does not exist, and, in the absence of language, cannot exist. The process is very artificial, and would hardly be worthy of the intellectual nature of man; and though even in a high state of civilisation a language grows, and new names spring up, this is not the way in which they do so. The names of objects are always expressive of some attribute possessed by those objects. Teal the earth is that which is broad, भारत the sun, that which shines, उदन्त्रत the sea, that which has water, fig father, one who protects, get daughter, one who milks cows. But you will see that these names are not logical definitions, for they have the defect of ativ y i p ti, i. i. are too wide. It is not the earth alone that is broad, nor the sun alone that shines, nor the sea alone that has water. But still these things possess these attributes pre-eminently, and hence the terms become restricted to them. What is general is t thus rendered particular, and the sense of words is, so to say, specialized. This process goes on continually in a living language, not only in the invention of new names, but often it works in a manner to narrow the sense of existing words. Thus, again etymologically signifies 'a feeling,' 'a sensation,' thence it is restricted in Sanskrit to a particular sensation, that of severe pain; but the pre-eminent pain is that experienced in child birth, hence an the Prakritised form of the word signifies in Marathi that special pain. So, मिंगी in Sanskrit denotes 'a female that is pregnant, but my the Marathi form of the word is restricted to lower animals; any in Sanskrit means 'heat' generally, but in Marathi, and in Gujarati in the form of ताव. it signifies a particular kind of heat, riz. 'fever' though the word has not lost its general sense; Equ denotes originally 'the heart,' in modern Marathi in the form of हिट्या it signifies 'courage,' which is a quality of the heart, though in old Marâțhi it preserves its original sense; que in Sanskrit means 'a ball' generally, but in Panjabî and Gujaratî in the form of que it is restricted to this ball of flesh and bones, 'the body'; चेटक in Sanskrit signifies 'an attendant', but in the form of चेला it denotes in the Hindi 'a pupil or disciple', who, according to Indian custom, is his master's close attendant, and in Bangâlî and the Goanese Marâthî, in

the forms of चेले and चलो 'a boy' or son, who is constantly about his parents. Opposed to this is a process which may be called generalisation of the sense. Thus the root nay originally signified 'looking or searching for a cow'; it was then extended to any kind of 'searching', and lastly in the form of nacroit it signifies in Marathi 'to find'; 3-3 originally the lord of the gods, is in Sanskrit used to signify 'the lord' of any class of beings, such expressions as Hinder, nines &c. being every common) and a notable example of this process is afforded by the word ting which in Sanskrit means 'a king', but, in the forms of TI or TIA, is in the modern languages used as a title of distinction, and in Marâthî, any respectable gentleman not following the priestly occupation, and not a man of learning may be called a rav. Sometimes, when by means of phonetic corruption a word is reduced to two forms, each of the two acquires a distinct sense. Thus, Sanskrit ag is in the modern languages corrupted to ag II., ac S.. as B., and in this form it means 'an old man.' It is also corrupted to asi H., asi S., as B., asi G., and ses Goan. M. in which form it signifies 'great,' 'large,' or 'more advanced in age'. In this last sense, the termination $\overline{\sigma}$ or $\overline{\epsilon}$ is appended to it (M. as $\overline{\sigma}$, S. as $\overline{\epsilon}$). The Sanskrit word and becomes gon in the sense of a festival,' in Pali and the Prakrits, but got in the sense of 'a moment. In Marathi zor is further transformed to HU, and HU in the form of HU also occurs in the old literature and in one of its dialects. The Sanskrit 33338 in the form of उज्जळ M., उज्जळ H., उज्जळ S., उज्जळ G., उज्जळ P. means bright, clear, pure, but in the form of sais M., H., G., P., S., B., it means desolate or waste. This sense it acquired by the first process explained above, since a desolate land is cleared, purified of its tenants or appurtenances. सूजा means in Marâthi a dwarf and कवडा humpbacked. This last word exists in the other languages also, and both are derived from the Sanskrit कुटन.

The last phenomenon in the growth of a language which I shall notice is the gradual disappearance of words. As men advance in knowledge and civilisation new ideas and modes of thinking arise, and the old ones fall into disuse. And since words are the representatives of ideas, new words always come in, and the old go out. The changes that the Marâthî language, for instance, has undergone in this respect since the time of Jñânes'vara, or the Hindî since the time of Chanda, render the older books unintelligible to modern readers. There are also various other causes. Sometimes, when another language rises into importance on account of political circumstances, or when it is

admired and esteemed by a nation, as Sanskrit is by Hindus, a great many words are borrowed from it, and necessarily the older ones go out to make room for them, or disappear because they are regarded as vulgar. The opening of an intercourse with a foreign nation brings about the same result. These causes account in a great measure for the fact that so many words in our languages have become obsolete. Jñânes'vara's Prâkrit पसाय had to make room for the Sanskrit प्रसाद. गहिर for गंभीर and नाइ for नाथ; and the word जमीन has well-nigh supplanted the old भुई, as हुकुम has done आजा which itself must once have driven out such a word as आणा or आयस. the Prâkrit forms of आजा and आहेग

The languages of the civilised nations of the world have been divided into three families, the Arvan or Indo-European, the Semitic. and the Turanian. The first comprises the Indian branch, consisting of Sanskrit, Pâli and the Prâkrits, and the modern Vernaculars of Northern India and of Ceylon; the Iranic branch consisting of Zend, the sacred language of the Parsis, the Pehlevi and the other cognate dialects; 3the Hellenic or the Greek branch, comprising the languages of Ancient Greece and its modern representatives; the Italic branch, consisting of the Latin and the cognate ancient languages of Italy and the dialects derived from Latin, the Italian, the French and the old Provençal, the Spanish, the Portuguese, and the Wallachian; 5 the Keltic or the language of those Kelts or Gauls that so often figure in Roman history, and distinguished into two varieties, the Kymrie, now, spoken in Wales and in the Province of Brittany in France, and the Gaelic, spoken in the Isle of Man, the Highlands of Scotland, and Ireland; the Lithuanian and Slavonic, comprising the languages of Lithuania, Russia, Bulgaria, and of the Slavonic races generally; and the 7 Teutonic branch, consisting of the Scandinavian group, i.e. the languages of Sweden, Norway, Iceland, and Denmark, of the High German i. e. the old and the present lauguage of Germany, and of the Low German, which comprised the old Anglo-Saxon and the other languages spoken on the coasts of Germany, the modern representatives of which are the English, and the dialects spoken in Holland, Friesland, and the North of Germany. The second family comprises the Hebrew, the Arabic, the Chaldee, the Syriac, the Carthaginian, and the cognate and derived languages; and the third, the Turkish and the languages of the Mongolian tribes. To this last family the dialects spoken in Southern India are also to be referred. approaches Sanskrit the most, but the affinities of this latter with

Greek and Latin are also very striking, and such as to convince even a determined sceptic. Sanskrit has preserved a greater number of ancient forms than any of these languages, hence it is indispensable for purposes of comparative philology.

The literature of Sanskrit presents, as ordinarily considered, two varieties of the language; but a third may also, as I shall presently endeavour to show, be clearly distinguished. Of these the most ancient is that found in the hymns of the Rigveda Samhitâ. were composed at different times and by different Rishis, and were transmitted from father to son in certain families. Thus the third of the ten collections, which make up the Samhitâ, bears the name of Vis'vamitra, and the hymns contained in it were composed by the great patriarch and his descendants. The seventh is ascribed to Vasishtha and his family. The composition of these hymns therefore; extended over a long period, the language is not the same throughout, and while some of them present a variety so close to the later Sanskrit that there is little difficulty in understanding them. the style of others is so antiquated that they defy all efforts at interpretation, and their sense was not understood even by the Rishis who flourished in the very next literary period, that of the Brahmanas. Still for our purposes we may neglect these differences and consider the Vedic variety of Sanskrit as one.

The chief characteristics of the Vedic language are these:-It contains a large number of words that have become obsolete or changed their sense in the later or classical Sanskrit. There is a greater variety of verbal derivatives, such as दर्शत in the sense of दर्शनीय 'worthy to be seen, or handsome', जीवम, अवम् &c. abstract nouns from the roots जीव, अब् &c., जनुम् 'something that is produced', पि, बिं, जिम्न &c., nouns of agency having a past sense (Pân. III. 2,171), which govern an accusative, द्वीक signifying 'handsome' or 'मळीक 'favour,' &c. The nominative plural termination of nouns ending in w is warn (Pân. VII. 1, 50), as विश्वदेवासः, as well as अस, as यज्ञेन यज्ञमयजन्त देवाः; and the instrumental plural is देवेभि: or देवै: (Pân. VII. 1, 10). The vowel cases of nouns in a are often formed by joining the vowels by the usual Samdhi rules; as तन्त्रम or तद्वम accusative singular of तद्द; मध्यः and बस्यः genitive singulars of मध्य and बस. The instrumental singular is made up by affixing M, U, or SU, instead of A, as AVAI. बर्गा, इविया, मृज्युगा, &c. (Pân. VII. 1, 39); sometimes of other nouns also, as स्वपया, नावया &c. The nominative and vocative dual of masculine and the plural of neuter nouns in अ often end in आ; as येनेमा विशा

च्यवना कतानि Rv. II. 12, 4, अश्विना प्रस्टेममा नरा Rv. I. 3, 2; and the in-*strumental singular of feminine nouns in 3 is optionally formed by simply lengthening the vowel (Pân. VII. 1, 39), as नविष्ट्या मती Rv. I. 82, 2. The locative singular termination is often dropped, as in प्रमे व्योमन: or its औ changed to आ, as in नाभा for नाभी (Pán. VII. 1, 39). The Parasmaipada first person plural termination of verbs is He (Pân. VII. 1, 46), as नमी भरन्त एमिस Rv. 1. 1, 7, and that of the third person plural is often to orta, as que or que or take of this रू, as अदश्रमस्य. . The त of the Atmanepada terminations is often dropped (Pân. VII. 1, 41), as ata sti Rv. I. 71. 9 There are eight different forms of mood called & by Pânini, which has a conditional or subjunctive sense; सुपथा करत । प्र ण आयंपि तारिपत, Rv. I. 25, 12, स देवानेह वक्षति Rv. I. 1, 2. This is lost in classical Sanskrit. The several conjugations or ways of forming the special tenses do exist, but the roots are not restricted to any particular way, being conjugated sometimes according to one mode and sometimes according to another: as श्रधी हवम. There are some instances in which some other than the special tenses also admit of the conjugational sign, as anional perfect. Sometimes guna is substituted before the a of the second person plural imperative, though it is a weak termination, as application,

The infinitive is formed by adding to roots the terminations : ध्ये, अध्ये, तबे and तबे (Pân III. 4.9), as बक्षे, जीवसे, पिचध्ये, दातवे, हन्तवे. These are etymologically the forms of the dative singular of such nouns as वक्ष, जीवम, पित्रिय &c. A few other datives are similarly used, as हमे, रोहिंच्ये &c. (Pân. III. 4, 10, 11). The accusatives of some nouns are also used as infinitives when governed by शक् (Pân. III. 4, 12), as न ये शेक्स्येशियां नावमारहम Rv. X. 44, 6; also in other places, चिकित्यों विष्ट छम् Rv. VII. 86, 3. The infinitive in classical Sanskrit ends in तुम्, as कत्म, which is the accusative form of such a noun as कत्, the dative of which, and, is one of the forms of the Vedic infinitive. The potential participles are often formed by adding तव, ए, एण्य and त्व (Pân. III. 4, 14), as नेपा गुज्यतिरपभर्तवा उ Rv. X. 14, 2, न तेन देव आदिशे Rv. VI. 56, 1, दिरक्षेण्यः, कत्वेम. The first two, however, ought properly to be considered as infinitives. The absolutive is sometimes formed by the addition of स्वाय ; as गत्वाय. There is such a form as इष्ट्रीनम् for इष्ट्रा ; and such others as graff and theaf for graff and theaf occur often. These last, as also the usual or classical absolutives, are forms of the instrumental singular of the same nouns, the dative and accusative of which are used as infinitives; There are a sinfinitives; There are a great many other peculiarities which are noticed by Panini, and which you will find collected in the Siddhanta-Kaumudi. One thing, however, should be borne in mind, that notwithstanding there is in these respects a very great difference between the Vedic and the classical Sanskrit, they are in the same phonetic stage, i. e. the vocal elements of words and letters remain the same. There are a few such instances, as and for as, and my for ms, in which the mute has disappeared, leaving only the aspirate element, and to these may be added the terminations and and same for an and same; but both the original and corrupt forms exist in the Vedas, and no general conclusion can be based on these few instances. You will hereafter see that the other dialects I shall speak of differ greatly from the classical Sanskrit in these respects. The following specimen will give you an idea of Vedic Sanskrit:—

- १. यश्चिद्धि ते विशो यथा प्र देव वरुण व्रतम् । मिनीमसि चविचवि ॥
- २. मा नो वधाय हत्नवे जिहीळानस्य रीरधः । मा हृणानस्य मन्यवे ॥
- फदा क्षत्रश्रियं नरमा वरुणं करामहे । मृळीकायोरुचक्षसम् ॥
- ७. वेदा यो वीनां पदमन्तरिक्षेण पतताम् । वेद नावः समुद्रियः ॥
- नि षसाद धतव्रतो वरुणः पस्त्यास्वा । साम्राज्याय सुक्रतुः ॥
- ११. अतो विश्वान्यद्भुता चिकित्वाँ अभि पश्यति । कृतानि या च कर्त्वा ॥
- १२. स नो विश्वाहा सुक्रतुरादित्यः सुपथा करत् । प्र ण आयूंषि तारिषत् ॥
- १९. इमं मे वरुण श्रुधी हवमचा च मृळय । त्वामवस्युरा चके॥

These eight verses contain 72 different padas or grammatical forms, not counting the prepositions as separate padas. Of these 19 have become altogether obsolete in classical Sanskrit, and 12 have changed their significations. This, however, is a specimen of one of the more intelligible hymns, but there are a great many which contain a much larger proportion of words that have gone out of use. It is these obsolete words that constitute the chief difficulty of understanding the Vedic hymns.

1. The use of चित्र after यत is unknown to the later dialect that particle being found joined only to the pronoun किस. The preposition प्र though connected with मिनीमिस is separated from it by three words. Though the root of this verb is given in the Dhâtupâtha or Pâṇini's list of roots, it has gone out of use. In modern Sanskrit we should use अतिकासाम: instead. वत is not now used in the sense in which it occurs here; though it is connected with it. We should use सासन

instead. **पविप**त्ति means here 'day by day'; in classical Sanskrit the word **पो** means 'heaven' only. The verse may be translated:— "Though we who are like subjects break thy law, day after day, O divine Varuṇa!" Varuṇa is spoken of frequently as a rājan, or king, and men are his subjects, with reference to that attribute of his.

2. बच means 'killing', or 'execution', in classical Sanskrit, but, since दृत्त 'killing' qualifies it here, it must mean some such thing as a weapon or a blow.

हत्त is obsolete. जिहीळान is a participle of the Perfect of हेइ or होइ. The form is obsolete; and a verbal form of the root is not ordinarily met with, though a word derived from it does occur. हणान also is obsolete.

"Do not subject us to the killing blow of the spiteful, nor to the wrath of the angry," i.e., do not kill us out of spite or wrath.

5. শ্বৰ signifies in later Sanskrit the order of the Kshatriyas or the warrior caste. Here it is used in the primitive sense of 'valour', 'prowess'. ৰহ does not mean 'man' here, but is used in its original sense of manly. আ and কলেই are separated by a word. মুক্তাক 'favour' is obsolete. অধন 'the reach of sight', 'visual power', has also gone out of use.

"When shall we call the brave, manly, and far-seeing Varuna hither to favour us?"

7. समुद्रिय: This form of the derived adjective is unknown to later Sanskrit. The genitive singular is formed by changing है to इय्.

"Who knows the path of the birds flying in the air and of the boat on the waters."

- 10. "Enforcing his laws, the powerful Varuna sits down in his abode to exercise universal sovereignty."
- 11. अद्भुता The termination of the accusative plural is here dropped; कर्त्व is Vedic for कर्तव्य; here also नि is dropped.

"From this place the knowing one sees all secret deeds done or to be done."

- 12. करत् and तारिषत् are forms of छेद् or the Vedic subjunctive.
- "May the powerful God make things easy for us all our days and prolong our lives."
- 19. gal. Conjugated as a root of the second class, with as the termination of the imperative singular, lengthened for phonetic purposes.

"O Varuna, hear this my invocation, and now be propitious. I call on thee, desirous of protection,"

The next form of the language is presented to us in the Brahmanas of the Vedas, such as the Aitareya of the Rigveda and the Satapatha of the White Yajush. A great many of those peculiar words which we meet with in the hymns, and which are so unintelligible have disappeared the declensions have approached the standard of classical Sanskrit, the nominative plural in असम् for instance, and the instrumental plural in एभि: having gone out of use. The roots have arranged themselves definitely under certain conjugations. The subjunctive has mostly become obsolete, though such forms as निष्पवात (Sat. I. 4, 1, 10), and असत (Ait. II. 11.) are sometimes met with. The roots take these forms of the Aorist that Pânini assigns to them, and this tense is scrupulously used in the sense mentioned by that grammarian. Such terminations as मिस and the various modes of forming the infinitive have disappeared, the ordinary one in an being mostly used (Ait. IV. 8, VIII. 23). The language is in most respects the same as that usually called classical Sanskrit. It is rich in verbal forms of all tenses and moods. There are however a few peculiarities and archaisms There are some antiquated words such as 'बर्स 'an extremity' (Ait. II. 2), अनीक 'a shaft,' मन्थावल 'a bat' (Ait. III 26). निष्ठाव 'ultimate referce', अववितृ 'one who pronounces a decree', भगवम् 'prosperous', तत for तात (Ait. V. 14), द्रा 'lood' (Ait. VIII. 7), ग्रुप्पिण 'powerful' (Ait. VIII. 8, 23), भीन 'a destructive instrument, flame' (Ait. VIII. 24), &c. The dative of feminine nouns is often used for the genitive, as प्रथिन्ये राजा स्याः (Ait. VIII. 23), सर्वस्ये वाचः...... परिगृहीत्ये; the त of the third person singular of the Atmanepada is sometimes dropped as in the old dialect, as सविता वे प्रसवानामीशे (Ait. VII. 16); a few roots form their Aorist in another manner than that mentioned by Panini, as अज्ञत वा अस्य दन्ता: (Ait. VII. 14), where अज्ञत stands for अजनिपतः अद्भक्षो वै म आत इदं (Ait. VIII. 23) where अद्रक्ष: is for अद्रह:; the form of the infinitive governed by the word ईश्वर is that which ends in तोस; as ईश्वरो ह तु पुरायुपः प्रैतोः (Ait. VIII. 7); and us appears in the form of un (Ait. III. 26). In the seventh and eighth Panchikas of the Aitareya Brahmana some Gâthâs or songs are quoted, the language of which is more antiquated than that of the body of the work. Notwithstanding these irregularities, these Bráhmanas are the best representatives extant of the verbal portion of that language of which Pânini writes the gram.

mar, though he did not mean these when he spoke of the Bhâshâ. But nearly the whole of that literature which Pâṇini drew from, if he did so at all, has perished, or to speak more correctly there is no portion of the extant Sanskrit literature that accurately represents Pâṇini's Sanskrit as regards the verb and the Taddhitas or nominal derivatives. Probably the spoken language of his time formed the basis of his grammar.

The next stage of Sanskrit is presented to us by the work of Yaska, whose language appears to be more ancient than that of the rest of the non-Vedic literature. We find a good many archaic words and expressions in the Nirukta, such as उपजन 'proximity,' उपेक्षित्रय in the sense of 'finding' or 'observing', कर्मन 'signification.' यथो in the sense of 'as to', बिल्म 'variety,' उपदेशाय ग्लायन्त: 'unable to teach', शिशिक्ष राज्येन 'invested with sovereignty', नेयण्डक 'subordinate'. अनिवाह 'celibacy', There are also some technical terms which we do not meet with in later works, such as निवृत्तिस्थान 'weak terminations', वपजन इपबन्ध 'augment', नामकरण 'a nominal termination', &c. After the time of Yaska, Sanskrit underwent a peculiar change, for the proper understanding of which it is necessary for a time to consider the styles in which a man may speak or write. There is what may be considered as the fluent or flowing style of speech, in which the speaker looks at the actions of men in progress, actions in the course of being done or suffered. A man speaking or writing in this style will use a verb on every occasion. But a verb may always be analysed into an attribute of the subject and the connecting link or copula. When one's attention is directed more to the attribute than to the progress or course of an action, he will use verbal nouns or adjectives. instead of अध्याहस्त a man may say अध्याहरू:, of सोऽवोचत, उक्तं तेन. of अयं मांसं भक्षयति, मांसभक्षकायम्, of मालामग्रध्नात, मालां ग्रधितवान &c. In the same way a verb may be divided into a noun or adjective denoting the special action it involves, and a verb signifying action generally, as पचति, may be analysed into पाकं करोति or पकं करोति and पच्यते into पक्को भवति. The later Sanskrit and the modern Vernaculars are full of such formations. The case forms may also be similarly analysed into attributive expressions; as tim: yeq: into राजसंबन्धी पुरुषः or रामेण कृतः कटः into रामकर्तकः कटः. The mode of thought which gives birth to such forms of expression leads also to afreer use of compound nouns by means of which a whole sentence may be compressed into a small attribute. In argumentation the ablative of an abstract noun saves a long periphrasis. This may be considered

a petrified form of speech, and as distinguished from the verbal style it may be called the nominal style. In the earliest literature of Sanskrit the fluent or verbal style prevailed. Since this rarely admits of attributive or nominal expressions, the sentences are short, and the construction easy. Thus, in such books as the Aitareya and Satapatha Brâhmanas, we find short sentences, and abundance of verbal forms. Even in the time of Yaska this style prevailed to a large extent, and notwithstanding that his book is on a scientific subject, his language often reminds one of that of the earlier works, though he must have written several centuries afterwards. In the time of Panini also verbal forms were in general use, as I shall presently attempt to show. Some time after, however, the petrified or nominal style began to supplant the other. Somehow it commended itself to the genius of the Indian Aryas of the times, and the very richness and flexibility of Sanskrit which allows of a root or noun being twisted in a variety of wavs to suit any sort of construction greatly facilitated the change. The Itihâsas, the Purânas, and the metrical Smritis are written in this style. But here it is not carried to such an extravagant extent as is done in later writings, in some of which verbal forms are rare and in others long and bewildering compounds are frequently met with, and the construction is complicated and involved. This is the general character of the Sanskrit in which the subsequent poetic and dramatic literature is written; and if the language of a certain author is graceful and easy, and not dull and involved, if he does not use long compounds, and writes in a more natural style, it is either because his taste is superior to that of his contemporaries, or because he belongs to an earlier period.

But it was in the field of philosophy, dialectics, and exegesis, scriptural or grammatical, that this nominal style was greatly cultivated and developed. The earliest work of the kind we know of is Patañjali's Mahâbhâshya on Kâtyâyana's Vârtikas or notes on Pâṇini's Sûtras. Nearly the whole of the philosophical literature of the Sanskrit is written more or less in the style of disputation. An Indian author does not lead his readers into the processes his own mind has gone through in arriving at the doctrines he lays down; in other words, he does not tell us how he has come by the opinions he holds, but lays down these doctrines and sets forth those opinions and conceives objections that may be raised and answers them. Or before actually stating the true doctrine or siddhânta, certain others, more or less opposed are stated, and reasons given in support of them (pûrvapaksha) which

are, of course, refuted. The Mahabhashva is written in this manner, but it differs in a good many respects from later works of the kind. Unlike the latter, it gives the very words that an opponent, speaking in his own person, may be expected to use. It therefore really consists of a series of dialogues, often smart, between one who maintains the parrapaksha, and another who lays down the siddhanta. Hence, the language is plain and simple, and the sentences are short. and such as a man may naturally use in ordinary conversation or oral disputation. The nominal style, however, as I have ventured to call it, in contradistinction to that of the Brahmanas and also of Yaska, is observable; but it has of course not yet degenerated into the long compounds and algebraic expressions of modern times, and is perfectly natural. In this respect it keeps pace with the language of the Itihâsas and Smritis. Vâtsyâyana's Bhâshya on Gotama's Nyâya Sûtra, and Sabarasvâmin's on that of Jaimini, as well as the Bhâshyas on some of the sacrificial Sûtras, are written in the same sort of simple and lively style, though however they present a further stage in the downward progress. But gradually this manner of writing ceased to be used, and the philosophical style went on progressing until it has come to be what it is now. Samkaracharya's Bhashya presents it in a middle stage. The sentences are much longer than those of the earlier writers, the construction is more involved, there is a freer use of attributive adjuncts, and the form is that of an essay or a lecture, instead of an oral disputation. But the great Acharya's style is perspicuous throughout, fluent and charming, and not solidified or petrified, as that of later writers is. These latter hardly ever use a verb, and of the cases only a few are to be met with in their works. The nominative and ablative singular prevail, and long compounds are constantly employed. All our ideas are thrown into the form of nouns, mostly abstract, and even the participles have become rare. This style is the style of formulas rather than of discourse. It has reached its climax in the works of recent Naiyayikas, but it has been more or less used by modern writers in all the Sastras. The movement which began with a less frequent use of verbal forms and the employment of attributive expressions has thus ended in making Sanskrit a language of abstract nouns and compound words.

I have used the word style in describing this movement, to show what important changes in the structure of a language may originate from what is in the beginning but a style or mode of speaking or writing. If everybody thought and spoke about all matters as the

Naivâyika does in his own subject our language would be just like his. It would almost have no verbs, no participles, and no cases except one or two. But it is not so. The movement could not be carried so far in other subjects. Hence the real classical Sanskrit is the Sanskrit of the Epics, the Puranas, the metrical law-books, the better or earlier specimens of Kavyas or poems and dramatic plays, and of the early philosophical or exegetical works. And if we examine this literature we shall find that the greater use of attributive or nominal forms of expression gradually drove out a large portion of the Sanskrit verb, and gave a new character to the language, which may be thus described :- Very few verbal forms are used besides those of such tenses as the Present and Future; participles are frequently met with; the verbal forms of some roots, especially of those belonging to the less comprehensive classes, have gone out of use, and in their place we often have a noun expressive of the special action and a verb expressive of action generally; compound words are somewhat freely employed; and a good many of the Taddhita forms or nominal derivatives have disappeared, and in their stead we have periphrastic expressions. If the treatises of Pânini and others had perished, and we had to construct a grammar of the Sanskrit from the classical literature I have above indicated, our Verb and the Taddhita portion would be very meagre. Professor Benfey attributes the condition which the language thus assumed to the influence of the Prâkrits or the spoken vernaculars. But the process appears to me perfectly natural, and no such influence need be supposed. The change may in some respects be likened to that which rendered the Vedic subjunctive and other grammatical forms obsolete in the later stage of the language. The Prakrits may have given some words to the Sanskrit, but that they should in this manner have influenced its grammatical structure is very unlikely. It is more natural to suppose that it was the Sanskrit from which the Prâkrits evidently sprang which gave to these latter their shall endeavour to determine the exact character. relation between the Sanskrit and these dialects in the concluding lecture.

We have thus observed and determined the change that came over Sanskrit after the period that elapsed between the Bråhmanas and Yaska from an examination of the literature itself. But the fact is borne witness to by Katyayana, who observed it in his time and made it the subject of a few vartikas. Patanjali discusses the points raised in the following manner. An objector or Pùrvapakshin is introduced, who says:—

Púrv. अस्यप्रमुक्त:। There exist (some) words which are not used; for instance, अव, तेर, चक्र, पेच. (These are forms of the second person plural of the Perfect.)

The Siddhantin, or the principal teacher, who advocates the doctrine that is finally laid down asks:—

Sid. What if they are not used?

Pûrv. You determine the grammatical correctness of words from their being used. Those then that are not now used are not grammatically correct.

Side. What you say is, in the first place, inconsistent, viz., that words exist which are not used. If they exist they cannot be not used; if not used, they cannot exist. To say that they exist and are not used is inconsistent. You yourself use them (utter them) and say (in the very breath) there are words which are not used. What other worthy like yourself would you have to use them in order that they might be considered correct (lit. What other person like yourself is correct or is an authority in the use of words)?

Pûrv.—This is not inconsistent. I say they exist since those who know the Sâstra teach their formation by [laying down] rules, and I say they are not used, because they are not used by people. Now with regard to [your remark] "What other worthy, &c." [when I say they are not used] I do not mean that they are not used by me.

Sip.-What then?

Pûrv.—Not used by people.

Sip.—Verily, you also are one amongst the people.

Pûrv.—Yes, I am our, but am not the people.

Sid.—(Vârt. अस्त्यप्रयुक्त इति चेनार्थे शब्दप्रयोगात्). If you object that they are not used, it will not do (the objection is not valid).

Pûrv. Why not?

Sin.—Because words are used to designate things. The things do exist which these words are used to designate. (Therefore the words must be used by somebody. If the things exist, the words that denote them must exist).

Pûrv.—(Vârt. अप्रयोगः प्रयोगान्यत्वात्). (It does not follow.) Their non-use is what one can reasonably infer.

SID. - Why?

Pûrv.—Because they (people) use other words to designate the things expressed by these words; for instance, क य्यम्पता: in the sense of ऊप; क प्यं तीणों: in the sense of तर; क प्यं कृतवन्त: in the sense of चक्र; क प्यं पक्रवन्त: in the sense of पेच. (We here see that participles had come to be used for verbs of the Perfect Tense.)

Sid.—(Vart. अप्रयुक्त र्शियस्वत्) Even if these words are not used, they should be essentially taught by rules just as long sacrificial sessions are. It is in this way. Long sacrificial sessions are such as last for a hundred years and for a thousand years. In modern times none whatever holds them, but the writers on sacrifices teach them by rules, simply because [to learn] what has been handed down by tradition from the Rishis is religiously meritorious. And moreover (Vart. सर्वे रेशान्तरे) all these words are used in other places.

Purv.-They are not found used.

Sip.—An endeavour should be made to find them. Wide indeed is the range over which words are used; the earth with its seven continents, the three worlds, the four Vedas with their angas or dependent treatises and the mystic portions, in their various recensions, the one hundred branches of the Adhvaryn (Yajur-Veda), the Sâma-Veda with its thousand modes, the Bâhvrichya with its twenty-one varieties, and the Atharvana Veda with nine, Vâkovâkya, the Epics, the Puranas and Medicine. This is the extent over which words are used. Without searching this extent of the use of words, to say that words are not used is simple rashness. In this wide extent of the use of words, certain words appear restricted to certain senses in certain places. Thus, श्वति is used in the sense of motion among the Kambojas; the Aryas use it in the derived form of श्रव; हम्मति is used among the Surashtras, tela among the eastern and central people, but the Aryas use only गम; दाति is used in the sense of 'cutting' among the easterns, among the northerners. And those words which you think are not used are also seen used.

Purv.-Where?

Sin.—In the Veda. Thus, सप्तास्ये रेवर्ता रेवर्त्य । यद्वी रेवती रेवर्या तमूप । यन्मे नरः श्रुत्यं ब्रह्म चक्र । यत्रा नथका जरसं तननाम्।

We here see that the objector says that certain words or forms are not used by people, and therefore they should not be taught or learnt. The instances that he gives are forms of the perfect of some roots and he observes that the sense of these forms is expressed by using

other words which are perfect participles of these roots. These statements are not denied by the Siddhântin, but he does not allow that the forms should not be taught on that account. Though not used, they should be taught and learnt for the sake of the religious merit consequent thereon, just as the ceremonial of long sacrificial sessions, which are never held, is. Then the objector is told that though not used by people, the words may be current in some other country, continent, or world, or they must have been used somewhere in the vast literature of the language. As regards the particular instances, two of them are shown to be used in the Vedas.

It thus follows that in the time of Kâtvâyana and Patañjali, such verbal forms had become obsolete, and participles were used in their place. But it must have been far otherwise in the time of Pânini. He gives minute rules for constructing the innumerable forms of the Sanskrit verb. Our grammarians proceeded upon a strictly scientific basis. Nothing is more clear from several observations scattered throughout the work of Patanjali, besides those contained in the above passage, than this, that the Indian Grammarians do not give us the inventions of their own brains as they are supposed by some scholars to do. The very perfection of their observation and analysis has rendered them liable to this reproach. But notwithstanding all that, there can be no doubt whatever that they scrupulously adhered to usage. If so, the verbal forms taught by Pânini must have been current in the language at some time. We do meet with them in the Brahmanas. but our grammarian does not include these forms among the peculiarities he has given of the Vedic or Chhandas and Brâhmana dialect, and thus does not restrict them to those works. They must therefore be understood as having been in use in the Bhasha or current language, the grammar of which he teaches in his Sûtras. 'And the Bhâshâ that he means must be that which was current in his time. In Pânini's time, therefore, the fluent or verbal style of speech was in use, as I have observed before. But it may be argued that though he refers these forms to the Bhasha, the Bhasha he means may not be that which prevailed when he lived, but another current before his time and preserved in its literature, on which Pâṇini based his rules. It matters very little even if we make this supposition. The only effect is that the period when the non-Vedic Sanskrit was rich in verbal forms is placed before Panini; but the fact itself that there was such a period is undeniable. The supposition, however, is unreasonable. For it is not at all likely that he should neglect the language

prevalent in his time and teach that which was current before him, and speak of it as the Bhasha, which word literally signifies the "spoken language" or vernacular. And the occurrence in the Sûtras of words that became obsolete in later Sanskrit confirms this view. The following are such words: - अन्ववसर्ग 'allowing one his own way,' िनरवसित 'excommunicated,' प्रत्यवसान 'eating', अभिविधि 'including', स्वकरण 'marrying', उत्सक्षन 'throwing up', अनेष 'equitableness'. You will have seen that the Vârtika of Kâtvâyana which starts the discussion I have translated, and Patañjali's comment on it speak of words generally as having ceased to be used though the examples given consist of certain verbal forms only. It is, therefore, to be understood that the observation is applicable to other forms and expressions also taught by Pânini which we do not meet with in the later literature. Among such may be noticed उपाजेक and अन्वाजेक 'to strengthen', निवचनेक 'to be silent,' कणोहन, and मनोहन 'to fulfil one's longing', &c. क्णेहन occurs in Yaska also. Most of the verbal derivatives ending in the technical termination viga must also be so considered, such as ब्राह्मणवेदं भोजयति 'feeds every Brâhmana that he finds', चेलकोपं दृष्टः 'rained till the clothes were wet', स्वपोषं प्रणाति 'supports by his own means,' उर्ध्वशोपं ग्रुप्यति ' withers standing', &c. A good many Taddhita forms taught in Panini's Sûtras must also, I think, be put in the same category.

And there is another circumstance which shows that Pâṇini's Sanskrit was more ancient than Kâtyâyana's. Kâtyâyana's Vârtikas on Pâṇini, which I have already spoken to you of, touch on various points concerning grammar and the system of Pâṇini. The purpose of a great many of them is the proper interpretation of the Sûtras, and there are some which supply the links that are wanting in the system, also a good many which teach forms not taught by Pâṇini, or give the correct forms, when by the strict application of Pâṇini's rules we arrive at such as are incorrect. Now, this strict application of Pâṇini's rules is often in the manner of a quibbling logician, and consequently it was probably never meant by Pâṇini himself. Again, it may also be allowed that some forms existing in the language may have escaped Pâṇini's notice. But even after making allowance for all these considerations, a good many forms taught by Kâtyâyana are

^{7.} Professor Goldstücker has used the argument based on the occurrence of obsolete words in the Sûtras and that set forth in the next paragraph, to prove the archaic character of the language as it existed in Pânini's time, and some of the instances quoted in the text are the same as his,

left which it is impossible to believe Panini did not observe or know, if they existed in the language in his time. Though not infallible. Pânini was not an indifferent grammarian. He justly deserves the reputation he has all along enjoyed of being the pre-eminent teacher of grammar. He has noticed even stray facts about the language. If so, the only reasonable supposition is that these forms did not exist in the language at the period when he lived. For instance, according to Pânini's rules the vocative singular of neuter nouns ending in san such as ब्रह्मन and नामन is ब्रह्मन and नामन, but Kâtyâyana in a Vârtika on VIII. 2, 8 tells us it is sand or san and of the or of the Panini teaches that the forms of the dative, ablative, genitive, and locative singular of the feminine of दितीय and नतीय are optionally like those of the corresponding pronouns, i.e. we have either द्वितीयाये or द्वितीयस्य, द्वितीयायाः or द्वितीयस्याः &c., but Kâtyâyana in a Vârtika on I. 1, 36 extends this option to the masculine also, and according to him we have द्वितीयाय or द्वितीयस्मे, द्वितीयाम or द्वितीयस्मात &c., while Pânini gives us only the first. Pânini's rule IV. 1, 49 allows of मान्छानी only as the feminine of मान्छ, but Kâtyâyana gives मातुली also; उपाध्यायानी is not noticed by Pâṇini while Kâtyâyana lays down that as well as उपाध्यायी in the sense of ' wife of the उपाध्याय'. So also आर्या and क्षत्रिया are according to Pânini. 'a female Ârva' and 'a female Kshatriya', but Kâtyâyana gives आर्योणी and क्षत्रियाणी as well as आर्यो and क्षत्रिया. A good many more instances of a similar nature may be given from other parts of Sanskrit Grammar. Are we then to suppose that the forms ब्रह्म, नाम, कर्म &c. of the vocative singular, द्वितीयस्मे of the dative of द्वितीय &c. मानली उपाध्यायानी, आर्याणी, क्षत्रियाणी and many more such, escaped the observation of such a grammarian as Pânini, or that he did not know them? Is the supposition that they did not exist in the language in his time not more reasonable? It therefore appears clear to me that the language in Panini's time was in a different condition from that in which it was in Kâtyâyana's. The chief differences, to point out which has been the object of this discussion, may be thus stated :- In Pânini's time a good many words and expressions were current which afterwards became obsolete; verbal forms were commonly used which ceased to be used in Kâtyâyana's time, and some grammatical forms were developed in the time of the latter which did not exist in Pânini's.

Pâṇini's Sanskrit must, therefore, be identified with that which preceded the Epics, and he must be referred to the literary period between the Brâhmaṇas and Yâska. Hence it is that the Brâhmaṇas,

as observed before, are the best existing representatives of the language of which Pânini writes the grammar. Kâtyâyana, on the other hand, wrote when the language arrived at that stage which we have called cassical. Thus then, we have been able to trace three distinct periods in the development of Sanskrit. First, we have the Vedic period, to which the Rigveda Samhita, the Mantra portion of the Yajurveda, and the more antiquated part of the Atharva-Samhitâ are to be referred. Then commences another period, at the threshold of which we find the Brâhmanas, which, so to say, look backwards to the preceding, that is, present the Vedic language in the last stage of its progress towards Pâṇini's Bhâshâ; and later on, we have Yâska and Pâṇini. This may be called the period of Middle Sanskrit. And last of all, there is the classical period to which belong the Epics, the earliest specimens of Kâyyas and dramatic plays, the metrical Smritis, and the gramma-Pânini's work contains the grammar of fical work of Kâtyâyana. Middle Sanskrit, while Katyayana's that of classical Sanskrit, though he gives his sanction to the archaic forms of the former on the principle, as he himself has stated, on which the authors of the sacrificial Sûtras teach the ritual of long sacrificial sessions, though they had ceased to be held in their time. Patanjali gives but few forms which differ from Kâtyâyana's, and in no way do they indicate a different stage in the growth of the language; hence his work is to be referred to the same The form which the language assumed at this time became the standard for later writers to follow, and Kâtyâvana and Patañjali are now the generally acknowledged authorities on all points concerning the correctnesss of Sanskrit speech. We shall hereafter see that the last two stages have left distinct traces on the Prâkrits or the derived languages.

LECTURE II.

Pôli and the Dialects of the Period.

In the last lecture we traced the development of the Sanskrit lanquage until it assumed the form in which it is usually called classical This form it acquired several centuries before Christ, as I shall hereafter endeavour to show, but it has since preserved it un-The reason is that the number of people using it has been growing smaller and smaller, and now for a considerable period it has remained confined to a few learned men who devote long time to its study and use it only for religious and philosophical purposes. But in so far as it has been used for these purposes it has not been free from change, as was pointed out on the last occasion. The style and modes of expression used by Gadadhara Bhattacharya, the great Naivavika of modern times, are not the same as those of Kanada, Gotama, and Vátsyâyana, nor those used by Någojibhatta, the same as those of Kâtyâyana and Patañjali. But all these changes have followed one direction. The efforts of our modern writers have been mostly, if not altogether, directed to systematizing and reducing to an accurate and definite shape the conceptions of those ancient sages, and no new ideas or methods of thought have arisen. They have thus elaborated a highly artificial style, abounding in abstract terms. In other branches of the literature also, we may discover some peculiarities and corruptions of diction and manner. But still, making due allowance for all this the generally received standard of good and correct Sanskrit is the same as it was many centuries ago. There are certain models which modern writers and speakers have to conform to. When, however, a language is living, that is, is used by people generally and in matters of every-day life, it must undergo very great changes. Men will not waste time and trouble in accurately ascertaining and imitating the pronunciation of their fathers, or reproducing exactly the several grammatical forms used by their predecessors. All that is necessary is that they should make themselves understood. Old modes of thinking give place to new ones, and new ideas spring up; and both must be represented in the language that is used. Sometimes accidents in the history of a race, such as its coming in contact or being incorporated with another race, serve to transform its language within a short time. But the alterations that occur from these and other causes obey certain laws; and hence the possibility of a Science of Language. Now, by the operation of influences such as these, Sanskrit was, in very remote times, corrupted, and new dialects arose from it. We should have known nothing of these if they had not been preserved in their literatures. Of these dialects that which approaches Sanskrit the most is the Pâli. This is the sacred language of the Buddhists of Ceylon, Siam, and Burmah, and possesses an extensive literature. I will introduce my remarks on this dialect by placing before you a specimen:—

This is from the Atthakathâ or commentary by Buddhaghesha on the Dhammapada. It was written in the fifth century after Christ, long after Pâli had ceased to be a vernacular. The following is from the Dhammapada itself, which we have reason to believe is much more ancient:—

- 129. सब्बे तसन्ति दण्डस्स सब्बे भायन्ति मचुनो । अत्तानं उपमं कत्वा न हनेय्या न घातये ॥
- 131. सुखकामानि भूतानि यो दण्डेन विहिंसति ।
 अत्तनो सुखमेसानो पेच सो न लभने सुखं॥
- 133. मा वोच फरुसं कञ्चि बुम्तापटिवदेख्यु तं। दुक्खा हि सारम्भकथा पटिदण्डा फुसेख्यु तं॥
- 134. सचे नेरोसि अनानं कंसो उपहतो यथा। एस पत्तोसि निब्बानं सारम्भो ते न विज्ञति॥

The Sanskrit of these passages is as follows:-

श्रावस्त्यां किलादत्तपूर्वको नाम ब्राह्मणोभूत् । तेन कस्मैचिरिकचिद्दत्तपूर्वं तेन तमदत्तपूर्वकरूवेव समज्ञासत । तस्यैकपुत्रकोभूत्यियो मनोज्ञः । तस्य घोडशवर्ष- काले पाण्डुरोग उद्दपादि । माता पुत्रमवलोक्य ब्राह्मण पुत्रस्य ते रोग उत्पन्नश्चि-कित्सयैनमित्याह । भवति स चेह्नैद्यमानेप्यामि भक्तवेतनं दातब्यं भविष्यति । त्वं मम धनच्छेदनं नावलोकयसि । अथ किं करिष्यसि ब्राह्मणेति । यथा मे धनच्छेदो न भवति तथा करिष्यामीति । स वैद्यानामन्तिकं गत्वामुकरोगस्य नाम यूयं किं भैपज्यं कुरुथेत्यप्राक्षीत् । अथास्य ते यद्वा तद्वा वृक्षत्वगाद्याचक्षते । स तदाहृत्य पुत्रस्य भैपज्यं करोति । तत्कुर्वत एवास्य रोगो बलवानभूत् ।

- 129. सर्वे त्रमन्ति दण्डस्य सर्वे बिभ्यति मृत्योः ।
 आत्मानसुपमां कृत्वा न हन्यान्न घातयेत् ॥
- 131. सुखकामानि भूतानि यो दण्डेन विहिनस्ति । आत्मनः सुखमिच्छन्प्रेत्य स न लभते सुखम् ॥
- 133. मा वोचः परुषं कंचिदुक्ताः प्रतिवदेयुस्त्वाम् । दुःखा हि संरम्भकथा प्रतिदण्डाः स्पृशेयुस्त्वाम् ॥
- 134. स चेन्नेरयस्यात्मानं कांस्यमुपहतं यथा। एप प्राप्तोसि निर्वाणं संरम्भस्ते न विद्यते॥

"In Śrâvasti there was a Brahman of the name of Adattapurvaka ('who had not given away anything before') He never gave anything to anybody, whence they called him Adattapurvaka. A son was born to him, pleasing and delightful. When he was sixteen years old, he got jaundice Seeing the son thus, the mother said, "O Brahman, your son has got a disease, put him under medical treatment." "Lady, if I get a physician, tood and salary will have to be given to him. You do not see that this will entail expense" (lit. lessen my money). "What then will you do, Brahman?" "I will do what will not involve expense" (lessen my money). He then went to physicians and asked, "What medicine do you use against such and such a disease?" They spoke to him at random of the bark of some tree. He brought it and gave it as a medicine to his son. As he went on doing so, the disease was aggravated."

- 129. "All are afraid of being hurt, all fear death. Taking one's own self as a model, one should not kill and cause to be killed."
- 131. "He, who looking to his own happiness, inflicts injury upon creatures that equally desire their happiness, does not obtain felicity after death."
- 133. "Do not speak harshly to anybody; they may speak to you in return. For angry altercation is painful, and revenge will overtake you."

134. "If then, like a bottom of a shattered Matallic vessel, you will not stir yourself (utter), then you have reached Nirvâṇa, and you will have no angry altercation."

Here you will see a great many instances of the laws we laid down in the last lecture. And first, as regards phonetic corruption, we have in these passages त for त as in प्रत for पत्र, for त as in भत्त for NT, and for H as in TH for UNA, and CU for HU as in ECUR for ERUN. This change is usually called assimilation of consonants. In pronouncing a conjunct, one has to pass from one position of the vocal organs to another without letting off the breath checked or compressed in the first, an operation of great difficulty. The sound of the first member is indistinct, but when the second is a weak consonant such as a nasal or a semi-vowel, it glides into the first almost as a vowel does, and thus the sound of the first acquires greater prominence. In the first position, the vocal organs strike and press against each other strongly, and the momentum necessary for this effort is acquired by uttering forcibly and with a jerk the vowel that precedes the conjunct, i. e. of for instance in the word un, just as a man previous to striking and pressing anything with his fist moves the hand with force through some distance. The previous forcible vowel breath and the subsequent strong contact and pressure form the characteristic of a conjunct consonantal sound. This is the only way of rendering the two members at all distinct, for the first is thus uttered with the preceding vowel and the second with the following. Thus, was if pronounced in the manner I have described becomes भक्त-त, but, if the previous vowel sound is weak, it becomes w-w, in which case, in consequence of the absence of momentum, the pressure cannot be strong, and the conjunct character is not fully brought out. What we find in the Pali, therefore, is that the passage from one vocal position to another is avoided, and the more distinct sound only, whether of the first member or the second, is pronounced with this forcible previous vowel breath and the succeeding strong contact and pressure; that is we have a conjunct as before, but it is made up not of two different letters, but of two of the same kind. Hence the instances noted above and also धरम for धर्म, जस्म for जन्म, यक्त्र for यज्ञ, सह for शब्द, दह for दाध, लगा for खद्द, श्रुगा for सुदू, अन्भुत for अद्भुत, छह for छन्ध, उन्ना for बल्का. अच्य for अल्प, तप्पन for तर्पण, अय्य for आर्य &c. But an attempt is made to pronounce the other sound also, and such of its elements as. can go in with that which is uttered without involving change

of position are transferred to it, that is, in effect the Pali speaker treated a conjunct consonant as one sound possessing the characteristics Thus the heavy s'risa or simple breath of eq, and q in the conjuncts स्क, रक, अ, इ, स्त, स्प and रप is combined with the distinct sounds क, च, द, त, and प and we have ख, छ, ठ, थ, and फ for those conjuncts; but when preceded by a vowel and pronounced with the usual conjunctal characteristic, they become कल, रह, दू, तथ्, and टक्; as in सावित्ययं for श्रावस्त्याम and द्वासेट्यु for स्प्रोयु: in the above, and in खन्ध for स्कन्ध, पोक्सर for पुष्कर, पच्छा for पश्चाम्, अच्छरिय for आश्चर्य, दिट् for दिष्ट, पुरु for पुष्ट, मत्थक for मस्तक, वत्थु for वस्तु, फन्दन for स्पन्दन, and पुष्फ for gey. In H, H, SUI, EH, SH, and SH the consonantal portion of the distinct sounds, being made up of nada or intonated breath, the heavy s'vasa or simple breath of the sibilant is first made heavy nada, that is, the sibilant is changed to the aspirate & and we have - , of or मह for these conjuncts; as in पण्ह for प्रभा, उण्ह for उच्चा, अम्ह for अस्मि, अम्ह for अइम, and गिम्ह for ग्रीबा. These correspond to the ख, छ &c., in the above instances, and must like them be considered as simple sounds, and in our modern languages they are pronounced as such in certain places; (but when preceded by a vowel they must have, as in other cases, the characteristic of conjunctal sounds, and be pronounced as == g, out or == though they are not so written.

I have already observed that when a following semi-vowel or nasal glides into the sound of the first consonant, this latter acquires prominence. But there are cases in which the semi-vowel q does not so merge into the preceding; and this takes place when it is preceded by a dental mute. The palatal q and the labial q have since remote times been often pronounced in two ways, one in which the organs approach each other so closely that it is difficult to distinguish them from q and q; and another in which they are kept more distant. Hence the confusion between q and q and q that we find so often in modern pronunciation and in the modern languages. The Bengali invariably makes q of the Sanskrit q and in most cases q of q and the people of Northern India follow him to a great extent. In the Hindi we have many such forms as quant for quant, qitt for quant for quant for quant quant for quant q

The heavy or close pronunciation of \mathbf{v} , is favoured by a preceding dental. In pronouncing letters of this class the tongue is nearly horizontal. Consequently, when after the formation of the dental mute it is moved upwards to form \mathbf{v} , the force with which it separates from the teeth carries it nearer to the palate than it should be. In the cerebral

position, in which the tongue forms a curve with the concave side inwards, the force with which its tip separates acts downwards; hence if a q has to be pronounced afterwards, the effort is in no way aided, but, if possible, hindered. In this case, therefore, the v is always light; and for a similar reason it is so when preceded by a guttural. It is also light when preceded by the dental 7, since a large portion of the obstructed breath passes through the nose, and the tongue is not separated from the teeth with any force Thus in the word at in the passage I have placed before you, and in squ, qu, &c., the u being heavy does not glide or merge into \(\mathbf{\xi}\), and, being the latter of two successive consonantal sounds, acquires greater distinctness; and the preceding sound must in virtue of the laws we have been examining communicate all its elements to it, except of course the organic position. element that is communicated in this case is the complete contact, wherefore the heavy q becomes a complete sq, and this, when pronounced like a conjunct, becomes उज् In such words as मध्य, वध्य, इपाध्याय &c., the preceding has got its heaviness of nada or tone to communicate besides the complete contact, and thus the sp becomes sp and thence उझ ; and so we have मजझ, वजझ. उपज्ञाय &c In मृत्यु, प्रेत्य, &c, to the \$\frac{1}{3}\$ formed as above must be added the hardness or s'vasu of ह, i. e the letter ज must be pronounced not with the glottis contracted but stretched, wherefore we have \(\bar{\bar{\pi}} \) and, with the conjunctal characteristic, च. Those words therefore become मन्, पेच, and सच. precedes instead of a, the heavy s'rara required for its pronunciation is transferred to ज, and so it becomes छ, and thence च्छ ; as in रच्छा for रथ्या, नेपच्छ for नेपथ्य &c. The semi-vowel व is also heavily pronounced when it forms a conjunct with another semi-vowel, and thus we have पुड्य for पूर्व, सब्द for सर्व, &c There are also instances of this pronunciation when a is preceded by a dental mute as in उच्चेग for इद्वेग, सब्भि for सद्धि: &c In ऊर्ध्व the preceding धू, as in मध्य, transfers its heavy nada or tone to q, and makes it q, and so we have बुद्ध : but कर्म्ब is changed to ब्रह्न also.

The conjunct of or so must in some cases have been pronounced in ancient times like so, as it is invariably so pronounced by the lower classes of the Marathi people at the present day. This latter sound is easier to be made than so, first because the passage from the guttural position of so to the palatal which is nearest to it is more natural than that to the more distant cerebral position of so, and also because in the latter case, after the guttural contact the tongue has to be rounded and the tip brought near the cerebral position. Thus, the whole weight

of that organ has to be supported; while in the case of #57 when its middle approaches the palatal position in pronouncing a, the forepart talls into a natural position, and no effort is required to hold it there. (Now in this क्य, the sound of यू, being the latter of the two, is by the general rule more distinct than the other; and the complete contact of a being transferred to it, it becomes a because sibilants are produced by heavy s'vasa as the hard aspirates are, and thence च्छु; as in तच्छक for तक्षक, छण for क्षण in the sense of 'a festival', इंद्र for श्रुद्ध, &c. In such words as वृत्म and अप्सर्म, the prevailing sound is that of H which, with the complete contact of the preceding त and प, ought to become u, as u becomes छ in the above case, on the theory that we is a dental. But it is not properly a dental, since it is formed not at the root of the upper teeth as a, u, & are, but considerably above, and not by the tip of the tongue but by a part of it further inwards, which is made into a curve, having its convex side upwards, and brought near to that position. In this last respect it resembles the palatals, though the part of the tongue employed in their case is still further inwards, i. c. the middle. The sibilant \mathbf{q} therefore, has no mute corresponding to it in the sounds of the Sanskrit and Pâli languages. The Marâthî dento-palatals 'चু, 'छু, 'ज्, 'য় answer to it completely, being formed in the same position as itself; so that q, when the organic contact is complete, should become the Marâthi 'ख् But these sounds are unknown to the Pâli; the speakers of that language could not pronounce the Marathi dento-palatals, as the Gujarâtîs and other northern nations cannot at the present day and just as these turn them into pure palatals, so did their ancestors. Hence, that sound which should properly be the dento-palatal & (become the palatal छ, and we have वच्छ for वत्स, अच्छरा for अप्सरम् and Hoge for Hotel.

The dentals following a z in a Sanskrit word are in Pali often changed to cerebrals; as in azafa for ava, azafa for ava, ozafa for ovava for ovava for ovava for ovava for ovava for ovava for ava for ava for ovava for

cannot be produced there, he gives it up, and then passes immediately to the next position. But if, at the first, he thinks he can produce something like the second sound, which he has distinctly heard, he pronounces the letter there, and does not give up that position. Thus in pronouncing तर्, he feels that nothing like the sound q can be produced at the position of z, and hence gives it up and passing on to the lips forms तद्य, but in afi the g that he pronounces at the cerebral point sounds a great deal more like a than q and therefore he does not pass on to the dental position But such of the Pali speakers as could distinguish between a and a did not stop at the cerebral position to pronounce their conjunct, but passed on to the dental; hence the optional forms we have noticed Such words as मित्र, सत्र, भद्र, &c., differ from वर्ष, वर्त &c.. in having the द after the distinct sound, so that the speaker resorts first to the dental position, and uttering the distinct sound there, is not carried away by the following to the cerebral position, the sound of T being weaker. (But if in the same word a dental follows such a conjunct, that dental is changed to the corresponding cerebral; as in परि for प्रति and परम for प्रथम. The cerebral element of these words has made a distinct impression on the speaker's ear, and he is conscious that his transformation of g into q, which was necessitated by his inherent inability to pronounce the two consonants together, has not brought it out. He therefore realizes it by changing the following of and v to E and But if a consonant of another species follows, or if the conjunct ends the word, he cannot give effect to this impression.

We have noticed above the change of आ to च्छ, but more frequently it is transformed into क्य. This change is due to the latter q being

A Sanskrit conjunct at the beginning of a word is reduced to a single letter; and the reason is obvious. For as I have already observed the distinctive characteristic of a conjunct consists in the provious vowel being pronounced with rapidity and force, and in the subsequent strong pressure of the vocal organs which is rendered possible by the momentum acquired by that forcible utterance. It is the reproduction of this characteristic, that makes a single consonant that is pronounced at any one of the vocal positions look like a double. When a previous vowel does not exist, that is, when a conjunct begins a word, this characteristic cannot be reproduced; hence there is no double consonant. Thus, we have खित for स्वदित, कमेण for कमेण, भगर for अगर, फस्स for स्पर्श, &c.

You will have seen that in all the changes of conjunct consonants which we have examined, no element of the two sounds is omitted by the Pali speakers. They had not the patience to hear and reproduce the two consonants immediately after each other, by putting their vocal organs into two different positions, or laboured under a physical inaptitude for doing so, their tongue not being sufficiently trained for the successive movements. But short of this, all the constituents of the sound, the s'visa, light and heavy, the completeness of contact, and the force of utterance, are represented in their pronunciation, and the amount of muscular exertion involved is the same as in the case of the original. This may be called the energetic mode of pronouncing conjuncts. There are, however, a few instances in which the components are separated by inserting a vowel between them. Thus Sanskrit are in changed to user in sillers,

श्लेष्म to सिलेसम, स्मरति to समरति, श्री and ही to सिरी and हिरी, बैल्व to बेलुव and ओप हेषिक to ओपसिलेसिक. The separating vowel is generally such as can be easily pronounced along with the previous or the following consonant, that is, belongs to the same organic position as either. Thus in the first four instances we have the labial 3 in the syllables स्व and owing स to the influence of the following म. The conjuncts र्ग and g are almost invariably dissolved into fty and to as in ality, अरिय, सुरिय, विरिय &c. and अरह, गरहा, बरिह &c for कार्य, अर्थ or आर्थ, सर्थ, वीर्थ &c. and अहं, गहां, वहं &c; and in one or two instances we have the first change when the q is preceded by a consonant other than T, as in चैतिय for चैत्य This change is to some extent due to the y being pronounced weak, i. c. almost like z. In the same way, we have सिलोक, सिलेस, सिलाघा, किलेस, किलन्त, गिलान, मिलान, पिलवित, सपिन. सिनिद्ध, नहान or सिनान &c. for श्लोक, श्लेप, श्लाचा, क्रेश, क्रान्त, ग्लान, म्लान, Bald, स्वप्न, स्नान &c This mode of utterance wants the force of the one we have examined, but both the sounds of a conjunct are clearly reproduced in it. You will have observed that the second member of the conjunct dissolved in this way is what may be called an imperfect consonant, i.e. a semi-vowel, aspirate, or nasal, in pronouncing which the breath is not completely stopped. They therefore act like a vowel, and render the sound of the first consonant comparatively distinct and audible, but are not so weak themselves as to melt away into that sound. Hence both the sounds are audible but the Pâli speaker not being able to put his vocal organs into two consonantal positions successively as we have seen, reproduced the two sounds by interposing a vowel between them. But when in some cases the second member was weakly pronounced it melted away into the first, and so we have the optional forms विस्तरित for विस्तरित, सेहा for केंद्रम, सोट्प for स्त्रप्न &c When, however, its pronunciation became stronger than that of the first, it prevailed, and so we have are for कार्य and अय्य for आर्थ.

Some conjuncts appear also unchanged in this dialect; as a in the words an adid, and affid, ou in surfu, out &c., g in gag, gad, and ga, the in the &c. Such as have a nasal for their first member and a mute for the second are also unchanged, since the nasal, even according to the rules of Sanskrit, belongs to the same organic position as the mute.

We will now proceed to notice the changes of single consonants. An unaspirate is changed to an aspirate when it is followed in the same word by a sibilant; as in will for usy, went for usy, went for

प्रया स्वार for सकुमार, यस, for तुप &c. The heavy s'visa that forms a constituent of the sibilant sound has made such a strong impression on the ear of the hearer, that he immediately prepares himself to let it out, and thus uses it even in pronouncing the letters which precede the sibilant and do not require it. The pronunciation of a word is rendered easier by transferring one of the elements of a sound to another or others, that is, by assimilating them to each other as much as possible. In a few instances the simple breath or s'visa of a previous surd is transferred to the following, originally a sonant, as in अकेति for स्थायित. The cerebral unaspirated sonant इ between two voyels is softened into the corresponding semi-vowel æ, as in ताळन for ताडन, पीळन for पीडन. तळाक for ताडाक &c. This peculiarity distinguished the old Vedic Sanskrit also; and the sound exists in the modern Marâthî and Gujarâtî, though it is unknown to the other vernaculars.

I have already given instances in which the cerebral ξ of a previous syllable in going out changes the dental of the following to a cerebral, and to these I may now add fares for faire, are for zea, and sin such an influencing cause, as in such and sin for zeal and zea, and sig for zea, are in zea, and zea for zea, and zea for zea, and zea for zea, are in zea, and zea for zea, are in zea, are i

Sanskrit and q are changed to q, which is the only sibilant in the language. This change involves an economy of effort. The tongue in its several movements becomes a lever with the fulcrum at the inner end where it is fixed in the mouth, and the weight in its centre of gravity. The advantage increases with the distance from the fulcrum of the point which is moved, that is, the point where power is applied. Hence gutturals are the most disadvantageous, palatals next, and dentals, the least. The cerebrals being pronounced by rounding the tongue and raising it very high, it is a question whether

this additional effort does not neutralise the advantage they possess over the palatals by their position being more distant. Thus q is the weakest of the sibilants. But in the Pali the change is so universal that we must suppose a special inaptitude in the speakers of that language for pronouncing sq and q, as we had to suppose in the case of the conjuncts. When, however, in a few cases the peculiarity of the palatal was distinctly preceived, and it was felt that the substituted # did not bring it out, that sibilant was changed to the corresponding mute of its psoition, i. c. to &, which resembles a in the heaviness of the simple breath required for pronouncing it. We have thus sea for ma, sea for शकत, and छाप for शाव. The change of पप 'six' to छ is also to be similarly accounted for. I have already remarked that sometimes q must at an early period have been pronounced very much like a Besides these changes there are solitary instances of others, such as the softening or toning of surds as in un for un, or the dropping of the mute element of the sonant aspirates as in होति and हेदा for भवति and अपस्तात. But these will be more fully discussed in connection with the Prakrits and the vernaculars, in which these processes have a much wider range.

The vowel of is changed to or as in one for or gour. गह for गृह, घत for गृत, मचु for मृत्यु &c ; to इ as in दिट्र for दृष्ट, तिण for तृण. मिग fer मृग, तिति for तृप्ति, गिद्ध for ग्रंथ &c; and to s as in भूस for भूश, मुद्, for मृद्, मुसा for मृपा, बुस for रूप. बुट्टि for रुष्टि &c The last change generally takes place when the vowel is preceded by a labial; so that the vocal position of the consonant influences that of the vowel. When there is no such influence, it is changed to a and g. Now, the vowel This composed of a consonantal and a vowel element, the former of which is subordinated to the latter. On this account it does not give to the consonant to which it is added the character of a conjunct, and the preceding vowel is not rendered heavy's. In Pali this consonantal element disappears in virtue of the inherent inability, which we have considered at such length, of passing from one vocal position to another without letting off the breath; but the previous consonant is not doubled because the usual character of a conjunct is absent. the disappearance may be accounted for by the fact that the consonantal element is so subordinated to the vowel element, as to escape

^{8.} This fact is accounted for by the authors and the commentators of the Pratis'akhyas by supposing that the vowel element envelopes the \(\mathbf{\xi}\) on all sides i.e. precedes it and follows it. (See Vaj. Pr., Ind. St. V. 145 and Ath. Pr., Whitney, I. 37.)

notice, and that the letter, as a whole, is difficult to pronounce. The vowel element of a is pronounced by bringing the root of the tongue in contact with the root of the palate, i. e. in the position of the gutturals; and is unrepresented independently in the Sanskrit or Pali Alphabet. Hence it is pronounced either lower down, in the position of sq, or higher up in that of , while it is sometimes carried so far to the outside as the position of z, especially when a labial precedes. That the cerebral or relement did exist, and was occasionally preceived by the Pali speakers is proved by such examples as the for sand tors and tors and also by the circumstance that in some cases, though it disappears, it changes the following dental to a cerebral, as in als for zie, and for विस्त, कह for कत &c. From these observations it would appear that the vowel we have been considering must have been in those days pronounced just in the manner in which Marathi Pandits of the present day pronounce it, and not like ag, gg, t or ft as is supposed by several European scholars. If प्रत were really धर्त, or मृग, मिर्ग, the Pâli corruptions would be वृत्त or मिगा; and if सकत were सकत, or तादश, तादिश we should have used or dist instead of used and differ, and there is no reason why ma and my should have lost their a and become an and इसि if they were really pronounced like रित or रत and रिषि or राष.

The sounds of the Sanskrit diphthongs t and an are like those of HE and HE uttered rapidly, i. c. without allowing any appreciable time to clapse between the two elements. In forming 37, the tongue and the lips are in a natural position, the lips, however, not being closed but a little opened; while grequires that the middle of the tongue should be brought close to the palate, and 3, that the lips should be completely rounded. (Hence, in pronouncing t and and it is necessary to pass from one vocal position immediately to another, a process of which, as we have seen, the Pâli speakers were incapable. diphthongs are therefore changed to and an which partake of the character of both the components. In the formation of v the tongue is not horizontal as in the case of or; its middle is raised up but not brought so close to the palate as in the case of . Similarly, in pronouncing wit the lips are not so completely rounded as in the formation of 3; neither are they in a natural position as in the case of 37. These sounds, therefore, being produced in a position between those of

^{9.} The explanation of this vowel sound and the others that follow is based upon the mode in which we Marathas pronounce them at the present day, and which is sanctioned by the Pratis'akhyas,

अ and इ, and अ and उ, combine the characteristics of both, but are simple, i.e., formed in one position only. Thus we have सेन्छ for शेन्छ, तेन्छ for तेन्छ, केवद्व for केवत, खोम for क्षोम, कोमेच्य for कोशेय, मोलि for मोन्छि &c. Similarly अय and अव frequently become ए and ओ; as in कथित for कथयति, पूजेति for पूज्यति, नेति for नयति, ओधि for अवधि, ओकास for अवकाश, नेनित for नवनीत &c. The sounds of these dissyllables differ from those of to and ओ in this respect that the अ of the former is not rapidly pronounced as is that involved in these diphthongs; or, in the words of the grammarians, the value of the first अ is one mâtrâ and of the other one-half. In the same way the अ of the two dissyllables is followed by the semi-vowel ए and च, while that of ए and ओ has the corresponding vowels इ and उ after it When अय and अब are hastily pronounced, this distinction disappears, and these dissyllables assume the form of the diphthongs ए and ओ, which by the rule just discussed become ए and ओ.

A long vowel is shortened when it is followed by a double consonant; as in मगग for मार्ग, पत्त for प्राप्त, इक्खण for इक्षण, कित्त for कीर्ति, तिब्ब for तीव, रुक्ख for रूक्ष, मुत्त for मूत्र &c The strong pressure that is necessary for the pronunciation of a conjunct cannot be properly exerted, as formerly observed, without momentum, for the acquisition of which the previous vowel has to be uttered with force and with a jerk. For this purpose a short vowel alone is fitted, but if a long one precedes, its utterance being by its nature slow and weak, the organs for want of momentum do not strike against each other with force, and hence the pressure they exert is weak. the Pali speaker, catching from his Sanskrit teacher only the generally strong nature of the pressure involved in the utterance of conjuncts, realized it to the fullest possible extent, without stopping to observe how much it was impaired by the length of the previous vowel, by pronouncing the previous vowel with force and rapidity, and thus rendering it short. And in this way the real quantity of the syllable is not diminished. Though the vowel is short the vocal organs take some time to emerge from the close contact and the strong messure resulting from its forcible utterance, and hence the whole syllable 75, for instance, in 3740, has the metrical value of a long vowel, i. e. is equal to two matras or syllabic instants. In the original faut, on the contrary, the pressure in the pronunciation of a being weaker in consequence of the slowness of the previous vowel utterance occupies less time; so that in the one case the shortness of the vowel

is accompanied by a longer duration of the contact, and in the other the length of the vowel is attended by a shorter duration of the contact.) The change, then, we have been considering, is due to the pressure necessary for the formation of the conjunctal sounds in these words having made a strong impression on the ear of the Pâli speaker. When, however, the length of the vowel prevailed, and neutralized the pressure to such an extent as to render it incapable of attracting attention. the conjunct came to be in the condition of one standing at the beginning of a word; and like it preserved only the more distinct sound and dropped the other, leaving the long vowel unchanged. We have thus सीस for श्रीपं, दीघ for दीघं, सासप for सर्वप, &c. It is. however, clear that a long vowel followed by a double consonant is an Vimpossibility in Páli. A Sanskrit conjunct, whenever it made a distinct impression, was pronounced with the usual characteristics of that sound, uz., the previous rapid and forcible vowel utterance and the subsequent strong contact and strong pressure, the perceptible effect of which was the shortening of the previous vowel and the doubling of the following consonant.

Without the momentum acquired from the force and rapidity of the previous vowel utterance, the Pali speaker could not form a strong contact and exert strong pressure, that is, without a short preceding vowel they could not pronounce a double consonant. If Then the Pâli has such words as तेतिस (त्रयिश्वत्), सेट्टि (श्रेष्टिन्), नेत (नेत्र), मोत्थिय (श्रोत्रिय), योग्गा (योग्या), मोक्ख &c., it follows that the vowels of and were in such cases pronounced short. Similarly, when before doubles and are changed to them, as they often are, they This change of 3 and 3 to 7 and 3 arises from the must be short fact that the force and rapidity of the jerk with which the current of breath is sent up to pronounce the former vowels is apt to prevent the tongue from rising as close to the palate or the lower lip to the upper, as is necessary for the formation of \(\) or \(\), or they are apt to be forced down by the current. And t and si differ from g and s simply in the distance between the pronouncing organs being greater. This change, therefore, really involves an economy, since the effort to raise up the tongue and the lower lip across the strong current of breath blowing above is saved. We have thus, और for se पोक्सर for पुष्कर, पोत्थक for पुस्तक, सोण्ड for ग्रुण्ड, नेकल for निष्क, नेत्तिस for निर्ह्विश, वेस्सभ for विश्वम &c. In some cases both vowels are in use, as in the word नेक्ख which has another form निकल. Sometimes, especially before य, ए was invariably pronounced short, though no conjunct followed, and to make up for the loss of quantity thus occasioned the consonant was doubled; as in थेया for स्थेय, गेया for गेय, कोसंग्य for कोशेय &c. The vowel ओ was also similarly treated in a few cases, as in ओस्सजित for अवमृजति. Thus then not only has the Pâli a short ए and ओ, but the speakers of the language seem to have possessed a predilection for those sounds. Besides the changes we have examined, there are stray examples of others, such as उस and उच्छ for रूप and रूप, in which the उ of the following syllable influences the utterance of the first vowel, सोट्प for स्वप्न, in which the semi-vowel व is dissolved into the corresponding vowel उ, and thence transformed to short ओ, मोर for मग्र, in which the v being lightly pronounced loses its consonantal character and the vowel क with the preceding अ forms ओ, and others.

You will thus have observed that the phonetic changes which Sanskrit words undergo in passing into the Pâli may be brought under a few general rules. There are not such various and extensive corruptions both of vowels and consonants as we find in the later dialects and in the modern vernaculars. It has been estimated that two-fifths of the Pali vocabulary are composed of pure Sanskrit words, and the remaining three-fifths, of words altered in one or other of the modes explained above. The conclusion to be drawn from these facts is, that when the Pâli was in use, the tradition of the original Sanskrit was not distant; the words, so to say, did not stray away long from the mother-language, so as to undergo extensive alterations. If so, how is it that some of the changes such as the transformation of t and an into and and and the assimilation of consonants are so universal, the first being without any exception, and the second with but a few unimportant ones? The principle which guides phonetic change is the economy of effort, understanding the word in its widest sense. This economy is observable in the two kinds of changes, as well as in the transformation of surds into sonants, the elision of consonants or of some of their elements, the assimilation of the vowels or the single consonants that make up a word, and in several other processes. But in the Pali there are very few instances of some of these, and none at all of others. The language had not a sufficiently long duration of independent existence to bring them into extensive operation. If, then, the two processes we have noticed are found in full play in that dialect, the reason must be sought for in the vocal peculiarities of the people who spoke it. Though they heard conjunct consonants and the diphthones

and in pronounced by the speakers of Sanskrit, as correctly as the other letters which they did not corrupt, their organs were not fitted to utter them. These peculiarities may have been natural or acquired. If natural, the people who first corrupted Sanskrit into the Pali must have belonged to an alien race which came into close contact with the Âryas and learnt their language. If they were acquired, a branch of the same Aryan race must be supposed to have been isolated in some part of the country, and to have developed them, in consequence of being cut off from the main body. But this supposition must be rejected for the reasons that have been already given. Such complete isolation as could give rise to new vocal peculiarities must be expected to have occasioned greater phonetic decay in other respects than is observable in the Pali. And our analysis of the Sanskrit conjunctal and the Pali double sounds favours the first view. For, we have seen that these latter represent all the elements of the former, but they are combined lin a sound produced in one vocal position only. The Pâli speakers endeavoured to reproduce the sound of a conjuct faithfully, their pronunciation was not weak, as is that prevailing in modern times, but energetic and correct in every other respect; but they could not combine energy of utterance with two successive movements of the vocal organs. This could only be because their organs were not sufficiently trained for the purpose; in other words, because the sounds were foreign to them. The condition of men who have to learn the language of others is similar to that of children, whose organs of speech are being exercised for the first time. Healthy children, whose utterance is denergetic, pronounce the conjuncts almost in the same way as the Pali speakers did. And there is another justance in History of an alien race having treated the sounds of the language of a civilized community in just the same way. The Barbarians who overran Italy and developed the Italian from the Latin, showed the same inability to pronounce the Latin conjuncts, and assimilated them as our Pâli ancestors did.

If this supposition is correct, we must find other traces of the peculiarities of this alien race. And such we do find. The existence of the short **v** and **v** in the Pâli, and the predilection the people showed for them, as well as the change of dentals to cerebrals without any influencing cause, are similarly to be attributed to the natural vocal tendencies of the people. These sounds must have existed and played an important part in the original language of this people, so that they were unable to shake them off entirely, even when they loft

their own tongue and learned that of the more civilized Aryas with whom they came to be closely incorporated. If the original Pâli speakers belonged to the same race as the Dravidians of Southern India of the present day, we have a reason to believe that their native tongue contained them; for they exist in the Dravidian languages and are very characteristic of them.

We shall also find in the Pali, and even in the passage placed before you, examples of another phenomenon presented by a growing language. Several new words, unknown to Sanskrit, but formed from Sanskrit roots, have come into use. Such is Harry, derived very likely from मनस 'mind' or 'heart', and आप 'to obtain' 'to meet,' so that the word signifies 'something that comes up to the wishes of the heart', 'pleasing'. The word सचे is from चेत with स prefixed, which स seems to be the same as the nominative singular of the masculine of ac. For, it is so used in Sanskrit before चत्र, though it has there an independent sense; as in स चेन्स्रुनिदाहित्रस्तहक्षणोपपतः (Såkuntala). Often used together in this way, the two words formed a compound expression, and, the independent character of H being forgotten, it came to be looked upon las one word. In the same way, सन्तिक must have come into existence from the frequent use of such expressions as तस्मिन्तकं, त्राह्मणस्मिन्तकं &c. made up of the genitive singular of a noun or pronoun ending in & and wearn. The portion Hearn then came to be regarded as an independent word, and was used as such. Another new word is THE or দানক 'agreeable', 'pleasant', corresponding to such a Sanskrit word as स्पर्ध or स्पर्धक formed from the analogy of such verbal derivatives as भिक्ष, इच्छ, or लाक, पातक &c., and meaning 'that which is or deserves to be touched', or 'pleasant to the touch'. Other instances are पिलन्धन 'an ornament', पेयाह 'plentiful' &c.

We will now proceed to the examination of Páli grammar. When after years of successive creative efforts, the language of our Aryan ancestors came to be so rich in all kinds of grammatical forms, as the Vedic or middle Sanskrit is, it became cumbrous, and the tendency set in, as we have seen, of dropping away some of them and rendering the grammar simpler. The duals of both nouns and verbs are unnecessary; the occasions for using them do not often present themselves. Hence, even in Sanskrit, their use must have been rare, and the Pâli, which, in its original form at least, must be taken to represent the current usage, has dropped them away altogether. But the manner in which the process of simplification is principally carried on is by the

use of false analogies. Thus in Sanskrit, nouns ending in g and g of the neuter gender prefix 7 to the terminations of the vowel cases. But in Pali it is added to those of the corresponding cases of masculine nouns also, as in मचनो for मृत्यो: in the passage before us. Similarly, from the analogy of neuter nouns in 37 which form their nominative and accusative singular by adding H, the pronouns uc and ac come to have i and i for the corresponding cases, instead of Sanskrit us and as. In Sanskrit, the conjugation that is very often used is the first in which a is tacked on to the root in the special tenses, and it embraces a large number of the most ordinary roots. Here, in our passage, we find the analogy extended to the root fin the present tense of which is in the mother dialect ordinarily formed by inserting a between the two letters, and adding the termination to the final (Erfen 3rd person singular), and we have Erfen. The root in takes the form of m in the present and other special tenses, and to it is added the conjugational sign ना, so that it becomes जाना. Now, this special form is generalized, and used in other tenses also, such as the Aorist and the Future. Thus, we have here संजातिस, the Aorist 3rd person plural of an, and in other places we find anfertal as one of the forms of the Future. The special forms इच्छ, पद्य, भूण, बुध्य, and are similarly generalized, the last four being phonetically changed to पस्स, सुण, बुडझ, and पूज. In the same way in forming the causative of a root q or silq is added in Sanskrit to roots ending in sil and to a few others. It is extended to all roots in the Pali, and thus we have तिकिच्छापेहि for चिकित्सय in the above. The termination त्वा of the absolutive is, in Sanskrit, replaced by q when a root has a preposition prefixed to it. But here no such distinction is observed, and say is The operation of this law of false analogies is very used in all cases. extensive in the grammar of the Pâli and the later Prâkrits; and by its means new forms have been made up instead of those current in the primitive language. But side by side with these, we often find the latter also in use corrupted by the usual phonetic laws, and having a sort of isolated existence, since they transgress the general rule that has newly come into operation. Thus we have जानिस्सति, पस्सिस्सति and लिभस्सति according to the law of analogy; but नस्सति from ज्ञास्यति, दक्खात from द्रक्ष्यति, and उच्छति from उपस्यते are also in use. three processes then, vidy the dropping away of forms not required for the expression of the current ordinary thought, the formation of new ones on the principle of analogy, and the preservation of the old ones in what may be called an isolated or petrified condition, have contributed to the formation of the grammar of this and the succeeding languages. With these preliminary observations, I will now hastily pass under review the principal points in the grammar of this dialect.¹⁰

The Pâli has lost the dual, and also the dative and ablative cases. except of masculine and neuter nouns ending in 37.* The only occasion when the first is ordinarily used is when 'giving' is expressed, but the genitive case has such a comprehensive signification in Sanskrit that it denotes the dative relation also, and is often used in that sense. Most of the relations expressed by the ablative are denoted by the instrumental, and for the expression of the peculiar ablative sense, viz., the separation of one thing from another, the particle at had come into very general use, even in the parent language. The genitive and instrumental thus took up the place of those two cases. But people do not forget what they have frequent occasion to use. The great majority of nouns in Sanskrit end in 37, and of these the singular is oftener used than the plural. Hence the singulars of the dative and ablative of these nouns are preserved in the Pâli, notwithstanding the operation of the causes that drove away these cases from other places. The w of the termination of the instrumental plural is optionally changed to E. The locative singular of masculine and neuter nouns ending in a vowel is formed by adding the pronominal termination स्मन, phonetically changed to ft and Fe; and the ablative the form of the or the is used optionally in the case of nouns in of. The termination en of the genitive singular of this class of nouns is generalized. and in the form of eet applied to all nouns of the masculine and neuter genders. It should be remembered that in accordance with the general rule, the Sanskrit grammatical forms drop the final consonant, including a visarga, in passing into the Pâli. The nasal # is changed to an anusvâra, and since this, like a conjunct consonant, necessitates the rapid utterance of the preceding vowel and renders it heavy, the vowel is shortened. We have thus ने for नाम, हसं for ह्याम, यं for याम, The a of the and of the syllable san occurring in some of the cases is also changed to an anusvâra.

Masculine Nouns ending in St. The nominative singular always ends in St. In Sanskrit we have this form before a short St or a sonant only. Here it is generalized. The accusative plural ends in

 $^{10\,}$ My authority in this portion of my subject is Kachchâyana, as edited by M. Senart.

^{*} The ablative अगिस्मा-म्हा, भिक्खुस्मा-म्हा do, however, occur in the literature.

ए as बहे. When the final consonant is dropped according to the usual phonetic rules, the Sanskrit nominative and accusative plurals become exactly alike. To distinguish the one from the other, therefore, this form is appropriated in the Pâli for the latter. The vappears to be the termination of the nominative plural of pronouns, and it is transferred to nouns in the same way as the others we have noticed above. But it is used here in an accusative sense. The forms of the singular and plural of the nominative of neuter nouns, and of the plural of many more, are the same as those of the accusative in Sanskrit, and this fact must have led to a tendency to liken the two cases in other places also. Hence the pronominal nominative came to be used like an ac-We shall directly see this tendency to confuse the two cases manifested more clearly as regards the plural, and it may be remarked that as regards both the numbers it went on increasing at each successive stage, until in the latest Prakrit and in the vernaculars the distinction has entirely disappeared. The termination of the instrumental plural is एमि as in बहेमि, or, with the mute element dropped, एडि which is traced to the Vedic एभि: in such forms as देवेभि: But it may be explained otherwise. The Sanskrit ag: becomes ag by the dropping of the visarga and the change of the diphthong to v, and this is the same as the locative singular, and is by no means distinctive of an instrumental sense. Hence to at was added the instrumental termination ar or is which all other nouns in Sanskrit and Pâli take. The other cases are the same as in Sanskrit, subject to the general remarks made above. The ablative and locative singulars have the new pronominal forms in the or the and fth or the in addition to the old ones. In the vocative singular, the final of is optionally lengthened. //

—Nouns in इ and ड. The nominative and accusative plurals have two forms and they are the same for both the cases, as अगा and अगायो, भिक्ख and भिक्खा. Now अगा and भिक्खा are the Sanskrit accusative plurals अग्रीन and भिक्षान. and अगायो and भिक्खा the nominative plurals अग्रय: and भिक्षान. The distinction between the two is lost, and both are used indifferently in the sense of the nominative and accusative. The termination of the instrumental plural is भि or हि. The singulars of the genitive and locative are, like those of the corresponding neuter nouns or nouns ending in इन such as दण्डिन, formed by the addition of न, as अगिनो and भिक्खाने. अगिनि and भिक्खाने. They have also the forms indicated in the general remarks, एंट., अगिनस्त and

भिक्तुस्स, and अगिसिंग—हिंह and भिक्तुस्मि—हिंह; and the dative and ablative are, as usual, like the genitive and instrumental. The vocative is like the nominative, except in the plural of nouns in उ which ends in वो or वे as भिक्तवो or भिक्तवे. The rest are old or Sanskrit, the final vowel being, however, lengthened in the plural of the instrumental and locative, and the syllable ग्रि of आग्रि being optionally dissolved into गिर्मिन in the nominative singular.

-Nouns in The nominative has the old Sanskrit forms; as मत्था and सत्थारो for शास्ता and शास्तार: from शास्त. The accusative plural is the same as the nominative plural. The augmented form of the Sanskrit nominative plural, viz. सत्थार for शास्तार, is taken as the base for the plural of the instrumental, genitive, and locative, and declined like nouns in 37. The genitive plural is also formed by taking the nominative singular as the base, as मत्यानं. The instrumental singular has the new base and the old termination आ: and thus we have मत्थारा. The genitive singular has three forms, one of them being the old one with the final & dropped, as सहय, and the other two made up by taking this form as the base, and appending the terminations which nouns in द as भिक्ल take, as सत्थस्म or सत्थनो. The singulars of the accusative and locative have the Sanskrit forms, as सत्थारं and सत्थारं; and the vocative singular has besides the old one another with the vowel lengthened, as सत्थ or सत्था. You will thus see that there are four bases, the old one which gives the old forms, and three new ones, Han and Haut generalized from the nominative, and Hau, from the genitive.

—Nouns ending in a consonant. There can be no consonantal declension proper, since a final consonant is dropped, and the noun treated as one ending in the preceding vowel. But relics of the Sanskrit forms of the consonantal bases are preserved and used along with the others. In the declension of the noun आरम् there are two new bases अत and अत्तन, generalized from the forms of the singular of the Sanskrit nominative and of the instrumental and others आरमा and आरमना &c. and declined like nouns in अ. The first is used in the singular of the accusative and the plurals of the genitive and locative which are अतं, अत्तानं and अत्तेम; and the second in the plural of the instrumental which is अत्तेनंद्व-भि. The remaining forms are old, the portion आरम being corrupted to अत; they are अता nominative singular, अतानं accusative singular, अतानं nominative and accusative plural, अतानं accusative singular, अतानं instrumental singular, अतानं genitive singular, and

अत्ति locative singular. The vocatives is अत or अता, like that of nouns in अ.

In the declension of the noun राजन the base राज in used in the singulars of the accusative, the instrumental, and perhaps the ablative also, and the forms are राजं, राजेन, and राजस्मा or राजस्हा. The old forms are found in the nominative (राजा. राजानो), and in the singular of the accusative which has thus two forms राजं and राजानं, and the plural of this last case is, as usual, the same as that of the first. The singulars of the instrumental, genitive, and locative are the same as those in Sanskrit, but ज being changed to ठूम, we have रूठमा, रूठमो, and रूठम. In the last two cases the conjunct is also dissolved into जिन, wherefore we have राजिनो and राजिन also. The genitive plural is रूठमें and, ज being dissolved into जुन, the form राज्नं is used, in addition. This राज is made the base of the plurals of the instrumental and locative; and thus we have राज्ञिने हे and राज्य. The vocative singular is like that of nouns in अ.

The suffixes and and and such nouns as guan and and and are regarded as if they were and and and and the nouns declined like those ending in an in the sigulars of the nominative, accusative, and genitive, and in the plurals of the accusative, instrumental genitive and locative; and, according to the commentator of Kachchâyana. in the singulars of the instrumental and locative also; as guand, guand,

Feminine nouns. The nominative, besides the old forms, has another transferred from nouns in \S ; as कठनायो. The singulars of the instrumental and the succeeding cases, excepting the vocative, have one same form made up from the Sanskrit genitive by dropping the visarga and shortening the final vowel; as कठनाय, instrumental, dative, ablative, genitive, and locative singular. The others and also the locative singular have the old forms; as कठनाभि है instrumental,

and ablative plural, कञ्चानं genitive and dative plural, and कञ्चारं and क्रञास locative singular and plural. The final member य of the conjunct which appears in the case-forms of nouns in s such as asi, is dissolved into इय, and thus we have नदियो from the Sanskrit नदा: and this and नदी from the Sanskrit नदी: are both of them the plurals of the nominative and accusative; नदा the singular of the instrumental is dissolved into निदया, and also नदा: of the ablative and genitive which after dropping the visarga becomes नगः and this is extended to the locative singular, which has also another form नृदियं, from नग्राम. There is nothing particular about the rest. Nouns in short 3 are declined in exactly the same way, except that Kachchayana gives such forms as रखा and रखं in addition to र्तिया and रनियं for the singular of the ablative and locative. Nouns in 3 or 3 follow completely the analogy of those in \(\frac{1}{2}\); the forms of ay, for instance, are not derived from the corresponding Sanskrit forms of the noun, but are made up by adding the final syllables of those of नदी; as नध्यो nominative and accusative plural, ava singular instrumental, ablative, genitive, &c.

Neuter Nouns.—The singular of the nominative and accusative of neuter nouns ending in a vowel is the same as in the parent tongue, but the forms of the plural are optionally like those of the corresponding masculine nouns; as square require nominative plural, and square or square accusative plural; as if (like and) or as find nominative and accusative plural, and or any or any of nominative and accusative plural. As in the case of masculine nouns, such as have a final consonant in Sanskrit drop it and are treated as if they ended in the preceding vowel. But in the singulars the old forms are preserved; as unit or unit nominative and accusative, until or unit instrumental, until genitive, until particular or unit or unit instrumental, until genitive, until particular or unit or unit instrumental, until genitive, until particular or unit or uni

Pronouns.—As pronominal terminations have been transferred to nouns, a few nominal ones have been extended to pronouns, thus carrying on the process of unification a step further. The plural of the nominative of feminine pronouns has a form ending in $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$, and the singulars of the instrumental and genitive end in \mathbf{m} or $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ and that of the locative in $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$, as in the case of the corresponding nouns; as $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ nominative plural, $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ or $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ enitive singular, and $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ or $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ enitive singular, and $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ or $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ enitive singular, of $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$, set, and set. All pronouns of the third person, of whatever gender, form the genitive plural by adding $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ from

^{*} The Sanskrit form and corrupted to assi is also found used.

the Sanskrit साम, and also सान which is made up of सं the pronominal and # the nominal termination, so that the second form is the genitive of the first taken as a base, as तेसं or तेसानं, तासं or तासानं, &c. Similarly, the bases unit and sul which are substituted for unit and suit in the singulars of the genitive and locative, and a which optionally replaces T in these cases, have a genitive singular with a double termination: as तस्सा, तिस्सा or तिस्साय, एतिस्सा or एतिस्साय, इमिस्सा or इमिस्साय, where स्या, Sanskrit स्या:, is the pronominal, and आय the nominal termination. The plurals of the nominative and accusative have the same form as ते. सब्दे, ता or तायो, &c., and the instrumental plural of the masculine has, like that of nouns, the termination wh or ve. The remaining terminations are the same as in Sanskrit. The correlative or remote demonstrative at has, besides the usual Sanskrit base, another न which has all the cases नं. नेन, &c., except the nominative singular. This base is generalized from the एनम्. एनेन &c. of the accusative, the singular of the instrumental, and the dual of the genitive and locative, which are used in making aurâdes'a as it is called by Pânini, i. e. in referring to one who has already been spoken of. The near demonstrative szu has two bases, of and su. In Sanskrit this latter is used in the nominative dual and plural and in the accusative. Here it is extended to all the cases except the nominative singular, and so we have singular, इमिना, इमेहि, इमस्मा, इमस्स, इमेसं, इमस्मि, and इमेस ; इमाय, इमिस्सा, &c. The first base is used, as in Sanskrit, in all cases except the plural of the nominative and accusative and the forms are अयं, अनेन, एहि, अस्मा &c. The pronoun अइस has the base अस for the nominative singular, and अस for all other cases which is lengthened in the plural; as असना. अमृद्धिः अमुस्मा, अमुस्स, अमृसं; अमुया, अमुस्सा, &c. The nominative and accusative of the neuter is अदं.

The singulars of the pronouns of the first and second persons are the same as in Sanskrit; as अहं, मं. मया, मम or मे, and मिय; त्वं, त्वं, त्वं, त्वंया. तव or ते, and त्विय. The syllable त्व is optionally dissolved into त in the nominative and accusative which have thus तुवं, and changed to त in the latter as well as in the instrumental and locative; and so we have तं, तया and तिय also. The dative and genitive being confounded, the Sanskrit मसम and त्रथम of the former are in the form of मग्हं and तुग्हं used for both cases. The latter has also the forms ममं and अम्हं and तुम्हं, the anusvâra being inserted from the analogy of the plural. The plural of आहं is मयं in which the initial a of the Sanskrit form is changed to म; and in the accusative, instrumental, and locative, the Sanskrit base अस्म in the form of अम्ह is declined like the mascu-

line तद, and the forms are अम्हे. अम्हेहि, and अम्हेस. The y of yeng was probably weakly pronounced, hence the singular base g has been transferred to the plural and the peculiar syllable of this number entacked on to it, and the whole in the form of gife is declined like तद in all the cases except the genitive; as gife, gife &c. The forms of the plurals of this last are like those in Sanskrit; as wife and gife and gife and are like those pronouns have the forms in and ai, besides those mentioned above. These are made up by adding anusvâra, the sign of the accusative, to the form of the genitive used as a base. On the same principle we have wifi and gife for the plural, but as the genitive forms have an anusvâra already, the addition of the accusative sign makes no difference. This is an isolated instance in this dialect of a method of constructing new case-forms, which is, we shall find as we proceed in our investigations, largely used in the later dialects and especially in the modern vernaculars.

You will have seen how naturally the new formations we have noticed grew up. A language is well learnt by others or correctly transmitted to them only when they are in constant and close intercourse with those who know it, or when they are deliberately taught. When for some reason or other this is not the case, and the linguistic tradition is imperfect, men proceed from what is more in use and consequently better known to that which is less used and less known, Nouns in stance, constitute a very large portion of the ordinary Their case forms were most used, whence they were Sanskrit names. well known and those of other nouns not being so often used were less known. In these cases these less known forms had to conform to the model of the more known, and thus we see a tendency to bring nouns as close as possible to the of declension, as you have seen in such nouns as आत्मन, गुणवत्, गच्छत्, शास्त्र, and even अग्नि. And it is also clear that the new or base is generally taken from the nominative, which case is oftener used than others; as अत्त, गुणवन्त, इच्छन्त, and In the same way we have observed a strong मतार i. e. शास्तार. tendency to obliterate the distinction between the nominal and pronominal declensions, and fuse them into one, and this tendency has succeeded everywhere except in the genitive plural. Still at the time when the Pali arose the traditions of the original Sanskrit were not entirely lost, wherefore we have often old forms used side by side with the new ones. The same process is observable in the conjugations of verbs, as I have already remarked. It will thus be seen how groundless is the opinion of those who maintain that these Pråkrits or derived languages were simply literary languages, or were constructed by Pandits. But this point will be discussed at length hereafter.

Inattention to this law of false analogies or generalization, and to the wide range of its operation in the formation of Pâli grammar has led some scholars to set down as Vedic certain forms which exist in this dialect but are not to be met with in classical Sanskrit. इमस्स the genitive singular masculine of इत्म, फूला the nominative plural of फल, अही and मंत्र nominative and accusative plural of अस्थि and मंत्र, अम्हे the general plural base of the pronoun of the first person, and नान genitive plural of in. I see no reason why such should be regarded as Vedic and not the other forms that have sw for their base, such as इमस्मा, इमेसं, &c., or why कला should be so and not कले the accusative plural; अम्हे and not तुम्हे, अट्टी and not अद्भिस्स, or गोनं and not अभिभूनं. If these latter forms and a host of others must be explained with reference to a thoroughly different principle, why should the former which are kindred to them and are as completely capable of that same explanation, be traced to a Vedic origin? The fact that they happen to resemble certain Vedic forms does not prove their derivation from them. The same process of generalization and the same natural tendency to construct the less known forms from the analogy of those that are more known brought them all into use. The forms फला and फले, and अट्टी and मधू are, as stated before, made up upon the analogy of the corresponding masculine, and herein we observe the beginning of a tendency to obliterate the distinction between the masculine and neuter, which went on progressing until now, in the Hindi, Sindhi, and other vernaculars of Northern India, the neuter gender has totally disappeared, while in the Marathi the distinction remains in the case of pronouns and certain nouns, and in the Gujarâtî only in the latter.

We will now examine the Pâli verb. The distinction between the special and general tenses and moods is almost lost, the special form being used in the general, as in now the future of na, or the general form in the special as naid. We have also naided and negation. A large number of roots used in ordinary intercourse belong in Sanskrit to the first conjugation; this and the sixth are the easiest of the ten; in many cases there is no practical distinction between them, and in others they are so greatly like each other that they are capable of

being confused together. Hence the rule of constructing verbal forms common to these two conjugations, viz. the addition of the personal terminations through the intervention of st, has become general in Pali. A good many roots belonging to the other classes are conjugated according to this rule; as दोहति, विदति, and रवति, for दोग्धि, वेति, and रौति, of the second class; पोसति for प्रच्यति. ससति and बन्धति for सरणाति and arana, &c. The tenth conjugation is almost equally common in Sanskrit, whence a great many roots are conjugated necessarily or optionally in this way; as वदीमें or वदामि, गमेति or गच्छति, सिलेसेति, वेदेति or विदित, विगाहोत, &c. from वद, गम, न्हिप, विद, गाह with वि, &c. The ए in these forms stands, you will remember, for the Sanskrit अय, which is the characteristic of the tenth class. The second conjugation has gone out, except in isolated forms such as safe for safe, and the third has left some reduplicated roots, as ददामि, जहाति, &c. The fourth has preserved a good many of its roots but its vis corrupted according to the usual phonetic rules; thus बुध्य becomes बुद्ध: पण, पजा; नृत्य, नच; मन्य, मञ्ज, &c. The fifth and the ninth are confounded, and roots of the former take the termination of the latter also; as पायणोति or पायुणाति for प्राप्नोदि; सुणोति or सुणाति for गुणोतिः सक्रोति or सक्रुणाति for smile &c. In the last instance the base is HE derived from सकोति the corruption of शकोति, thus showing that the forms in ना are a later growth. The seventh inserts, as before remarked, a nasal in the body of the roots and transfers them to the first; the eighth remains in a few cases such as तन and क, though this last takes a peculiar form also, as तनोति, करोति or कथिरति; and the ninth adds ना as in Sanskrit, as किणाति, धनाति, छनाति, प्रनाति, &c. But it is to be observed that the more common of the roots belonging to these conjugations only have preserved their peculiar forms; the rest are conjugated according to the rules of the first, sixth, or tenth. Since the distinction between the special and general tenses and moods is lost, the effect of these conjugational peculiarities is only to constitute a new or augmented root.

Of the ten tenses and moods in Sanskrit, the Pâli has lost two, the first future and the precative. The two Padas or voices remain, but the distinction is lost in most cases, such forms as उचति, ख्ट्यति, ख्टा, though passive, taking Parasmaipada terminations.

The following are the terminations:---

Present Tense.

	Parasm.			Átm.			
	Singula		Singular.	Plural.			
1st pers.	मि	म	ए	म्हे			
2nd "	सि	થ	से	न्हें व्हें			
3rd ",	ति	्. अन्ति	ते	[ु] र अन्ते			
E.v.	पचित	पचन्ति &c.	पचते	पचन्ते &c.			
Imperative.							
1st pers.	मि	म	Ų	आमसे			
2nd ,,	हि, or	none थ	स्स	व्हो			
3rd "	तु	अन्तु	तं	अन्तं			
Ex.	पचतु	पचन्तु &८.	पचतं	पचन्तं &c.			
Imperfect.							
1st pers.	अ	म्हा	द्	म्हसे			
2nd ,,	ओ	त्थ	से	व्हं			
3rd "	આ	3 ,	त्थ	ત્ત્યું			
Ex.	अपचा	अपच् &७.	अपचत्थ	अपचत्धुं &c.			
Potential.							
1st pers.	एय्यामि	एय्याम	एय्यं	एय्यम्हे			
2nd ,,	एय्यासि	एयाथ	एथो	एय्यव्हो			
3rd "	एय्य от ए	एय्युं	एथ	एरं			
Ex. q	चे or प चेय्य	पचेय्युं & ं∙	पचेथ	पचेरं &c.			
Aorist.							
1st pers.	ई	म्हा	अ	म्हे			
2nd ,,	ओ	त्थ	से	ंहै			
3rd "	इ	उं or इंस	आ	3 ,			
E.c.	अपची	अपचुं 📭 अपचिंसु 🗞	े. अपचा	अपचू ६०.			
Perfect.							
1st pers.	अ	म्ह	इ	म्हे			
2nd "	ए	त्थ	त्थो	व्हो			
3rd "	अ	उ	त्थ	<u></u> ₹			
Ex.	पपच	पपचु &c.	पपचित्थ	पपचिरे &c.			
Future.							
1st pers.	स्सामि	स्साम	स्सं	स्सम्हे			
2nd "	स्ससि	स्सथ	स्ससे	स्सब्हे			
3rd "	स्सति	स्सन्ति	स्सते	स्सन्ते			
Ex.	भविस्सति	भविस्सन्ति &ः	भविस्सते	भविस्सन्ते &८.			

Conditional.

1st pers	s. स्तं	स्सम्हा	स्सं	स्साम्हसे
2nd "	स्से	स्सथ	स्ससे 🕡	स्सव्हे
3rd "	स्सा	स्संस्र	स्सथ	स्सिंख
Ex.	अभविस्सा	अभविस्समु &c.	अभविस्सथ	अभविस्सिस &c.

The terminations of the Present of both Padas are the same as in Sanskrit, with the exception of the plural # and #\$, the = of the second person plural of the Atmanepada being a corruption of sa. This tense is most in use; hence the Pâli speakers learned it well, so to say, from their Sanskrit teachers. The other tenses, except the Future which, like the Present, is also entirely Sanskrit, and the moods have preserved such of their forms as are more frequently used in ordinary There is, for instance, greater occasion for the use of the second person singular of the Imperative Mood, and also for the third person. Hence these are the same as in Sanskrit, but the second person plural termination u, and the first person singular u and the plural u, Parasmaipada, have been transferred from the Present. As to these, even in Sanskrit we find the Present used very generally for this Mood in the first person; as in किं ते भूयः प्रियम्पहरामि S'ak., किं ते भूयः प्रियं करोमि Mrich., &c. where the forms should be system, at any &c. The rest are the same as in Sanskrit. The second person singular is formed in two ways, viz. without adding any termination as in the conjugations which give an ending of to the base, and by appending & as is done in The Atmanepada ea is changed to ea, the a being dissolved into 3, and since 3 renders the previous vowel heavy, the resulting H is doubled to preserve that effect. The plural EAU is altered to ze; the u and the mute element being dropped, we have ze the final vowel of which is transformed into an through the influence of the preceding q. The t of the first person singular becomes v, or this may be considered to have been transferred from the Present, and for the plural we have आमसे which is an old Vedic termination of the Atmanepada first person plural corrupted in Sanskrit to syug. The तं and अन्तं of the third person are the same as the ताम and अन्ताम of the parent language.

The Potential has preserved the old forms of the third person only, vis., पचे for पचेत्, and पचेर्युं for पचेयुः. The य is doubled as in धर्यं for स्त्रेय according to a general rule which we have noticed before. The singular of this person is also formed by adding एव्य made up on

the analogy of the plural एख् and also of such forms as सहयात. The final vowel is, however, shortened, but in such cases as जानीया for जानीयात् it remains long. This form with the final long is used as a base, and the terminations of the first person and second person of the Present added to it to form the corresponding persons of the Potential. The Âtmanepada एथ, एरं, and एथा are the same as एत, एरंच, and एथा of the Sanskrit अ-conjugations, the त of एत being rendered an aspirate and the आ of एथा: shortened. The Parasmaipada singular एथम as in प्रथम is adapted for the Âtmanepada in the form एथा and the plurals of the second and first persons are formed by taking एथ्य as the base and appending ध्यम corrupted to उही and उहे of the Present.

In the Imperfect the sq and s of the third person seem to be generalized from such forms as sayer and say:. The termination see is, as you know, applied in Sanskrit optionally to roots ending in 37 and necessarily to at and such as are reduplicated. The an of the singular, however, may be considered as due to the lengthening of the previous of such Sanskrit forms of the of-conjugations as organ, when the final consonant was dropped. This lengthening was brought about by the forcible pronunciation of the sy rendered necessary in Sanskrit by the final consonant. The second person singular is 37. which corresponds to the Sanskrit M:, and M of the first person singular to the see with the nasal dropped. The Atmanepada second person singular & is transferred from the Present, of is van, and s is generalized from the forms of the non-अ-conjugations, such as अल्ली, अद्धि. The Perfect has preserved the third person singular of and plural and the first person singular of, of the Parasmaipada; and ? of the Atmanepada. Of the rest, v second person singular Parasmaipada is perhaps the v of the Sanskrit Atmanepada singular of the first and third persons; and the s of the Atmanepada is transferred from the Imperfect.

In the Aorist the third person singular is the termination of the fifth form of the Sanskrit Aorist with the final a dropped as usual; and the plural is generalized from such forms as new. Some roots, such as n and have a for the singular as nife, and name, the of which is to be traced to and. Another plural termination is in which we can recognize the Sanskrit in. The second person singular is from the name of the second Aorist; and the first person

singular is a resulting from the fusion of the augment with the stage of the second Aorist. The third person singular and plural and the first person singular of the Atmanepada are the same as the corresponding ones of the Parasmaipada Imperfect, both numbers of the second person are the same as the corresponding Atmanepada of the Imperfect, and the second for the first person plural is transferred from the Present.

The terminations of the Second Future are made up as in Sanskrit The Atmanepada first by prefixing $\overline{\epsilon} = \overline{\epsilon} \overline{q}$ to those of the Present person singular has however et instead of ett. In one instance, viz., होहिति, होहिन्त from हो, the स्स is corrupted to ह. In the Conditional terminations the en occurs everywhere, but the other portions are transferred from other tenses. The ending of the third person singular the is of course the an of the Imperfect; the six of the plural has been transferred from the Aorist, Imperfect, or Potential; the # and w of the and the are brought over from the Atmanepada and Parasmaipada of the Present; स्तं is स्यम्, and the महा of स्तम्हा the plural is from the Imperfect. In Sanskrit, the short terminations of the Imperfect and other tenses are added to the FI in the Conditional, but here there is a mixture of both the short and the long, and also of the We find the same mixture in the Atmanepada. two Padas.

It will thus appear that the Present and Future have preserved most of the Sanskrit terminations, and the other tenses only about two Besides the terminations that have thus been preserved or transferred by analogy from one tense to another, there are others which cannot be thus explained. Such are + Atmanepada first person plural of the Present; zu and ve of the Parasmaipada second and first person plural, and at third person singular, and second person singular and refirst person plural of the Atmanepada of the Perfect; to second person plural and TI first person plural Parasmaipada, and TI and TI third person singular and plural and FER first person plural Atmanepada, of the Imperfect; ray second person plural and Fai first person plural Parasmaipada of the Aorist, and F first person plural of the Átmanepada of the same; महे first person plural Átmanepada of the Future ; and FEI Parasmaipada and FER Atmanepada of the first person of the Conditional. These are unquestionably forms of the root अस tacked on to the base in the particular tenses when the old terminations were forgotten, or some of them may be traced directly to the terminations of the Sanskrit Aorist स्त, स्था:, and स्म which themselves, as you know, are forms of अस्. Of these, the Parasmaipada second person plural त्य is to be traced to the corresponding Sanskrit स्थ of the Present of अस, and म्ह and म्हा first person plural to the स्म of आस्म of the Imperfect and स्म: of the Present respectively. The penultimate अ of स्म: is lengthened for the same reason as that of अपचत् is in forming अपचा. The Atmanepada त्थो of the second person singular comes from the स्था: of आस्था: of the Imperfect, त्थ third person singular, from the स्त of आस्त of the same; and म्हे and म्हो first person plural are to be referred to such Atmanepada forms as स्मे and स्ममे. Of the last two, स्मे appears to be a new formation from स्म, and स्ममे is the old Vedic archetype of स्महे.

You will thus see that when the original Sanskrit forms were forgotten, new ones corresponding to them were constructed in the Pâli, not only by the use of false analogies, but also by taking one form distinctively expressive of the sense of a particular mood or tense as a base, and appending first only the personal terminations of the Present, as in the case of the Potential; and secondly, the forms of the root अस. You will hereafter find that the modern vernaculars have resorted to one at least of these two modes of reconstruction; and similarly the beginnings in the Pâli of a mode of construction new case-forms widely prevalent in the modern dialects was brought to your notice before; so that the spirit or turn of mind which has been in operation in the formation of the vernacular speech of the country has been the same since very remote times.

The terminations with an initial consonant are in the general tenses appended through the intervention of the vowel इ; but in some cases there are forms directly corrupted from Sanskrit; as दक्वित, Sanskrit दक्ष्यित, मोक्विति=मोक्यिति. The temporal augment आ is often omitted, as गमा or अगमा, गमी or अगमी, गमिम्सा or अगमिस्सा. The several varieties of the Aorist and the many special forms of the Perfect have for the most part gone out of use. The Passive is formed by the addition of य as in Sanskrit, sometimes with the augment इ, sometimes without, in which last case the conjunct consonant is corrupted according to the prevailing rules; as बुज्जियते, पचते, रूज्यते, करियते. The forms in a good many cases are the same as in Sanskrit, only phonetically altered; as धीयते, उचते, इजते, in which cases we see that the आ of स्था is changed to ई, and वच्च and यज्ञ undergo Samprasarana. The causal is

formed by adding आपे or आपय and ए or आय; as कारापेति or कारापयित and कारेति or कारापित. These forms I have already explained. The Past Passive Participle is formed as in Sanskrit, and in many cases the forms are the same. The Absolutive is formed by using the terminations त्न, त्वान, and त्वा. The first is the same as the second, the semi-vowel व having only undergone Samprasârana; and they are to be traced to such Vedic forms as द्यान, कृत्वान &c., which have disappeared in classical Sanskrit. The Infinitive is formed by adding त as in Sanskrit, or तव which, I have already observed, is one of the many ways in which the Vedic Infinitive is formed. It has become obsolete in the later Sanskrit.

Now if the Pâli grew up naturally in the manner I have described, it could not come to possess the several grammatical forms it exhibits unless they were in use in Sanskrit at the time when it branched off. It has, as we have seen, preserved eight of the ten Tenses, and Moods whence it follows that verbal forms of these were then current in the language. Pâli therefore represents Middle Sanskrit or the usage that prevailed during the period between the composition of the Brâhmanas and Yâska or Pânini, and must have begun to be formed during that period. We shall hereafter find that the later Prâkrits represent the third stage in the development of the Sanskrit, that in which a good many of the verbal forms ceased to be used; and thus bear to what I have called classical Sanskrit the same relation that the Pâli does to Middle Sanskrit.

We will now proceed to consider those valuable specimens of the ancient languages of the country which have been preserved in inscriptions. The most important of these are the edicts of As'oka, the pious king of Pâtaliputra in Magadha, the modern Behar, who flourished in the middle of the third century before Christ. These edicts contain the king's religious and moral injunctions to his subjects, and set forth his own ideas, belief, and conduct in these matters. Five different versions of them have been discovered, inscribed on rocks in different parts of the country. There is one at Girnar, near Junagad, in Kattiawar, another at Dhauli in Kattak, and a third at Kapurdigiri or Sahbazgarhi in Afghanistan. These have been published and examined. The Girnar version has been copied several times, but the other two only once, and hence there are a good many imperfections in our existing copies of them. Another version has recently been discovered at Jaugad near Ganjam, in the Northern Circars, and copied by a Madras

Civilian. It is unfortunately greatly mutilated, not more than two of the fourteen edicts being found complete, and but a few words left of some. The fifth has recently been discovered by General Cunningham at Khalsi, near Masuri in the Himalayas, and has not yetbeen published.* Other edicts of the same king are found inscribed on columns which exist at Delhi, Allahabad, and other places. At Dhauli and Ganjam there exist, along with the edicts mentioned above, others which answer to these. Another inscription of As'oka has been found at Babhra in Rajputana, which consists of a letter to the Buddhist congregation. These inscriptions are in three different dialects, closely related to each The Girnâr dialect is very much like the Pâli. That of the Dhauli, Ganjam, and Khalsi versions presents peculiarities which are found in a later Prâkrit called Mâgadhî by the grammarians. Such are the substitution of द for र, ए for the आ of the nominative singular of masculine nouns in 3, fit the termination of the locative instead of the Pâli दिह, and हक्स for अहम. The Babhra and the column inscriptions are also in this dialect. The S'ahbazgarhi recension admits of some conjuncts such as A, and the sibilants A and Which in the others and in Pâli are changed to H. But it is a question whether these are dialectic peculiarities, or are to be attributed to a confusion of the veruscular with Sanskrit. I will now place before you short specimens of these dialects.

Girnâr, edict VIII.

अतिकातं अंतरं राजानो विहारयातां त्रेयास । एत मगव्या अत्रानि च एतारिसानि अभिरमकानि अहुंस । सो देवानंपियो पियदसी राजा दसवमाभिसितो संतो अयाय संबोधि । तेनेसा धंमयाता एतयं होति बाम्हणसमणानं दसणे च दाने च थैराणं दसणे च हिरंणपिट-विभाने च जानपदस च जनस दसनं धंमानुसस्टी च धंमपिरपुछा च । तदोपया एसा भृगरित भवति देवानंपियस प्रियदसिनो रात्रो भागे अंत्रे

Sanskrit:--

अतिक्रान्तमन्तरं राजानो विहारयात्रां निरयासिषुः । अत्र मृगयान्यानि चैतादृशान्यभि-रामकाण्यभ्वन् । स देवानांप्रियः प्रियदर्शी राजा दशवर्णाभिषिकः सन्त्रयासीत्संबोधिम् । तेनेषा धर्मयात्रा । अत्रेदं भवति ब्राह्मणश्रमणानां दर्शनं च दानं च स्थविराणां दर्शनं च हिरण्यप्रतिविधानं च जानपदस्य च जनस्य दर्शनं धर्मावुशास्तिश्च धर्मपरिष्टुच्छा च । तदौपर्यादेषा भूयोरितर्भवति देवानां प्रियस्य प्रियदिश्वनो राज्ञो भागेन्यस्मिन् ।

Translation:-

"Some time ago kings went on pleasure excursions. Hunting and such others were the diversions here. But Priyadars'in, the favourite of the

^{*} Published since in Corp. Insc. Ind. A sixth version existing at Manshera in the Panjab was discovered after the above was published. I have made use of the latest readings of the versions.

gods, began the search of enlightenment when he had been a crowned monarch for ten years. Hence this excursion (course) of righteousness. It consists of this, viz. seeing Brāhmaṇas and Śramaṇas, and bestowing gifts, seeing the religious elders and presenting gold, and seeing country-people and giving instruction in righteousness and in the investigation of the law. Since that time king Priyadars'in has been taking great delight in the glories of the next world."

It is not usual in these inscriptions to mark the double or assimilated consonants. Hence we do not find them here except in the case of double nasals which are indicated by an anusvâra followed by the There is, as in Pâli, no other phonetic change; प्रति we see becomes पटि and भू becomes optionally ह; and राजानी the nominative plural, रात्रों for रञ्जों the genitive singular, सन्तों the nominative singular, and all other cases are just like those in that dialect. We have the Aorist forms ending in an anusvâra followed by E, as in Pâli. But there are some differences; vallet is vallet in Pali as it is in the other recensions of this same inscription, अहंस is अहंस, though अहंस agrees with the rules of Pâli grammar and must have existed in the language; the है of धेराणं is opposed to Pali usage, but the engraver may have committed a mistake; नेयास is for नियास. इ being changed to ए before the conjunct according to the rule we have noticed. बाम्हण is ब्राह्मण in Pâli; but the inscription perhaps represents the prevailing usage more correctly; and the अ is lengthened in त्रजो probably through mistake. There are thus very few cases of real difference, and though they might be considered to point to a dialectical variety of the nature of those we find in the different versions of the edicts, still the language is in the same stage of growth as the Pâli.

The following is the Dhauli version collated with that at Khalsi and completed:—

अतिकंतं अंतर्लं लाजानो विद्वालयातं नाम निखमिस । हिदा मिगविया अंनानि च " एदिसानि अभिलामानि बहुवंति नं । से देवानं पिये पियदसी लाजा दसवसाभिसिते ' संते निखमि' संबोधिं । तेनता थंमयाता [°] हेता इयं होति समनवा⁷भनानं दसने च दाने च [°] वुढानं दसने च हिलंनपटिविधाने च ।

We here observe the varieties mentioned before, the change of रू to इ and the nominative in ए. We also see निखमिस for त्रेयास, एदिसानि

- 1. देवानं पिया Kh. 2. हेडिसानि Kh.
- 3. हुस for हुवंति नं Kh. 4. Dh. om.
- निखमिठा Kh.

- 6. ततेस for हेता इयं Dh.
 - 7. **बंभ** Kh.
- 8. **बुधानं K**h.

for एतारिसानि, बुधानं or वदानं (=बृह्यानां) for धैरानं, and बंभन or बाभन for बाम्हण. So then here we have another dialect.

Kapurdigiri or Śahbazgarhi version:-

अतिकंतं अंतरं देवनंप्रिय विहरयत्र नम निक्रमिपु अत्र मुगय अत्रानि च हेिद्शनि अभि-रमनि अभवस्र सो देवनंप्रिय प्रियद्गसि रज दशवपभिसितो सतो निक्रमि संबोर्धि तेनदं ध्रम-यत्र अत्र इयं होति श्रमणत्रमणनं द्वशने दनं &c.

Here we have the conjuncts a, A, A &c., and the three Sanskrit sibilants; and also हेदिशनि for ईदशानि. The reason why we have not one same version at all the three places must be that it was the intention of the king to publish the edicts in the dialect of each particular place. The Dhanli-Khalsi dialect is, as observed before, used in the column inscriptions, and also in that found at Babhra. king's predilection for it can be plausibly accounted for only on the supposition that it was his own native tongue. If so, this dialect must have prevailed in Magadha, which country was under his immediate rule, and the capital of which was Pâtaliputra, where he And this accounts for the fact that it is used in the inscriptions at Dhauli and Ganjam, since they are situated in the contiguous country. One peculiarity of this language, viz. the nominative singular of nouns in or ending in to is met with even in the Girnar recension, which may be explained by the supposition that the edicts were drawn up first in the king's dialect but were translated into the dialect of each province, the translation however being not executed carefully enough to expunge all peculiarities of the original draft. would thus appear that the grammarians of the later languages had more solid grounds than mere fancy for calling that Prakrit which bears close resemblance to this language by the name of Magadhi. Here then we find specimens of three dialects prevalent in three widely distant provinces; but we should bear in the difference between them is small, while in the languages that are spoken in these countries at the present day it is so great as to make it difficult for the natives of one province to understand those of another.

In the many other ancient monuments existing in the country we often find inscriptions which are principally in two languages, the Sanskrit and the Pâli or Prâkrit, understanding by this term simply a dialect derived from the Sanskrit. Those in the latter (Pâli) are mostly connected with Buddhism; though some Buddhistic inscrip-

tions also, such as those discovered by General Cunningham at Sanskrit. In the caves at Mathurâ several years ago, are in Kânherî, Nâsik, Junar, Nânâghât, Kârlem, and some other places in this Presidency, and in the Bhilså topes, we have Påli or Pråkrit inscriptions. Most of these are short, but at Nasik we have long ones, in the caves of Ushavadata and Gotamiputra. The language of these latter is Pâli; and but a few forms are peculiar, such as दत and कित for which the Pâli has दिण्ण and कत, Past Passive Participles of दा and कः; and दे for the numeral two, the Pali form being हे or दवे. In Ushavadata's caves we have one inscription entirely in Sanskrit, the rest are in Pâli or Prâkrit, but we have an intermixture of Sanskrit words, and the conjuncts I, I and I often appear. In these and smaller inscriptions we have such words as at for git, attach for वार्षिक, वरिस for वर्ष, उदिस for उद्दिश्य, while the Pali forms of these words are git, aftern, att, and stitical. Some of these inscriptions were engraved so late as the third century, when the Pâli could hardly have been the vernacular; but it had become the sacred language of the Buddhists; the mendicant priests for whom the caves were intended and even educated lay members of that persuasion understood it; and hence it was used in these inscriptions as Sanskrit was in others. The style of Gotamiputra's charters, abounding as it does in long compounds and elaborate expressions, is very unlike the plain and simple language of As'oka's edicts. And at the end of these and that of his son, we are told that the officers of these kings who caused the charters to be engraved acted under the command, i. e. wrote to the dictation of "respected persons who were the compilers of all such documents." It thus appears that the Pâli was at that time a sacred and a literary language among the Buddhists. And as to the language of the other inscriptions, which like those of Gotamiputra and his son were not composed by learned men, one can easily understand how ignorant persons, not knowing Sanskrit or Pâli well, but still not ignorant enough to know nothing of those languages would confound together Sanskrit, Pâli, and vernacular words. Even in our days we find the phenomenon in the patrikas or horoscopes written by our Jos'is or astrologers, which are neither in pure Sanskrit nor in pure vernacular, but contain a mixture of them both, and the Sanskrit words and forms in which are incorrectly written. And an explanation of this nature I have also to give of another variety of language that is found in the writings of the Northern or Nopalese Buddhists. These unlike those of the Ceylonese and Burmese Buddhists are written in Sanskrit, but in such works as the Lalitavistâra or the life of Buddha we find, along with prose passages in pure Sanskrit, a number of verses which contain words or forms which are not Sanskrit. Thus, for instance, we have:—

सर्वश्चभकर्महेतोः फलमिदं गृखतास्य कर्मस्य पूजारहो भवितुं सर्वजगे अनुबन्धत इममनन्तयशं ॥

You will here see that कर्मन्य, जमे, and यशं are as in the Pâli treated like nouns in अ, अर्ह is dissolved into अरह, and there are a few other instances of this process, such as किलेश for हेश, शिर for श्री, हिर for ही &c., but that generally the conjunct consonants are retained as they are in Sanskrit, and not assimilated. So also you have चोदेन्ति for चोदयन्ति, मायाय genitive singular of माया, उपजनित्वा, शुणिप्यति, निरीक्षथ Imperative second person plural, श्रमेथ for शमयत, &c., and even such words as हानाप which are Pâli in every respect. But along with these there are other peculiarities which must be attributed simply to carelessness. For instance, the case terminations are often omitted, a thing never done either in Sanskrit or Pâli, as काम सेवित for काम सेवते, सगत for सगतं when governed by पूजियनुं, मोक्ष भोप्यति for मोक्षो भविष्यति, &c. Such constructions as श्लान्त्या सोरभ्यसम्पन्नाः for श्लान्तिसारभ्यसंपन्नाः, शीलसमाधिप्रशामयं, are often to be met with.

This language has therefore no fixed characteristics at all. have seen that in such words as कर्म, जग and यश above, the final consonant is dropped, and these as in Pâli and Prakrit made nouns in 3. But यशम् is used in the Sanskrit form also, as कीर्तियश्य; and there are instances in which other final consonants are preserved. Along with such a form as अणिष्यति noted above, which is constructed on the same principle as the Pali स्रिगस्ति, such a Sanskrit one as अण्यन्ति is found. It therefore appears to me that this is not an independent language; but that the writers of the Gâthâs knew the spoken language or Pâli, and that they were imperfectly acquainted with Sanskrit, knowing enough of it to see that the assimilation of consonants was a vulgarity, but not acquainted with its grammar. They intended to write in the more polished or literary language, but not knowing it well, often used unconsciously the grammatical forms and the peculiar words of the vernacular. At the time when the Gathas were written the claims of the Pâli to be considered a separate language were probably not recognised, and it constituted the speech of the uninstructed. Those who in this condition of things wished to write could not think of

doing so in that form of speech, and therefore wrote in what they considered the language of educated men; but they knew it imperfectly, and produced such a heterogeneous compound as we have seen.

LECTURE III.

The Prakrits and the Apabhrams'a.

On the last occasion we examined the language of the sacred books of the Southern Buddhists, and found that a large portion of the words it contains are pure Sanskrit and the rest are Sanskrit words corrupted or transformed according to certain laws of phonetic decay. Then by the law of false analogy the less used and less known declensional and conjugational forms have been in many cases brought over to the type of those more used in Sanskrit and consequently better So that in the vocabulary and the grammar the laws of growth I traced in the opening lecture are in operation, but their range is limited, and the dialect is in what may be called the first stage of departure from Sanskrit. We then examined the language of the Inscriptions of As'oka and found that it is either the same as Pali or in the same stage of development, and that there existed in those times two or three varieties of speech slightly differing from each other. day I propose to examine certain other dialects which exhibit a much greater departure from the parent tongue. These are the so-called Prâkrits. For a knowledge of these languages we have not to go beyond Pråkrit dialects India, as in the case of the one we have examined. possessed a literature and a portion of it has come down to us.

There exist about six treatises on Prâkrit grammar, the most ancient of which is Vararuchi's Prâkritaprakâs'a. Next comes Hemachandra, a Jaina scholar of Gujarat, who lived in the twelfth century. His work on grammar is known by the name of Haimavyākarana, the eighth chapter of which he devotes to the grammar of the Prâkrits. Hemachandra's treatment of these dialects is fuller than Vararuchi's; and his observation was wider. He shows a very intimate knowledge of the existing literature of these languages, both sacred and profane, Jaina or Brahmanical. His work and especially the last portion is full of quotations. He must have availed himself of the labours of former scholars, since he often mentions Pūrvāchāryas. Hemachandra also wrote a Kosha or thesaurus of the Des'î words existing in these languages. Vararuchi gives the grammar of four dialects, which he calls Mahārāshṭrī, Śaurasenī, Māgadhī, and Pais'āchī. The names of the first three themselves would show that they were the languages spoken

or used in the provinces from the names of which they are derived, but doubts have been raised as to their genuineness, which will be hereafter considered. The Mahârâshtrî is called the principal Prâkrit. For instance, Daṇḍin in his Kâvyâdars'a says—

"The language prevalent in Mahârâshtra they regard as the Prâkrit pre-eminent; it is the ocean of jewels in the shape of good literary works, and the Setubandha and others are written in it'."

Vararuchi devotes the first nine chapters of his work to the Mahârâshtrî, and then a chapter each to the rest. The peculiarities only of the latter dialects and their differences from the Maháráshtri are given, and in other respects they are to be considered similar to the Hemachandra follows the same method; but he does not mention the name Mahârâshtrî and speaks of the dialect only as the Prâkrit. These grammarians and all others who have written on the subject treat of the grammar of the language etymologically. They take Sanskrit as the original language or Prakriti and give rules about the various phonetical and grammatical changes which have reduced Sanskrit to the Prâkrit form. The Pâli grammarian Kachchâyana treats the dialect not as one derived from Sanskrit as these writers do. but as an independent language, though it is very probable he knew Sanskrit, since he uses Sanskrit grammatical terms, and his Sûtras greatly resemble those in the Kâtantra and even Pânini. Vararuchi and Hemachandra derive Saurasenî also from the Sanskrit as they do the Mahârâshtrî or the principal Prâkrit, but make the Saurasenî the Prakriti or basis of the Magadhi and the Pais'achi. This appears to be the tradition; whence it would seem that older and more developed language or the language of respectable people was the Sauraseni, and the other two were the dialects of border countries used by persons in a lower scale of society. They have some of the peculiarities of the Saurasenî, and come nearer to it than to the Mahârâshtrî. Hemachandra gives the grammar of two more dialects, the Chûlika Pais'achî and the Apahhrams'a, the latter of which was according to Dandin, the language of Abhiras (cowherds) and others. Another grammarian of the name of Trivikrama gives in his Prâkritasûtravritti the grammar of these six dialects. He lived after Hemachandra, since he mentions him in the introduction to his work,

महाराष्ट्राश्रयां भाषां प्रकृष्टं प्राकृतं विदु: ।
 सागरः सक्तिरत्नानां सेतुबन्धादि यन्मयम् ॥

and his book resembles Hemachandra's a good deal. There is another work by Chandra called Shadbhashachandrika which is a meagre production. Another still of the same nature, the author of which is Lakshmidhara, mentions the same six dialects; so that the expression Shadbhasha seems to have become proverbial.

The Mahârâshtrî derived its importance from its literature. From the manner in which Dandin speaks of that literature it appears to have been very extensive and valuable. He himself mentions one work, the Setubandha, a poem attributed to Kâlidâsa but written by one Pravarasena, whose "fame," Bâna says in his Harshacharita, "reached the other side of the ocean by means of the Setu." I find in a Ms. in the colophon at the end of each âśvâsa or canto, sometimes इअ सिरीपवरमेणविरद्दए दहमहबहे (thus in the Das'amukhavadha composed by the prosperous Pravarasena), and some-इअ प्रवरसेनविरहए काल्दिसकए दहसहबहे (thus in the Das'amukhavadha, the work of Kâlidâsa, composed by Pravarasena). Some kings of Kas'mir bore the name of Pravarasena, but there is nothing to show that any one of them was the poet who wrote this work. There is a collection of seven hundred songs, chiefly of an amorous nature, by a poet of the name of Hala, which is called the Saptas atî. We have an edition of this in Roman characters by Prof. Weber. Another long poem entitled the Gaudavadhakâvya by a poet named Vâkpatirâja, who lived at the court of Yas'ovarman, king of Kanoj, in the early part of the eighth century, was discovered by Dr. Bühler about three years ago. And several other works may turn up, if diligent search is made for them. The Kâvyaprakâs'a contains about 75 Prâkrit verses quoted to illustrate the rules laid down by the author, and Sarngadhara also gives a good many in his Paddhati.

The religious books of the Jainas form another very extensive branch of Pråkrit literature. Prof. Weber thinks the language of these to be later than the Påli and earlier than the Pråkrits, so as to occupy a middle position, and calls it Jaina-Mågadhî. But Hemachandra himself, who must have known his religious books well, and was, as I have observed, a great Pråkrit scholar, treats it as the principal Pråkrit or Mahârâshtrî, and in his grammar of this he in several places gives forms of words peculiar to his sacred language, which after the example of his Brahmanic brothers he calls Årsha Pråkrit. In giv-

[.] आपम् । ३ । ऋषीणामिदमापम् । आर्षे प्राकृतं बहुलं भवति । तदपि यथास्थानं दर्श-यिष्यामः । आर्षे हि सर्वे विधयो विकल्प्यन्ते । He has also said before, that the rules he

of Masc. nouns takes the termination v, he says:—'As to what the fathers have said about the Arsha (works) being composed in the Ardha-Mâgadhî dialect in such words as these: 'the ancient Sùtra is composed in the Ardha-Mâgadhî dialect', they have said so in consequence of the observance of this rule and not of those that follow. Thus if one chooses to call the sacred language of the Jainas Ardha-Mâgadhî on account of this Mâgadhî peculiarity and a few other archaisms, he may do so; and I shall presently have to observe that the great many dialects which writers on poetics give differed from each other in such insignificant particulars only. But it is clear that Hemachandra considers the distinction to be slight, and identifies the dialect with the principal Prâkrit; and both he and the Jaina fathers refer it to the class of the Prâkrits of the grammarians.

is going to give even with regard to the ordinary Prakrit should not be considered universal.

यदिप पोराणमद्धमागहभामानिययं हवड मुत्तिमित्यादिनार्षस्यार्थमागधभाषानियतत्वमाम्नायि वृद्धैस्तदिप प्रायोस्यैव विधानान्न वक्ष्यमाणलक्षणस्य ।

4. The only specific grounds one can find in Prof. Weber's book in support of the assertion that the Jaina dialect occupies a middle position are these: -1. That uninitial क्, ग्, च्, ज्, त्, दु and other consonants are dropped in the Maharashtri leaving only the vowel, and preserved or softened in the Pali, while in the Jaina books Z is substituted for them; i. ... the different stages of phonetic corruption in this case are, the consonants in their original or softened forms, then u for them, and lastly their elision. 2. That q is preserved in the Pali, and changed everywhere to ज् in the Maharashtri; while in the Jaina dialect initial न remains unchanged except in enclytics. 3. That the loc. sing, of nouns in & ends in ft or ft which we find in the language of the column inscriptions; while it is हिम and हिम in the Pali and हिम in the Prakrit. Now as to the first, the य is not prior to the elision, but contemporaneous or subsequent to it, being found even in the modern vernaculars. It was introduced simply to facilitate pronuncia tion; i. e., it is a strengthened form of the vowel. Thus the Prakrit of que foot is पाञ्ज, but in Marathi we have पाय; 40 राजन् Skr., राञ Pr., राय H.; सोंदर Skr., मोअर Pr., सोयरा M., &c. &c.The य occurs not only in Jaina books, but everywhere in the Gaudavadhakûvya; and Hemachandra does tell us in his sûtra अवर्णो यश्रुतिः that the M that remains after the elision of a consonant is pronounced like a soft J. With regard to the second, initial ₹ is found unchanged in the Gaudavadha in a great many places. Thus in stanza 242 we have the negative particle 7, in 241 निवहर for निपतित, in 245 नाह for नाथ, and in 251 नह ए नख. These instances I have found on simply opening the Ms. at random; and no great search But it is in the dramatic plays that we find these dialects principally used. Writers on Poetics prescribe that a particular dramatic person should speak a particular dialect. Sanskrit is assigned to respectable men of education, and women in holy orders; Sauraseni, to respectable ladies in their prose speeches, and the Maharashtri or the principal Prakrit, in the songs or verses put into their mouths. Sauraseni is also assigned to inferior characters; and the Magadhi and Pais'achi to very low persons. The general rule is that a dramatic person should speak the language of the country to which he or she is supposed to belong. Later writers give more minute rules. For

was necessary. Hemachandra also in his sûtra वादो following another, नेता, says that the initial q is sometimes changed to m, sometimes not. As to the third, the termination and may constitute a peculiarity of the language, but it is by no means an index to its higher antiquity, since it occurs in the pronominal locative of the principal Prakrit. There are several peculiarities in the Jaina books, and a good many of them are noticed by our Grammarian, but they do not show an earlier stage of development.

This continues still to be my view, notwithstanding all that has since been published on the subject. Dr. Hoernle, in the introduction to his edition of Chanda's Pråkritalakshana makes an elaborate attempt to prove that the dialect, the grammar of which is given in that book, is more ancient than the Prakrit of Vararuchi and Hemachandra. But it is not at all difficult to see that he is altogether on a wrong tack. He says there is nothing in Hemachandra corresponding to the rule given by Chanda about dropping the final vowel of the first member of a compound when the initial vowel of the second is followed by a conjunt consonant, in such words as dhana + adhya, deva+indra, &c., which in that Prâkrit have the forms dhanaddha, derinda, &c. This change, however, does come under Hemachandra's rule I. 84, which provides for the shortening of a long vowel when followed by a conjunct consonant. The short vowels corresponding to u and are g and g; and among the instances given by Hemachandra, we have narindo for narendra, aharuttha for adharoshtha, Niluppala for Nilotpala &c. Dr. Hoernle thinks the changes of i to short e and of u to short o are later Prâkrit changes. But he will find many instances of them in the Pali, which certainly is an older dialect than any Jaina Prakrit. They are, he says, unknown to Chanda. Chanda's work is a very meagre production, in which very little endeavour is made to classify facts; and thus he must be supposed to include these changes under his very general rule that one rowel takes the place of another vowel (II. 4). The instance ginhati incidentaly given by him in connection with another rule does not show that in his Prakrit the form genha did not exist, much less that the change of i to e was unknown. Then with regard to consonants, Dr. Hoernle says there are five points in which the "older Prakrit" of Chanda, as he calls it, differs from the Prakrit of Vararuchi and Hemachandra. One of these is "the preservation of the instance, the author of the Sahityadarpana assigns Magadhi to the attendants in the royal seraglio, Ardhamagadhi to footmen, royal children, and merchants, Prachya to the Vidushaka and others, Avantiki to sharpers, warriors, and elever men of the world, Dakshinatya to gamblers, Śakari to Śakars, Śakas, and others, Bahlika to celestial persons, Dravidi to Dravidas and others, Abhiri to cowherds, Chandaliki to outcastes, Abhiri and Śabari, also to those who live by selling wood and leaves, and Pais'achi to dealers in charcoal. Handmaids, if they do not belong to a very low class, should speak Śauraseni. Some of the modern grammarians also mention as large a number of dialects. But whether these were actually used by writers

dental n in every case." For this statement the Doctor quotes the authority of a sutra in which we are told by Chanda that 😸 and 😝 do not exist in the Prakrit, as compared with another version of that stura which says that इ, न्, and अ do not exist (II. 14). This last version no doubt provides for the change of a in all cases; but the other which denies the non-existence or affirms the existence of a cannot mean that it exists or is unchanged in all cases. The denial of non-existence or affirmation of existence only proves its existence or remaining unchanged in some cases. Besides we have a specific rule where we are told that a letter of the ξ class takes the place of the corresponding letter of the π class (III. 16), thus providing for the change of न to ण्; and the instance given is घणणं for धान्यम्. But this rule the Doctor thinks holds good in exceptional cases, for which however there is no authority whatever, and he gives none. Again, he says that his statement is proved by the uniform spelling of the Prakrit examples with n in Mss. A and B, which, according to him, contain the older version of the work. I, however, find that the spelling in his edition, which is the spelling of A and B, follows uniformly, with one or two exceptions, in which we must suppose a mistake, the rule laid down by Hemachandra, riz, that initial u is optionally changed to u, while medial n is necessarily so changed. The instances are:—all the case-terminations which are to be traced to the Sanskrit terminations having n in them, such as णो, णि, $^{\mathrm{and}}$ णं; मुणिणो $^{\mathrm{for}}$ मुनीन् $^{(\mathrm{I.\ 1})}$, गयणओ $^{\mathrm{for}}$ गगनतः $^{(\mathrm{I.\ 16})}$, मिण्स्सा $^{\mathrm{A}}$ -(I. 21), नाणी (I. 24) for ज्ञानी, सकीसाणा for शकेशानी (II. 1.), काणं (II. 15), गयणं for गगनम् (II. 21), पीलणं for पीडनम् (II. 24), नाणं for ज्ञानम् (III. 6), ज्ञञ्चणं for योवनम् (III. 15), and रअणं for रतनं (III. 30). The second point is "the preservation of the medial single surd unaspirate consonants with the only exception of k." This simply means the preservation of ch, t, and p which according to the other grammarians are generally dropped. Chanda agrees with these in dropping not only k, but g, j, and d. Whether therefore the preservation of ch, t, and p, supposing that Chanda really allows it, marks off his Prakrit as older than that of Hemachandra or Vararuchi is more than questionable. But, as a matter of fact in III. 12, he does provide for their change to j, d, and b; and the change of t to d is a S'auraseni, i. e., a local change, and does not indicate priority of time. Bedes, even the dropping of these consonants must have been contemplated by him.

of dramatic plays in accordance with the rules of Rhetoricians, or if they were, what constituted the exact difference between these various languages, it is impossible to determine so long as we have not got satisfactory editions of the plays. Still in those cases in which we have the assistance of the older Prâkrit grammarians, the characteristics of each can be made out with fulness and certainty. To illustrate his rules about the Magadhi, Hemachandra quotes from the speeches of the fisherman and the two poilcemen in Śakuntalâ, of the Kshapanaka from the Mudrârâkshasa, and Rudhirapriyâ from the Venîsamhâra. The points in which the Mâgadhi chiefly differs from the principal Prâkrit and Śaurasenî are these: र and र of these are changed to र and र, स्थ and र of Sanskrit to स्त, and र to स्ट; and स is not assimilated as in परस्तर्शि from प्रस्तर्शि, the nom. sing. of masc. nouns ends in ए instead of ओ, which is the Prâkrit ending; the gen.

For in the instances given in the book, they are dropped in all the manuscripts used by Dr. Hoernle, even in A and B, which according to him contain the older version. Thus we have \$\overline{v}_{\overline{3}}\$ for \$\overline{v}_{\overline{1}}\$ (1. 12), \$\overline{12}\$ or \$\overline{12 (1, 16), कर्य for कृतम् (1, 23 and everywhere else), इहागओ-उ for इहागतः (II, 1.), इच्छिअं for इच्छितम् (11, 3), कायब्वं-ब्वं for कर्तव्यम्, सुर्णं for सूचीनाम् (11, 4). नेडरं for नृपुरम् (II. 1). घ्यं for घृतम्, काउण for कृत्वा. दीसङ् for दृश्यते (II. 5). गच्छह for गच्छति, वड for पति. (H. 10), सरिआणं or सरियाणं for सरिताम् (H. 11), गड-ई for $\pi (a)$: $\pi = \frac{1}{5}$ for $\pi (a)$: (11. 17), &c. &c. It is very much to be regretted that the Doctor should in all these cases have set aside the readings of his manuscripts and invented his own with the q, q, and q standing in the words, instead of being dropped. This invention or restoration, as he calls it, is based on a singular inference that he deduces from a single word, And given as a Prakritword in the book. He says it must originally have been कतं, but the copyist, not knowing of such a word being in the later Prakrit which he knew, took it as the Sanskrit कतं. and wrote accordingly. If, therefore, and was the Prakrit word in this case, it must have been so in all those cases in which 森立 occurs in the book, and so Dr. Hoernle makes it and throughout. But it did not strike him that if the copyist knew Pråkrit enough to see that and was not a Pråkrit word, he must have seen that कृत also was not a Prakrit word; and could not have given it as such. Now the reason why these consonants were not admitted by Chauda, according to the manuscripts A and B, among those that are dropped, but only among those that are softened must be that all these rules are only general and not universal, and there were as many instances of softening as of dropping. Besides, I have already said that Chanda's work is perfunctory, and does not show accuracy of observation and statement. The third point is the preservation of the medial single surd aspirate consonants with the only exception of kh; i. e., \(\xi\), \(\varphi\), are preserved. But III. 11 provides for t e change of these to द. घ. and घ. if we look to the sense of the

sing. of masc. and neut. nouns optionally in आह as कम्माह; and the form of the nom. sing. of the first personal pronoun is हत. If we apply the test furnished by these rules to the several dialects used by the characters in the Mrichchhakatika as it is in the existing editions, which play contains a large variety of characters, and consequently of speech, we shall find that the language of the Chandalas, the Sakâra, his servant Sthâvaraka, and even Kumbhîraka and Vardhamânaka, is Mâgadhî, though the rules about Eu, u, and z are scarcely observed. There is hardly any dialectic difference in their speeches. But the Sahityadarpana would lead us to expect his Chandalika and Śâkârî respectively in the first two cases, and Ardhamâgadhi in the last three. As before observed, some admixture of Magadhi characteristics constitutes this last dialect. Under this view there are instances of the use of the Ardhamagadhi, as Lassen remarks, in the Prabodhachandrodaya. The dialect used by Mâthura, the keeper of the gambling-house in the Mrichchhakatika, is somewhat different. In his speeches, we sometimes find a and a used for and a, and sometimes not. The nom. sing. ends in 37, as in the Mahârâshtrî or Saurasenf, in some cases, in others it ends in T as in the Magadhi, and sometimes in 3 as in the Apabhranisa; and the gen. sing. sometimes ends in Mig as in the Magadhi. If the text is to be depended on, the Dâkshinâtya which Vis'vanâtha attributes to gamblers may be such a mixed language.

It would thus appear that if all these inferior dialects did exist and were used by dramatic writers, they differed from each other in unimportant particulars, and that most of them belonged to the Mâgadhî species, since the Mss. have confounded them with the Mâgadhî of the grammarians. Hence we are justified in taking the real number of Prâkrit dialects used for literary purposes to be six, viz., those mentioned by Hemachandra, Trivikrama, and Lakshmîdhara.

sûtra and also to some of the instances that are given. The change of \mathbf{V} to \mathbf{V} , is a S'aurasenî peculiarity. Of the two remaining points one is the insertion of \mathbf{V} to avoid the hiatus caused by the dropping of a consonant, about which I have already spoken, and the other is unimportant.

There is, therefore, no question that the Prakrit, a meagre grammar of which is given in the work edited by Dr. Hoernle, is not older than Hemachandra's.—(1887).

⁵ Another gambler without a name is introduced in the same scene, whose language Prof. Lassen thinks is Dükshinâtyâ and Mâthura's, Avantikı. Very few speeches, however, are given to the former, and it is not possible to come to any

Dandin mentions a work of the name of Brihatkathâ written in the language of the ghosts, i. e., in the Pais'âchì. Dr. Bühler has recently obtained a trace of the work, and arrangements have been made for getting it copied. It is traditionally ascribed to a poet of the name of Gunâdhya.

Let us now examine cursorily the principal Prâkrit and the Saurasenî which ranks next to it in literary importance, but as the model of the inferior dialects and as the language used by the higher class of Prâkrit-speaking dramatic persons in their prose speeches is more important than the other. Here, as before, I will place a specimen of each before you:—

- 61. निय[अ]आए चिय[अ] वायाइ अत्तणो गारवं निवेसेन्ता। जै यन्ति पसंसं चिय[अ] जयन्ति इह ते महाकड्णो॥
- 63. दोग्गचंमि वि सोक्खाई ताण विहवे वि होन्ति दुक्खाई। कव्यपरमत्थरसिया आिंड जाण जायन्ति हियया अआिंड ॥
- 67. सोंहेइ सहावेइ य[अ] उवहुज्जन्तो लवो वि लच्छीए। देवी सरस्मई उण असमग्गा किंपि विणडेइ॥
- 99. अत्थि निय[अ]त्तिय[अ]नीमेसभुवणदूरिया[आ]हिनन्दिय[अ]महिन्दो । सिरि जसवम्मो ति दिसापडिलग्गगुणो महीनाहो ॥

Sanskrit ---

- 61. निजयैव वाचयात्मनो गौरवं निवेशयन्तः । ये यान्ति प्रशंसामेव जयन्तीह ते महाकवयः ॥
- 63. दौर्गत्येपि सौख्यानि तेषां विभवेपि भवन्ति दुःखानि। काव्यपरमार्थरसिकानि येषां जायन्ते हृदयानि॥
- 67. शोभयति स्रखयति चोपभुज्यमानो ठवोपि रुक्ष्म्याः। देवी सरस्वती पुनरसमग्रा किमपि विडम्बयति॥

definite conclusion from them; but so far as they go there is hardly any difference between his dialect and that of Mathura. The Professor is led to attribute two languages to gamblers by the annotator on the Sahityadarpana whom he quotes, and who explains धूर्त by अश्वदेविन. But if the word is to be so understood, दिश्याम् in the next line is not wanted, and neither योध nor नागरिक. For, supposing the warriors and clever worldly men were gamblers, gambling was not confined to them; whence there is no reason to mention them in particular.

6. So Dr. Buhler told me at the time; and on a subsequent occasion I myself thought I had found a trace of the work. But up to this time all our search has proved fruitless. (1887).

99. अस्ति निवर्तितनिःशेषभ्रवनदुरिताभिनन्दितमहेन्द्रः। श्रीयशोवर्मेति दिशाप्रतिलग्रगुणो महीनाथः॥

- 61. "Victorious are the great poets who, establishing their greatness by their own words, do obtain praise only."
- 63. "Those whose hearts appreciate the true beauty of poetry experience joys even in poverty and sorrows even in prosperity."
- 67. "Even a small degree of Lakshmi when enjoyed adorns and delights, but the divine Sarasvatî if imperfect is an unspeakable mockery."
- 99. "There lives a king named Yas'ovarman who delights Indra by removing all the distresses of the world and whose virtues have reached the ends of the quarters."

Saurasenî:

कयं अणुगहीदिम्ह । इअमालिङ्गामि । दंसणं उण पिअसहीए बाहुप्पीडेण णिरुद्धं ण लम्भीअदि । सिंह कठोरकमलपम्हले अण्णारिसो जेव्य दे अज्ञ णिव्यावेदि सरीरफंसो । किं अ मउलिविणिवेसिद्दञ्जली मह वअणेण विण्णवेहि तं जणं णे मए मन्दभाइणीए विअसन्त-पुण्डरीअलच्छीविलासहारिणो मुहचन्दमण्डलस्स दे सच्छन्ददंसणेण संभाविदे। चिरं महुसवी लोअणाणं ।

Sanskrit:

कथमतुगृहातास्मि । इयमालिङ्गामि । दर्शनं पुनःप्रियसख्या बाष्पेत्पीडेन निरुद्धं न लभ्यते । सिंख कठोरकमलपक्ष्मलोन्यादृश एवं तेय निर्वापयति शरीरस्पर्शः । किं च मौलि-विनिवेशिताञ्जलिभेम वचनेन विज्ञापय तं जनं न मया मन्दभाग्यया विकसत्युण्डरीकलक्ष्मी-विलासहारिणो सुखचन्द्रमण्डलस्य ते स्वच्छन्ददर्शनेन संभावितश्चिरं महोत्सवो लोचनानाम ।

"What! thou hast obliged me. Here I embrace. But I do not catch a glimpse of my dear friend, my sight being obstructed by the flow of tears. Friend, the contact of thy body, hairy like a ripened lotus, cools my body in a peculiar manner to-day. Moreover, with thy hands clasped and placed over thy head, do at my request, humbly say to that person, 'Unfortunate as I am, I have not feasted my eyes long, by looking freely at the moon of thy face which rivals the blown lotus in beauty".

In the Ms. of the Gaudavadha, from which the first extract is given, and an preceded by an and are marked as a and an. In Mss. of other works the a does not appear; but there can be no question that it represent the later pronunciation correctly, since as already remarked in a note this a is observed in some of the modern vern-

naculars. But it is to be pronounced like a strengthened st or st and not like the heavy semi-vowel that I mentioned in my observations on the Pâli.

From these extracts you will observe that phonetic decay has made greater progress in these dialects than in the one we have examined. The changes in the Pâli are, with a few exceptions, such as may be attributed to the circumstances and vocal peculiarities of a foreign race. But in the Prakrits the usual processes of corruption have a wider range of operation; though even here we shall, as we proceed, find it necessary to ascribe a good deal to an ethnological And first as regards the phonetic transformations which we have noticed in the Pâli. I have to observe that Prâkrits also exhibit the same with but slight differences. The vowel a is changed to a as in घअ, तण, मअ, &c. for घुत, तृण, मृग, &c.; to इ as in किवा, हिअअ, किस, &c. for कृपा, हृद्यु, कृश, &c.; to 3 as in पुहुई, पाउस, बुड़, &c., for पृथिवी, प्रावृष्, वृद्ध, When standing alone it is more often changed to R than in Pali; as in tell and tess for mel and men; to or sou, to or sou, &c., for ऋण, ऋजू, &c., while the Pâli forms of these words are रहि. अच्छ, अण and उत्र. The diphthongs of and औ are as in Pali changed to ए and ओ, as in केलास, वेज्ज, केदव, &c. for केलास, वेय, केटभ, &c.; and in जोव्वण, कोमुई, कोत्पृह &c., for योवन, कोमुदी, कोस्तुभ, &c.; but in a good many instances they are dissolved into their elements of and of, as in दृहस, भहरव, दृहवअ, सहर, &c., for दैत्य, भैरव, दैवत, स्वैर, &c., and पडर, कडसल, सउंह, मंदली, &c., for पौर, कोशल, सीच, मौलि, &c. This change resembles the dissolution of conjunct consonants into the different members, and like it is due to a weak or languid way of pronunciation. In d and The first element or a is rapidly pronounced, and the temporal value assigned to it by the authors of the Prâtiśakhyas is, you will remember, half a mâtrâ, while in the Prâkrit transformations it is one måtrå. The long vowels are, as in Påli, shortened when followed by double consonants; and there is the same or even stronger evidence of the existence of short ए and ओ. In Pâli short इ and इ followed by a conjunct are in certain cases changed to v and m, and from that fact we inferred that they were short. Here in a great many more cases when so followed, short s is optionally interchangeable with v, and short s is necessarily replaced by ओ, as in पिण्ड or पेण्ड, पिट्ट or पेट्ट, निहा or नेहा, &c., and तोण्ड, मोण्ड, पोक्खर. &c., for पिण्ड, पिष्ट, निदा, तुण्ड, खण्ड, gent, &c. In several cases v not followed by a conjunct is optionally changed to इ, as in विअणा or वेअणा for वेदना, दिअर or देअर for देवर, &c. The ए in these words must for some reason that we will hereafter consider have been pronounced short and hence interchangeable with इ. Sometimes the consonant following an ए or ओ is doubled, as in तेल, पेम्म, सोत, जोड्बन, &c., for तेल, प्रेमन, सोतम, योवन, &c., which could only be because those vowels were pronounced short, and the loss of quantity thus occasioned made up for by rendering the pronunciation heavy and forcible. In other cases the ए was so pronounced by some and not by others; and so we have एक or एअ for एक. सेव्या or सेवा for सेवा, &c. The syllables अय and अव are changed to ए and ओ oftener than in Pâli, the अय of the causative and the tenth class becoming ए necessarily throughout, as in कारेइ, हासेइ, कहेइ for कारयति, हासयित, कथयित &c.

All the sibilants are reduced to q as in the Pali, but in the Mågadhi dialect to श; as शालश, पुलिश for सारस, पुरुष, &c. These dialects do not possess the cerebral a, and therefore we have a in the place of the Pali z and in some cases the original Sanskrit z; as in तलाअ, गरुल, कीलइ for the Pali तळाग, गरुळ, कीळाते and Sanskrit तहाग. गरुड, क्रीडिति, &c., गुल or गुड, णाली or णाडी for the Pali गुळ, नाळी, &c. and पीडेड, नीड for the Pâli पीळेति, नीळ, &c. There are a good many more examples of the change of dentals to cerebrals than in the Pâli, both through the influence of a neighbouring \(\xi \) or without it. The \(\xi \) and द which correspond to त and श् are in most cases softened to इ and द. Thus we have पहि for the Pali पटि, as in पहिमा, पहिहार, for प्रतिमा, प्रति-हार, &c., and पहाडि, पटण, बहेडअ, for प्रभृति, पतन, बिभीतक; डोला or दोला, हम्भ or दम्भ, हम्भ or दम्भ for दोला, दम्भ, दर्भ, &c.; पदम (Pâli पठम), सिदिल, मेटी. ओसद for प्रथम, शिथल, मोध, औषध, &c. In Pâli the dental nasal न is changed of in but a few instances; but here it is so changed throughout, necessarily when uninitial, and optionally when at the beginning of a word; as कणअ, मअण, वअण, for कनक, मदन, वदन, &c., and णर or नर. णाई or नई, णोइ or नेइ, for नर, नदी, नयति, &c. The opposite process is however observable in the Paisachi dialect, where not only have we no instances of this change but even the original Sanskrit w is changed to न as in ग्रन, गन, for ग्रण, गण, &c. The conjunct consonants are transformed in the Prakrit in the same way as in the Pali. In the former however, and are changed to out and not to say as in the latter, as in जाज, सर्गा, अग्ग, स्वण, for ज्ञान, संज्ञा, अन्य, शून्य, &c. thus corrupted, a must in the original Sanskrit have been pronounced as if it were composed of ज and न; and sometimes the latter must have been so weakly pronounced that the sound of sq prevailed over it and the

whole became उज्ज, as in जाण or णाण, सञ्ज्ज or सञ्चण, मणोजा or मणोण्ण, for ज्ञान, सर्वज्ञ, मनोज्ञ, &c. In the Paisâchî and the Mâgadhî, however, the Pâli transformation is retained; as in सञ्जा, सवञ्ज, कञ्जका, अभिमञ्जू, for संज्ञा, सर्वज्ञ, कञ्जका, अभिमञ्जू, &c. The conjunct में is sometimes changed to उज् corresponding to the च्यू of the older dialect, and ह्या to उद्धा in which case the heavy adda of ह is transferred to the ज्ञ् which takes the place of म as in the change of ध्यू to उद्धा. In Pâli the consonants of gonly interchange places; i. e. it becomes च्हा. From this and from the change of the initial uncombined म to च्रा, it appears that very often the Sanskrit म, was pronounced heavily when the Prâkrits arose. The Saurasenî and the dialects allied with it have, however, both the Pâli and the Prâkrit corruptions of मूं.

A dental forming a conjunct with a following q is in a few cases changed to the corresponding palatal: as in भोचा for अक्ता, चचर for चत्तर, पिच्छी for पृथ्वी, विज्ञां for विद्वान्, बुद्धा for बुद्धा, अभ for ध्वज, झुणी for ध्वित, सदस्स for साध्वस, &c. This seems to arise from the fact that q was pronounced so lightly that it lost its distinctive character, and the conjuncts came to be confused with those containing a dental and q which, you will remember, are changed to a double palatal. In Pâli the क् is retained in these instances, and the g and and ध्व are changed to q and g according to the general rules, and the va of qual becomes uq. Besides the conjuncts disjoined in Pâli by the interposition of a vowel, we have भ्रे and १ also so treated in the Prâkrit, sometimes optionally and sometimes necessarily. Thus आद्शे becomes आअरिस or आअंस, (P. आदास); सद्शेन, सदिरसण or सदेसण, (P. सदस्सन); वर्ष, विरस or वास, (P. वस्स); परामभे, परामिरस, (P. परामास); अमरिस, (P. अमरस).

Having noticed the changes common to the Pråkrits with the Påli, we will proceed to consider others distinctive of the former. Most of these were due to the continuous operation of processes which come into play in a living language. The Påli exhibits but few instances of these processes. The changes observable in it are mostly to be traced to one or two vocal peculiarities of the men who spoke it. At the time when the language received the form in which we now find it, the tradition of the original Sanskrit was still distinct; the Påli had not lived an independent life detached from its mother for a long time. But with the Pråkrits the case is different. They show a great many more instances of the usual processes, and consequently a

much greater departure from the parent tongue. We will begin by noticing what may be called the softening process.

The vowels z and z are softened, as we have seen, to short v and si before conjuncts. These latter sounds are, as indicated in the last lecture, more open than the former, that is, do not require the tongue to be raised so high as in the case of z and z. They are therefore softer. But since the change principally takes place before doubles, it may, I believe, be traced to their influence, as I have already observed. In that case this would be an instance of assimilation. But the change of long and at to long t and at is due to softening alone; as in पेऊस for पीयूप, आमेल for आपीड, बहेडअ for विभीतक, केरिस for कीदृश, and एरिस for इंदृश, नेह for नीह, पेढ़ for पीठ, तस्बोल for तास्बूल, तोणीर for तूणीर, थोर for स्थूल, and गलेंड्रे for गृहची. In the same way, इ and उ are in rare cases softened to अ, as in हलहा for हरिद्रा, पहंस्त्र for प्रतिश्रत, and जिहिद्दिल for युधिष्ठिर. अ requires no movement of the tongue or lips, while g and g do. It is therefore softer than those two vowels. these changes contradict another principle to be hereafter noticed, the operation of which is wide, and they must therefore be considered special or peculiar changes The manner in which a is softened has been already detailed.

The semivowel यू is often softened to इ, as in विभूण for व्यान, विलिश्न for व्यान, धीण for स्त्यान, उन्तीद for उद्घयुद, जीआ for ज्या, &c. Here the effort necessary to bring the middle of the tongue closer to the palate is economized, while the position of the organs in other respects is the same. The यू of the conjunct य is sometimes softened in this way to इ and sometimes to इअ. In the former case the resulting इ is transferred to the previous syllable and forms ए with the अ contained in it; as in परन्त for पर्यन्त, अच्छेर for आश्चर्य, बस्भवेर for बहावर्य, सन्देर for सोन्द्र्य, &c. Similarly व is changed to उ as in सुणी for स्वनि, वीसं for विश्वक, गउओ for गवय, सन्दे for स्विपित, द for द्वि, &c.

The surds are softened into sonants. The pronunciation of these requires, as you will remember, less exertion than that of the former. For sonants such as u, u, u, &c., are pronounced by means of nida, or vocal sound which is produced when the glottis is in its natural condition and the chords vibrate, and the surds u, u, &c. are uttered by sending forth simple breath or s'visa, to produce which it is necessary to stretch the glottis. This effort is saved by changing the surds to sonants. Thus u is changed to u as in utility for utan and use, for use, and use, and use, and use,

An original & is softened to &, in which case there is a saving of two efforts. The complete contact necessary for the pronunciation of g being avoided we have &. This sound is similar to & in the fact that the breath before the break of the contact or close approach is allowed to pass out by the sides of the tongue, but is more difficult or harder because its position being higher up, the tongue has to be raised higher than in pronouncing 3, the position of which is near the root of the upper teeth. This additional exertion is saved by proceeding from इ, at once to छ. We have thus गरूल, तलाअ, कीलइ, दालिम, गुल, नाली, for गरुड, तडाग, क्रीडति, दाडिम, गृड, नाडी, &c. In the Pâli, however, you will remember and probably in some unwritten Prakrits also, the cerebral character of the letter was preserved and we have a instead of z. Sometimes z is softened to zonly. The position of this is lower than that of g and the contact is incomplete. It is therefore softer than & but harder than &. This last sound is produced lower still, but a light close approach is formed, and the breath is allowed to escape by the sides of the tongue; while in uttering \ \ \text{the tongue is} kept in its position with greater force, and the breath is allowed to vibrate. This change is principally to be met with in the case of the ₹ resulting from an original & in such words as valve, are, are, &c., for एकाद्दा, द्वादश, त्रयोदश, &c., the द् of which must first have become इ. कलम्ब, दोहल, and पलित्त, for कदम्ब, दोहद, and प्रदीप्त, we have instances of an original द passing into ल. An original द is changed to ल which, as just observed, is softer than रू, as in हलहीं, दलिह, यहल, इङ्गल, &c., for हरिद्रा, दिद, मुलर, अङ्गर, &c. When the र forming the first member of a conjunct is softened in this way, and the following member is weak, or is itself capable of becoming र the whole conjunct becomes ह; as पर्यस्त becomes पहडू न्य; पर्याण, पहाण; आदे, अह or ओह; मर्द, मह, &c. The sibilant म्, which is the only one we have in the Maharashtrî and Saurasenî, is compressed at the dental position is made uncompressed heavy vocal sound; as in दिवह, पाहाण, दह, एआरह, बारह &c., for दिवस, पाषाण, दश, एकादश द्वादश, &c.

Another phenomenon characteristic of the Prakrits is the dropping away of single uninitial consonants. Thus & is dropped, as in सअद, कुम्भआर, for शकट, कुम्भकार, &c.; ग as in नअ, नअर, साअर for नग, नगर, सागर. &c.; च as in वअण, सुई, for वचन, मूचि, &c.; ज as in रअअ, राआ. गअ, for रजत, राजा, गज &c : त as in विआण, पुआवर्ड, गअ, for वितान, प्रजापति. गत, &c.; द as in मञ्जा, पाञ, कञल, for मदन, पाद, कदल, &c.; प followed by any vowel except 31, as in रि.ज. कई, विउल, for रिप. कपि, विपल, &c.: य as in वाउणा, णअण, for वायुना, नयन, &c.; व as in जीअ, दिअह, for जीव, दिवस, &c. The vowel of or of that remains after the elision is when preceded by अ or आ pronounced like a light य. We find it written in Mss. also, as in सगद, तित्थयरो, नगर, &c. In the same way, the mute element of the aspirates is dropped, except in the case of the palatals and of T, the g or the heavy nada or vocal sound being alone preserved. Thus we have बह, लिह, सही, for खुल, लिल, सली, &c., मेह, माह पाहण, for मेघ, माघ, प्राघण, &c.; नाह, मिहण, कहा, for नाथ, मिथुन, कथा, &c.; बाहर, वह, साह, for बाधर, वय, साथ, &c.; लाह, सोहगा, मोहा, for लाभ, सोभाग्य, शोभा, &c. The range of the operation of these processes is very wide; and the number of words transformed in accordance with them is very large. Now, the principle which is at the bottom of this elision of consonants is the same as that which brings about softening, viz., economy of exertion. But in a growing language which knows of no accidents in the course of its history, there is another principle which counteracts this, viz, the necessity of pronouncing words in a manner to be understood by others. Hence a wholesale elision is impossible; and the natural course is to soften sounds away, until finally they are elided. This of course must be a very slow process. But in the Prakrit, and especially the Maharashtri, we do not find it to be slow. Elision seems to be a distinguishing characteristic which strikes one very forcibly on reading a Prâkrit passage. It is too regular, systematic, and farreaching, to have been the product of a long course of softening. In fact, because it is so systematic and general, some writers have doubted whether the Prakrits were genuine dialects, and have expressed their belief that they are the creation of Pandits. But we shall find that the modern vernaculars retain the words shorn of their elements by the Prakrits in this way; and that these latter dialects were the immediate parents of those we now speak in Northern India. If this general mutilation of words was brought about by a natural decay, we

must suppose the process to have gone on for a great many centuries. The Prakrit vocables that have descended to the modern vernaculars have not, since the period when the Prakrits arose about fourteen centuries ago, suffered at all so much as Sanskrit words in passing into the Prakrits. We have not dropped away any of the elements of Prakrit vocables, though we may have re-arranged them in some cases. If, then, fourteen centuries have not been sufficient to make words lose any of their elements by the action of natural causes, the process by which Sanskrit words were mutilated and became Pråkrit must, if it was natural and ordinary, have been in operation for a considerably longer period, a supposition which appears extremely improbable. And it is questionable whether a language which has not been exposed to accidents in the course of its history suffers so much, even after the lapse of any conceivable time. The Teutonic languages, though they have been going through an independent course of development since the period when the ancestors of the modern English and Germans separated from the ancestors of the Hindus in pre-historic ages, have preserved the elements of old Arvan vocables which the Prakrits lost. They may have transformed the words, but have not mutilated them to any great extent. The English words father, mother, brother, foot, and others, have all the elements of the old पितृ, मानृ, भानृ, पद् (पाद), and others; while the Prakrit पीआ or पीड, माआ, माइ or माड, भाआ or भाड, and पाअ, and the modern vernacular पिड, माड, मा, मार्, भाई, भाड, and पा or पाय, have lost all except the first consonantal sound. The elision of consonants on a large scale in the Prakrits is therefore to be accounted for in another way than by attributing it to a natural process of decay. The Prâkrit words must be taken to represent the pronunciation of the corresponding Sanskrit words by an alien race. The vocal organs of the people of that race were unused to the utterance of Sanskrit sounds, and in this respect they were in the condition of children making their first attempts at articulate speech, and elided uninitial consonantal sounds and assimilated conjunct consonants as these do. When a child or a barbarous foreigner listens to an elderly or more civilized person, he has not the patience to attend to all the sounds composing a word and to reproduce them carefully, or has not acquired the habit of doing so. The first letter only makes a strong impression on his ear, and this he faithfully reproduces; and as to the rest, he realizes their quantity by pronouncing the vowels, but his tongue being untrained, the peculiar movements necessary for uttering the consonantal sounds he cannot go through, and avoids. In going over the Pâli we had to attribute the assimilation of conjuncts and other peculiarities observable in that dialect to such a cause; and now the elision in the Prâkrits that we have been considering must, I believe, be accounted for in the same way.

But what could be the historical events which led to the wholesale assimilation of consonants in the first place and to the similar elision of uninitial consonants in addition to the assimilation, in the next? I would put forward the following hypothesis. It would appear that when the Aryas moved from the land of the five rivers and settled in the country known afterwards as Brahmâvarta and Kurukshetra that is the country about Thânes'var, they formed a consolidated community in which an aboriginal or alien race was incorporated and the language represented by the Pâli was the language of this race. When, however, the consolidated community spread eastwards and southwards. they met other alien races and the dialect spoken by the lowest class of the consolidated community was learnt by these new alien races and the words which were in the Pâli stage were further corrupted into the forms which we find in the Prakrits. The dialects of the Asoka edicts do not show instances of the Prakrit rule of elision, though some peculiarities of one or two later dialects are discernable in them. Does this necessarily show that the Prâkrits had not developed in the time of As'oka? I think not. The dialects of the edicts represent the spoken language of the more cultured portion of the consolidated community and not that used by the races which were newly incorporated with the community and formed a class lower in the scale, though the Pråkrits must have begun to be developed about the time of Aśoka. In the Nasik cave inscriptions of about the second century of the Christian era we observe some instances of the Prakrit peculiarity of elision, whence it would appear that the Prâkrits had then come into existence though they were still regarded as vulgar and were neglected, the principal inscriptions being in the Páli which had then become a learned language. The conclusion we came to in the last lecture, that the Pali represents middle Sanskrit in a vernacular form while the Prâkrits correspond to the last or classical stage, is in harmony with this view.

Another process which transforms the words of a language is assimilation. We have already discussed the assimilation of the members of a conjunct and of the diphthongal sounds and an, and will

now consider the application of the process to the different syllable, composing a word. The sq of the second syllable of sqq, and क्रपण, is changed to इ, because the vowel in the first is इ or ए, the क्र of कृपींग being changed to इ; and we have इंसि, वेडिस, and किविण. Similarly, the sa of after and aff, and the s of sty are changed to s, v, and 3 through the influence of the following 3, 3, and 3; and the forms are मिरिअ, वेही, and उच्छ. Sometimes, when य as a member of a conjunct is changed to a palatal, it influences the preceding vowel and brings it over to its own position, as in सेउजा for शय्या, मजिझम for मध्यम, गेउझ for up, &c. An original palatal also produces similar effect, as in जिमड or जेमड for जमति. In the words पुदम for प्रथम, पोस्म for पद्म, and ओप्पेड for अप्यति, the adjoining vowel is changed to इ to make it go easily with the labial q. You will observe that it is a that yields so readily to the influence of an adjoining letter, because in pronouncing it no movement of the tongue is necessary. It is sounded by letting off nâda or intonated breath, without offering any obstruction to it; and this current gives rise to the different yowels when it is obstructed in various degrees by various movements of the tongue and the lips; so that if the organic position of the previous consonant remains a little longer, or if the following is begun a little earlier, the sq current assumes the form that that position gives it. An aspirate or an aspirated mute existing in a word influences an adjoining vowel or a consonant; that is, the heavy breath that is necessary for the utterance of the aspirate mingles itself with the other sounds also. Thus anter becomes खासिअ; परुष, फॅरुस; परिघ, फिलिह; परिखा, फिलिहा; पनस, फिणस; and बिसिनी, भिसिणी, in which instances क्, प् and ब are changed to ख, फ्, and भ्. In other cases the heavy breath is transferred to an adjoining vowel which becomes ह; as in फलिह for स्फॅटिक, निहस for निकप, विहत्थी for वितस्ति, वसही for वसति, भरह for भरत, in which cases by the dropping of the mute we should have, but for this heavy breath, फाउअ, निअस, विअत्थी, वर्संड, and भरअ. The words सदा and जनह become सदा and सअद, the z resulting from the transference of the heavy breath being softened to 3.

Another kind of assimilation must be noticed here. The vowels are open sounds and the consonants close. These being used together, the openness of the former has a tendency to diminish to assimilate them to the latter, and the closeness of the latter has a similar tendency to yield to the openness of the former. This latter change involves economy and is the same as softening; and q for instance become more and more like vowels when they are changed to q and q, and thence to q and q; while the latter ultimately does become the

vowel 3. But the former does not involve softening in itself but rather hardening, since v or s, and si) or s, to which si is sometimes changed, occasion motions of the tongue and the lips which the latter does not. But in this change there is an ease of pronunciation such as is involved in assimilation. Immediately after one closing of the passage of the breath, it is easier to make a shorter opening for it such as z and z require before another closing, than a longer one such as the pronunciation of v and m requires, or a complete one such as is necessary for M. This last vowel therefore has a tendency to pass off into v and s, or and 3. But whether the shorter opening is to be made at the middle of the palate or at the lips, that is, whether wa is to be changed to v and s, or si and s depends on the vocal habits of a people. Some are accustomed to open their lips and round them, others not. Similarly, whether the opening shall be the least possible or a little more, that is, whether sx is to be changed to v or si first, and then to z or z, or at once to g or g depends upon habit. And the ease of pronunciation involved in this kind of assimilation is also relative. Some people may feel the muscular effort required for 3 and 3 to be more intolerable than the wider or complete opening, and prefer t and si) or even st, as in the instances given under vowel softening, and in others occurring in some of the modern vernaculars. The Prakrits change of to \$\ in virtue of this law of assimilation, as in पिक for पक्क, इङ्काल for अङ्गर, मुद्दक for मृदद्भ, सिविण for स्वप्न, and णिहाल for ललाट; and to ए in गेन्द्रअ for कन्दक, एत्थ for अत्र, देक्ख for दक्ष, &c.

Opposed to this process of assimilation is dissimilation. When the same vowel occurs in two successive syllables, it is felt to be burdensome, and hence a dissimilar vowel is substituted for it in one of the two. We have thus बहेडअ for विभीतक, सिंदे for शिथल, पृह्वी for पृथिवी, मजल, मजद and मजर for मुकल, मुकल के प्रमुख के सुद्धा के प्रमुख के प

be considered as instances in which the most open sound a was preferred by the Prakit speakers to ξ or ξ , as involving less exertion.

The first w of समृद्धि, प्रसिद्धि, प्रकट, प्रतिपद, सदुक्ष, &c., is lengthened optionally; and we have HIHIS or HIHIS, THEIS, THEIS, THEIS, प-पाहित, स-सारिच्छ, &c. On the other hand, the आ of यथा, तथा, उत्त्वात संस्थापित, प्राकृत, हारिक, कुमार &c. is optionally shortened; and these words become जह-हा, तह-हा, उक्खअ-खाअ, संठविअ-ठाविअ, पअड-पाअड, हल्अ-हाल्अ, कुमर-मार &c. The है of पानीय, अलीक, जीवति, गभीर, द्वितीय, तृतीय &c., is necessarily shortened, and we have पाणिअ, अलिअ, जिवह, गहिर, दुइअ, तहअ &c. Changes of this nature seem to be due to some kind of accent with which the words must have been pronounced. When one of the syllables of a word is accented, the whole vocal weight of that word gravitates towards that syllable. It is sounded with greater force and pitch and with a jerk which are apt to cause a wider opening between the organs of speech than is necessary. Hence the less open vowels अ, इ, and s have a tendency to become more open, i.e., आ, ए, and ओ. Similarly the force and the jerk tend to prolong the time occupied by the utterance of the vowel, that is, to lengthen it. And long vowels occurring in the unaccented syllables often become short, since they are uttered hurriedly or carelessly. Supposing gaage was pronounced with the accent on **n**, that would be a reason why the **n** should become प्रा in the course of time; and if द्वितीय was pronounced with the accent on a, we can understand why the fit should become short. But the real nature of the old Sanskrit accents, notwithstanding the labours of the grammarians, is little understood. How words were actually pronounced in this respect it is difficult to say. If the udâtta was really the acute accent, it falls in some of the above words on syllables by being on which it could not have operated in the manner indicated above. The supposition that the svarita was the acute accent fails equally. But perhaps the old accents went out of use very early, and others took up their place. Whatever may have been the case, the changes we have been considering seem to have risen from an accent of some sort. The ए of बेदना, चपेटा, देवर, and केसर is optionally changed to g; for supposing that the accent fell on the second or third syllable, the force necessary to pronounce it could not be successfully exerted if the mouth had to be previously opened wide for sounding v. It is thus reduced to the close vowel ; and so we have asyu, चिंडा, दिअर and fact. The dropping of initial vowels as in tout for sever and one for age must also be traced to those vowels being unaccented. accent on the penultimate vowel which is very general in the vernaculars as will be noticed in the next lecture had probably its beginning in these Prakrits and of this fact these six words are instances. This penultimate accent seems to have had a wider range in the later stage of these dialects represented by the Apabhrania.

There are several instances in these dialects of the interchange of consonants. The word स्तुषा becomes सुण्हा, while regularly it ought to be ण्हुसा; ण्हु and स् thus interchange places. Similarly, करेणू is transformed to कणेरू, वाराणसी to वाणारसी, अचलपुर to अलचपुर, आलान to आणाल, लघु to हल (लहु also being used), महाराष्ट्र to मरहटू, &c. In the case of विच्छुआ for दक्षिक we have an interchange of vowels, for the उ resulting from क्र is transferred to a and its to a. In ordinary life we often find that a man speaking hastily makes the sounds of a word thus interchange places. When several people happen to do so in the case of particular words, the new transformations come in the course of time to be regarded as the true words and acquire a recognized position.

The Prakrits sometimes change a labial to the nasal of its class as in नीम for नीप, आमेल for आपीड, कमन्य for कबन्य, नीमी for नीवि, समर for शबर, and सिमिण for स्वप्न. These are optional changes; the forms of the words with a or a instead of also existing. Though the change involves softening, since a portion of the breath is sent through the nose and the force of the contact weakened, it must be attributed to a tendency to speak through the nose. Similarly, they introduced an anusvâra into words which did not originally contain it: where also the breath was discharged through the mouth as well as the nose. In this manner, वक्र became वंक; अश्रु, अंसु; इमश्रु, मंसु; स्पर्श, फंस; पुच्छ, पंछ : मूर्था, मंजार, बंध, दर्शन, दंसण; वश्विक, विंद्वअ; मार्जार, मंजार, प्रतिश्वत, पहंस्रआ, The last syllable of the absolutive termination sou and of the case endings out and out is also sometimes nasalised, as in also or काऊण for कृत्वा, and वच्छेणं or ण and वच्छेमुं or स for वृक्षेण and वृक्षेषु. On the other hand, the existing anusvira of a few words is dropped, probably from a feeling that its existence in those words was due to a mistake and from a desire to correct it. Thus we have मास or मंस for मांस, कास or कंस for कांस्य, पाछ or पंछ for पांछ, दाणि or दाणि for इदानीं, and जं or ज as the termination of the gen. pl. The letter इ is, in a few cases optionally, sometimes necessarily, transformed into vi, as in vites or लाहल, णङ्गल or लङ्गल, णङ्गल or लङ्गल, and णडाल or णिडाल, for लाहल, लाइल, लाइल, and ललाट. In the last instance द is softened and made to exchange its place with the second &, and the a of or becomes g optionally. This also points to the Prakrit characteristic of speaking through the nose.

The remarks I have hitherto made apply to the Maharashtri or the principal Prakrit. The Sauraseni differs from it in but a few particulars. It does not drop q and u but softens them into q and u, as in पूरिद, मारुदि, तथा, नाथ, &c., for पूरित, मारुति, तथा, नाथ, &c. The conjunct नत् is often changed to न्द्, as in निचिन्दो, अन्देखर for निश्चिन्त, अन्तःपुर, &c. In addition to these peculiarities, in the Magadhi the T and T of the principal Prâkrit are represented by द and ज्, as in नल, कल, जालजा, पुलिश, for नर, कर, सारस, पुरुष, &c. The भ of a conjunct is not assimilated but preserved, and the q changed to म, as in प्रस्वलिंद हस्ती for प्रस्वलिंत हस्ती, गुष्क दाल for गुष्क दारु. कस्ट for कप्ट, &c. The double z and the conjunct g are changed to ex, and ex and it to ea; a and v being thus softened to z and q. Thus we have HEZIGAN for HEZIGAN, HEZ for HEZ, उवस्तिद for उपस्थित, शस्तवाह for सार्थवाह. &c. The consonant ज् whether original or derived, as in the Prakrit corruptions of a or a, was pronounced lightly, that is softened to यू, as in याणादि for जानाति, अध्य for अद्य The conjuncts ज्, न्य्, and प्य are, as before mentioned, changed to टम, as in Pâli; and चह to श्र, as in नश्र पुश्र for गच्छ, पुच्छ, &c. The क्ष of प्रेक्ष and चक्ष is changed to स्क, as in पेस्कदि for प्रेक्षते and आचस्कदि for आच्छते. In the Pais'achi, the changes of single consonants that we have noticed in the case of the Maharashtri and Sauraseni do not take place; that is, the consonants are not dropped or softened, nor are they transformed by the process of assimilation. The dentals are not changed to cerebrals, but the original Sanskrit cerebrals are optionally changed to dentals, as in कृत्स्वक or कुट्स्वक for कुट्स्वक; and an original ण to न throughout, as in गुनगन for गुणगण. The sonant द is hardened to त, as in तामोतर for दामोदर, पतेस for प्रदेश, &c. The sibilants are changed to स as in Pâli and the principal Prâkrit, and ज and न्य to ञ्य as in the former) In the Chulika Paisachi," the sonants are throughout changed to surds, as in नकर, मकन, मेख, वक्ख, राचा, चीमृत, &c., for नगर, मार्गण, मेच, व्याप्त, राजा, जीमूत, &c. Phonetically the Paisachî appears to be in nearly the same condition as the Pali, but the hardening of sonants is peculiar, and may be compared to the change of Sanskrit sonants to surds in the Tentonic languages, as in foot for पद, tooth for दत, know for m, &c. Such changes involve no economy whatever; and like the change of dentals to cerebrals they must be attributed to certain peculiarities of the vocal organs. Even in the operation of the usual processes we have, as you will have seen, found very wide scope for the play of similar special aptitudes. The characteristic of the dialect we have been considering of not changing dentals to cerebrals as the Pali and the Prakrits do, and even the dentalizing of cerebrals, would

appear to be truly Aryan. Perhaps then this was the language of an Arvan tribe that had remained longer in the original seat of the race. and was connected with the ancestors of the Teutons, so as to develop a phonetic peculiarity resembling theirs, and emigrated to India at a very late period and settled on the borders. Or it might be that the tribe came to India along with the others, but living in the mountainous countries on the border in a sort of rude independence, it developed this peculiarity of pronunciation, which to my mind betokens a rude and uncontrollable force of breath. Since under this supposition they could not have come in very close contact with their more civilized brethren of the plains, their language did not undergo some of those phonetic modifications which Sanskrit underwent in the mouths of the aboriginal races. And in a Sanskrit verse quoted by Mr. Ellis in his preface to Campbell's Grammar of the Telugu language, the countries where the Pais'achi is said to have prevailed are such border countries as Gândhâra or Afghanistan, Nepal, Bâhlika or Balk, &c.7

We will now proceed to examine the Grammar of the Prâkrits.

As in the Pâli the dual and the dat, case are wanting. The termination of the instr. pl. is &, the other Pali & having disappeared. The ablative pl. which was lost in the older dialect is made up by adding an, Skr. an, to the & of the instr. pl., which was used in Pali for the abl., and to H, the termination of the locative, and using feed and सुनतो as the terminations for all nouns. This तो in the form of हो and g is also used to form the abl. sing. of all nouns. This is according to Vararuchi. But Hemachandra gives दो and द as the Sauraseni terminations, and and a as those in the principal Prakrit, which is according to the usual phonetic rules. This grammarian gives त्रो as an additional termination for both numbers, and extends and a to the plural also, and ferd to the singular. In Prakrit, sometimes consonants are doubled even when there is no conjunct in the original Sanskrit. Hence we have तो; and the reason why this and दो and दु or ओ and उ are extended to the plural is that in Skr. the expression made up of a noun and this termination has both senses; as ग्रामतः may mean from a village or villages. The gen. sing. Et from the Et of Sanskrit nouns in

^{7.} Names of other countries in Central or Southern India also occur; but the reading of the verse is evidently corrupt.

^{8.} I shall in all my observations on the Prakrits follow Hemachandra, since he is full and explicit. Vararuchi is indistinct in several cases, and his rules on that account have been misunderstood by all writers who have followed him; and he is incomplete.

आ is generalized as in Pâli and applied to all masc. and neut. nouns; and the pl. is formed by the old Skr. term. नाम् Prâkritized to णं, as in the older dialect. The Pâli pronominal abl. स्मा or स्हा has disappeared and the loc. स्मि or स्हि has assumed the form of स्मि and is used for all nouns of the masc. and neut. genders as in the other language. The voc. pl. is as in Skr. and Pâli the same as the Nom. pl.

Masculine Nouns in आ. The nom., acc., and instr. cases of nouns in आ are the same as in Pâli; but here the nom. pl. form बच्छा is optionally transferred to the acc. pl. also, thus giving another instance of the strong tendency to confuse the nom. and acc. that I have spoken of. The dat. sing. in आग like that in Pâli, is preserved in solitary instances in which it has the sense of "for the sake of". The abl. sing. besides the general forms mentioned above has the old one ending in आ, as in Pâli, and a new one in आह which is used in the plural also. This आह is used in Sanskrit in the words दक्षिणाई and उत्तराई, which have an ablative signification. It must in its origin be considered the same as the instr. termination भिन्न. The loc. sing. besides the general form has, as in Pâli, the old one in ए. The voc. sing. has also the two Pâli forms देव, देवा, and another देवा the same as the nom. sing.

—Nouns in इ and उ. The nom. pl. has a form made up according to the analogy of neuter nouns or nouns ending in इन्; as अगिणों and साहुणों. This does not exist in the Pâli, the analogy being there extended to the gen. and loc. sing. only. The Prakrit has also the two Pâli forms अगी and अगगों, which latter is transformed into अगाओं and अगग ; and साहु and साहुवों, the latter appearing also in the form of साहुओं and साहुव. The acc. pl. has also the neuter form अगिणों or साहुणों in addition to the Pâli अगी or साहू. Besides the general forms mentioned above, the abl. has in the sing. the neuter form अगिणों or साहुणों also. The Pâli loc. sing. अगिणि does not appear. The other forms of these nouns are like those in the older dialect. The voc. sing. is अगि or साहु as in Pâli, and अगी or साहू. We here see the analogy of neuter nouns or nouns ending in इन् extended to all vowel cases except the loc. sing.

—Nouns in इत. Two bases, one ending in आर as in भतार from भते and the other in इ as भत्त, are used, the former throughout all the cases and the latter in all except the sing. of the nom. and acc. The first is declined like nouns in अ, and the second like those in इ as साहु. The nom. sing. has also the old form भता. In the Pali, you will re-

^{9.} Prof. Lassen derives them differently.

member, the first base is used, in the plural of four cases, and the second in the gen. sing. only; while the singulars of three cases have the old Sanskrit forms. Here the two bases have been extended much further and one old form only that of the nom. sing. remains. Nouns expressive of relationship such as पित्, आत and जामात are declined similarly, the final syllable आर being shortened to आर as it is in Sanskrit and Pâli in those cases where the base is used. The voc. sing. is अत, and of the latter class of nouns it ends in अ or आरं, as पिअ or पिआरं.

-Nouns in अन, अत (present participle), वत, मत &c. राजन has four bases. The old one ting with the old Sanskrit forms only phonetically corrupted (3 becoming voi) is used in all the singulars except that of the loc. and in the nom. pl.; as tim, timini, toui, toui, and रण्णो. In Pâli it is used in the loc. sing. and gen. pl. also. The second राज, Prâkritised into राज, is used in both numbers of all cases except the nom. sing., and in Pâli in all except the nom. sing. and pl. and the acc. pl. It is declined like a noun in 34; as राआ nom. pl., राअं acc. sing., राआ-राऐ acc. pl., राएण instr. sing., &c. The third राजिन is employed in the gen. sing., in the older dialect; but here, as राइन, in all cases and numbers except the nom. sing. It is declined like an ordinary Sanskrit noun in 57; as (150) nom. and acc. pl., राइणं acc. sing., राइणा instr. sing., &c. The fourth is राजान, Pr. राञाण, used in all cases and both numbers. Vararuchi, however, does not give this, and omits the others in some of the cases. This base is unknown to Pâli, but occurs in the word आत्मन in the form of अताण, and is used in the plurals of the instr. and loc., while here it is extended to all cases. This noun and such others ending in अन are in the Prakrit declined like राजन; the base in आण is general to all and used throughout, but the others occur in certain cases only. The voc. sing. of राजन is राअं or राज in the Saurasenî, the former of which does not occur in the Mahârâshtrî. The base of the present participles ends in अन्त and they are declined like nouns in अ. The Pali, you will remember, uses the old base in some of the cases and has the old forms; but here they have disappeared. Similarly and un become and मन्त throughout, i.e., end in आ. Other final consonants are dropped and in feminine nouns sometimes on is added.

Feminine and Nenter Nouns.—The Prakrit feminine declension differs from the Pali in little more than a phonetic corruption of the terminations. The \mathbf{u} of the instr., abl., gen. and loc. of nouns in \mathbf{u} , and the \mathbf{u} of those in \mathbf{u} or \mathbf{u} , are weakened into \mathbf{u} or \mathbf{u} or dropped, leaving only \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{u} . In the latter class of nouns this \mathbf{u} is further

optionally shortened to अ. Thus we have मालाए इ-अ and नईए-इ-आ-अ, &c. The optional loc. forms in यं are lost; and the यो of the nom. plurals मालायों and निहयो leaves only the vowel ओ which is again shortened to उ. The abl. forms in दो-द or ओ-उ are of course new. Fem. nouns in ऋ substitute आ for the final and are declined like nouns in आ; as, नणन्दा, नणन्दाओं, &c. मातृ, however, has another base मात्रा when it signifies a "goddess." In the Pâli four bases are used, the old one, मात्र declined like masc. nouns in अ, मातृ, and माता. This last is used in the gen. pl. only along with मात्राण, and मात्रां. The nom. and acc. of neuter nouns in अ are in the Prâkrit the same as in Skr. only phonetically corrupted, as वणं sing., वणा-इँ-ई-णि pl.; while the sing. of those in इ and उ have an anusvâra optionally attached to them, as दिह or दिहें इ-णि, pl. The optional Pâli plurals in आ and ए are lost. Sanskrit neuter nouns in अन् and अन् become nouns in अ, and are masculine.

Pronouns—The nom. pl. in ए is preserved, as in सन्धे, जे &c. The gen. pl. has ft for its termination formed by adding a light ; to the साम of Sanskrit, which according to the usual rules should be corrupted to t, and is also formed upon the model of the corresponding nouns; as सब्वेसिं or सब्वाण-णं, जेसिं or जाण-णं, &c. The Pâli has सं, and its double gen. सानं is wanting. The loc. sing. has the termination सिं, a form not existing in the older dialect, in addition to the FH used for nouns, both of which are to be traced to the Skr. स्मिन, as in सव्वस्सि or सन्वस्मि, जिस्स or जिस्म, &c. This स्सि is further changed to हिं as in सञ्बद्धि, जिहें, &c., or better, this latter may be traced to the Pâli स्हि, Another loc. sing. term. is तथ which reperesents न, as सञ्जत्थ, जत्थ The abl. sing. स्मात is optionally used after किम, यद, and तद only, in the form of vel, as avel, sivel, and sivel; in Pali it is necessarily used after all pronouns. In other respects pronouns are declined like the corresponding nouns; as सञ्बं, सब्बे acc., सब्बेण, सब्बेहिं instr., सब्बत्ती-दी-द. सञ्वाहिन्ती-सन्तो abl. The instr. sing. is optionally formed like that of nouns in द in the case of some; as द्वमिणा, जिणा &c. You will thus observe, that except in the nom. pl. there is no distinction between the two declensions; the peculiar pronominal forms I have mentioned being only optional. Of the fem. of तद, यद, and किम, the gen. sing. is optionally तिस्सा or तीसे &c., where the base is ती &c. The other forms are like those of nouns in ई, as तीआ-ई-ए &c. This base is used throughout along with the other in M. In Pâli it is used in the gen. and loc. sing. only along with the other, and the gen. forms are तिस्सा and तिस्साय, the latter of which is, as I have said, a double genitive. This the Prakrit has preserved in the form of तिसे, originally तिस्ते, where the ए represents the ए of the older dialect, as it does in the feminine nouns. The other base of किम् and तद् has also its genitive singular in सं, as तास, formed by transferring the masc. termination; or it is to be traced to तस्सा. The genitive plural forms of the masc., such as तेसिं and ताण, are also sometimes used in a feminine sense. The loc. sing. of किम, यद, and तद is optionally formed by extending the masc. termination हिं to the base in आ, as ताहिं &c. These peculiarities are only optional; so that the feminine pronouns are declined like nouns in आ or इ. Thus we have जा, जाओ-उ, जीओ-उ nom., जं, जाओ-उ, जीओ-उ acc., जिणा, जाहिं, जीहिं instr., जादो-दु, जीदो-दु, जाहिन्तो-एन्तो, जीहिन्तो-एन्तो &c. abl. जस्सा, जिस्सा, जीसे, जासं, जासं, जोसं, जाण, जीसं, जीणं gen., जाहिं, जास, जीस्र loc. The optional instr., abl., gen., and loc. singulars are जाए-इ-अ and जीए-इ-आ-अ.

The pronoun a in the form of on exists as in the Pâli; sau has two bases, su which is used throughout, as sui, su nom., su su acc., इमेण-मिणा, इमेहि, instr. &c; and अ from which we have optionally अअं nom. sing., अस्स and अस्सि, gen. and loc. sing., and एहि and एस instr. and loc. plural, and आहि instr. pl of the feminine. इमो, इमस्स, इमस्सि &c. are also in use. The base of is used in the Pali in the instr. sing., gen. pl., and abl. sing. also. The demonstrative size has one base only, अम्, which is declined like nouns in उ; as अमृ, अमुणो nom., अमुं असुणो acc. असुणा, अमृद्धि instr., &c. The pronoun of the second person has, according to Vararuchi, five bases त, तुम् or तुम, तुज्झ, तुम्ह, तुम्म, वो and भे. The first is derived from the ल of लम ; तम or तम from the same with the a softened to उ; तुज्झ from तुम्यम through the intermediate Pali form तुरहे, the last conjunct of which is changed to जझ, by a rule formerly given; are is made up by putting together the a of the singular and the en of the plural, as explained in going over the Pali; तुम्म is another form of तुम्ह; वो is the old Sanskrit वस ; and भे seems to be derived from the महे of तुम्हे as ब्हमण is from ब्राह्मण. Hemachandra adds तुन्भ, to be derived from the Sanskrit तुन्सम् or from तम्ह, मह being changed to इस; उड़झ from तुड़झ by the dropping of तुः तयह and बयह other forms of तुभ्यम ; तुव from त्व by the insertion of इ: तह from तरहै; उम्ह by the dropping of the न of तम्ह; and उद्धा by treating तुरुष in the same way. The Pali has only त, त, and तुरह; and the several old forms it had are lost in the Prakrit. Nominal terminations are used in a good many cases. Thus we have तं, तं, तवं, तह, and तमं for the nom. sing., these and ge and gift for the acc. sing., as, ac, aus, aue, au, त्याह, and also तमं, भे, ते, दे, and दि for the instr. sing. The form तमं properly belongs to the nom. and acc. cases and & to the gen., & and &

being only a softened; but several cases are here confounded. The abl. sing. has तइत्तो-ओ-उ-दो-द-हि-हिन्तो, तवत्तो-ओ &c., तमत्तो-ओ &c., तहत्तो-ओ &c., तुरुभत्तो-ओ &c., तुरहत्तो-ओ &c., तुरुझत्तो-ओ &c., also तुरह, तुरुभ, तुरह, and तुरुझ. The gen. sing. has twenty-one forms, viz. तइतं, ते, तह, तहं, तव, तम, तमे. तुमो, तुमा, इ, दि, दे, इ, ए, तृब्भ, उब्भ, उब्ह, तुम्ह, तुज्झ, उम्ह, and उज्झ. The loc. sing. has तुमे, तुमए, तुमाइ, तइ, तए, तुम्मि, तुवस्मि, तुमस्मि, तुहस्मि, तुन्भस्मि, तुम्हाम्म, and तुज्झाम्म. The plurals are as follows:-भे, तुब्भे, उज्झे, तुम्ह. तुरहे, उरहे, तुरहे, तुरझे nom.; वो, तुरुभे, उरझे, तुरहे, तुरहे, तुरहे, उरहे; भे acc.; भे खर्मोहें, तुज्झेहिं, तुम्हेहिं, उज्झेहिं, उम्हेहिं, तुप्हेहिं and उप्हेहिं instr.; तुन्मतो-दो-द-हिन्तो -सन्तो, त्रवहत्तो-दो &c., उपहत्तो-दो &c., उम्हत्तो-दो &c., तमहत्तो-दो &c., and त्रज्ञत्तो-दो-&c. abl., भे, तुरह, तुरुभ, उरुभ, तुरुभाण-णं; तुवाण-णं, तुमाण-णं, तुहाण-णं, तम्हाण-णं. तुम्ह, तुज्झ, उम्ह, उज्झ, तुज्झाण-णं, gen., तुस, तुव-वेस, तुम-मेस, तह-हेस, तुब्भ-ब्भा-रुभेस, तुम्ह-म्हा-म्हेस, तुज्झ-ज्झा-ज्झेस loc. The points to be observed in these forms are these. The nom. sing, has mostly the same forms as the acc. sing. This is due to the fact that the Sanskrit cars of the acc. becomes, when the on is shortened by a Prakrit phonetic rule, rai i. e.. the same as the nom. sing. Hence its Pråkrit representatvies तं, तं, तवं and तुमं are the same for both the cases. But a more probable reason. which explains a similar fact in the case of the first personal pronoun also, is that the plurals of the nom, and acc. having by natural processes already explained become exactly alike, the two cases came to be confounded; and the sing, forms also of the one were used for the other. The forms तइ, तए, तुमइ, तुमए, तुमे, and तुमाइ are common to the instr. and loc. sing. This probably arises from the fact that the Sanskrit can. when the u is softened to u or s, becomes au or as, and so does the all of the loc.; and when the base 7 is seen to be interchangeable with 34 in the nom., the terminations v and which are common to the two cases are applied to gu also. A and its softened forms a and and तह are common to the instr. and gen. sing.; तुरह, तुरुभ, तुम्ह, तुरुभ are common to the abl. sing and the gen. sing. and pl.; and a to the instr. sing, and pl. and the gen. sing. Such a confusion of the case-relations must be expected in course of time. Even in Sanskrit the gen. and instr. &c., are used alike in connection with some participles; and the identification of the abl. and the instr. we have already noticed in the Prakrits. The gen. forms तुरह, तुरुभ, तुरह, तुरुभ, उरम, अस्ह, and अरुभ, all derived from the Sanskrit dat. तुभ्यम and referred to the gen. when that case was lost, are taken as bases, and the terminations v of the nom. and acc. pl., & of the instr. pl., तो, दो, द, ओ, and द of the abl., ज or जं of the gen. pl., and for and g of the loc. sing. and pl. are tacked on to them to form these cases. Here the tendency to use the genitive form as a base for the formation of the other cases, a trace of which only we observed in the Pâli, is seen more developed.

The pronoun of the first person has, according to Vararuchi, the following bases:- f or sig and if from the Sanskrit singulars, in the gen. sing., अम्ह from अस्म and जो from नम्, of which अम्ह is used in the plural. Hemachandra adds He and Hoss from Hell, and is from He or स्मे. He also gives अस्ति, अस्मि, and स्मि for the nom. sing. and अस्मि for the acc. sing. The first is clearly from site 1st pers. sing. present of SAH, often used in Sanskrit as an indeclinable particle; and the others are also corruptions of the same just as the termination for of the loc. is a corruption of स्मिन. The singular forms, therefore, are:—अभि, स्मि, अस्हि, हं, अहं, अहं , करंं non.; णे, णं, मि, अस्मि, अस्ह, मस्ह, मं, ममं, मिमं, अहं acc.; मि. मे. ममं, ममए, ममाइ, मइ, मए, मयाइ, णे, instr.: मइत्तो-दो-द-ओ-उ-हि-हिन्तो, ममत्तो &c., महत्तो &c., मज्झत्तो &c., abl., मे. मइ, मम, मह, महं, मजझ, मजझं, अम्ह, अम्हं gen.; मि, मड, ममाड, मए, मे, अम्हस्मि, ममस्मि, महस्मि, loc. The plurals are:-अम्ह, अम्हे, अम्हो, मो, वयं, मे, nom., अम्हे, अम्हो, अम्ह, णे, acc.; अम्हेहिं, अम्हाहि, अम्ह, अम्हे, ण instr.; ममत्तो, अम्हत्तो, ममाहिन्तो-सुन्तो, अम्हाहिन्तो-सुन्तो, ममेसन्तो, अम्हेसुन्तो abl., णे, णो, मजझ, अम्ह, अम्हं, अम्हे, अम्हो, अम्हाण, ममाण, महाणा मज्झाण gen., अम्ह-म्हा-म्हेस-मम-मेस, मह-हेस, मजझ-जझेस loc. Here also the same observations as those made in the case of the last pronoun are applicable.

In the Mågadhi the ओ of the nom. sing. of nouns ending in wais replaced by v; as và yoù for va yeu. The tet of the gen. sing. is sometimes changed to z and the preceding wais lengthened; as ninuties gen for ninutet gen. The plural of this case is formed by adding wife, as attnif for atunit. The anusvâra represents the vi of the original termination win, and z is introduced from the analogy of the sing. The regular forms ninutet and attniv are also admissible. Such forms as these we shall meet with hereafter; and they belong to a later stage in the decay of our grammar.

Conjugations.—All the Skr. conjugations, with the exception of the second in the case of a few roots ending in a vowel, have been brought over to the आ type, i.e., to the first, sixth, and the tenth. Some of the others have left a few traces; as, the fifth and the ninth their of in such instances as सुज, किज, जाज, जुज पुज, धुज, both conjugations being, as you may remember, confounded in the Pâli, the third the root बीह—pres. tense बीहिंदि—the ह standing for आ, the fourth its य assimilated in a good many roots such as जुज, जुज्ज, जुज्ज, जुङ, नस्स. &c., and the seventh its न in हन्य and others. But you will see that to these forms आ is added and they are made roots ending in आ; while a great

many have lost even such traces. In the Pâli, you will remember, the conjugational signs added to some of the roots are entire, as the a of the sixth and the n of the fifth, and w is appended to roots of the seventh conjugation only, 7 being inserted before the last consonant. But here the 37 takes the place of the ending vowel of the signs of the fifth and other conjugations also, and so we have सण, कीण, and बीह. Thus in the Prâkrits most roots end in 37. There are some ending in other vowels, such as and an; but the rule of conjugation is the same for all, viz., to add the terminations to the root directly. There can be no consonantal root. Some forms, such as those of अस्, have come down from the parent language only phonetically changed; but these are not formed in the Prakrit. They are really Sanskrit forms in what I have ventured to call a petrified condition. The roots ending in st change it to v optionally, i e., they are conjugated according to the model of the first and of the tenth, as is the case to a great extent in the Pali also. The distinction between the special and the general tenses is of course forgotten.

The Prâkrits have retained the Present and Future tenses and the Imperative mood entire; while the Potential and the Aorist have left the third person singular only. An isolated form of the Imperfect such as आस from the root अस remains.

The terminations of the Present Tense are—3rd pers. sing. ? and ? in Saur, and 3 and v in the principal Prakrit, pl. fa and a ; 2nd pers. sing. A and A, pl. 5741 and 5 for which last the S'aur. has 4; 1st pers. sing. मि, pl. मो. मु, and म. Of these दे or ए, से and न्ते, of which the last is not given by Vararuchi, are remnants of the old Atmanepada, and the first two are used after roots ending in 37 only. With the exception of the first pers. pl. # and the 2nd pers. pl. say, the terminations can readily be traced to the corresponding Skr. Parasm. The Sanskrit 2nd pers. pl. v becomes v in the Saurasenî and z in the Mahârâshtrî by the phonetic rules already noticed, and ar or its shortened form sis the Skr. मम. In these points the primitive and derived languages perfectly agree; but # and gay are new terminations and were first used in Pali, the latter however in the form of zu. The first is, as I have observed, transferred from the Imperative, Imperfect and other tenses, and a is the Skr. Fu 2nd pers. pl. of the root stee. To this is prefixed in the Prakrit the usual augment s, which H and s also take optionally. The terminations in and g are unknown to the Pali. Other forms of the first pers. sing. and pl. made up by adding Fe and Fe or Fel, as in करेरिह, करेरह, गुरुद्धाह, &c., occur in the plays, though the grammarians

have not noticed them. These terminations are evidently the Skr. Ru 1st pers, sing, and स्म: or स्म pl. of अस्. 10 You will remember that a good many verbs are made up in the Pali by adding forms of this root. Hemachandra notices also another termination of the 3rd pers. pl., viz. t, which is transferred from the Perfect. The Imperative forms are made up by adding & S'aur. & Pr. 3rd pers. sing. and = pl.; H and E 2nd pers. sing. and च Saur. ह Pr. pl.; and च lst pers. sing. and मो pl. The original form of the root ending in sq is also used as the second pers. sing. Of these द, न्त, हि or अ, and स from स्व Atm. both Skr. and Pali; 7 (Skr. 4) transferred from the Present is used in Pâli; but y and n are peculiar to the Prâkrit, the Pâli using only the terminations of its present. Of these in is transferred from the Prâkrit Present, and I is made up by adding the I which is peculiar to the Imperative and distinguishes it from the present. The first pers. pl. takes walso sometimes as in the Pali, but it is not noticed by the grammarians. The consciousness that the future is made up by prefixing स्य=स्स Pr. & Pâli to the terminations of the present has never been lost, and whatever changes these terminations undergo are transferred to the future; so that the S'aurasenî and Mâgadhî future differs from the Pali in those respects only in which the Present tenses of the two differ. The first person sing., however, is optionally changed to an anusvara; or the anusvara may be a remnant of the conditional terminations; as stite. In the principal Prakrit the to is still further corrupted to &, 4 being dissolved into and 4 changed to &, as in होहिड for भविष्यति, होहिन्ति for भविष्यन्ति, &c. In the first pers. we have, in addition to this & et which is lengthened as in Skr. and also its other form as as well as en for the whole as in the Sauraseni. e. g., होहि-स्सा-हामि or होहिस्सं, &c. A beginning in the direction of the & was, you will remember, made in Pali. We have also a few petrified Skr. forms such as दच्छं for द्रक्ष्यामि, मोच्छं for मोक्ष्यामि which are more conditional than future in their origin; and to these as bases are added the terminations of the present and also of the future to form new futures; as दच्छिह, दच्छिहिइ, &c. We have also काह and दाई from क and at. The only Potential form left is that of the 3rd pers. sing.; as हसेजा or हसेजा, होजा or होजा. The termination is the same as in Pali, the en of the 3rd pers. sing. being altered to an, and the general form of the termination out to sai. After bases ending in sa, sa or sai

^{10.} Prof. Lassen traces these to the root अस, but रूपा to the Skr. U to which U is, he says, prefixed because the previous vowel must have been pronounced with some accent. But considering that many forms of अस् are used as terminations it is more natural to take this also as such a form.

becomes van or van, as in Skr. and Pâli." This being an isolated form and derived from the Potential, which does not signify any particular time, it is used in the sense of the Present, Future, or Imperative in all numbers and persons; and after roots in 37 the terminations & and of the second pers. sing. of the Imperative are added to sa to make up new forms of that mood, as हसेजास, हसेजाहि; and another form हसेजा is also mentioned.12 This Potential form of roots ending in vowels other than wais used as a base, and the terminations of the two tenses and one mood are attached to it to make up new forms for them: as simis. होज्जाइ: होजाउ, होजाउ, &c. The Aorist also has left its 3rd pers. sing. only; the termination to be added to roots ending in a vowel, is eff, st, or fla and fa to those ending in a consonant or a, i. e., such as take the augment 5 or change the 37 to 5. Of these eff and si are derived from the Parasm. Fin of the fourth form; the first is found in Pali, and a is only another form of it. The Pali has also for the 3rd pers. sing. corresponding to the 37 affixed to Sanskrit roots that take the augment . This is very likely the origin of also since we have it after the roots which necessarily admit the augment; but the अ of this and of होआ is difficult to explain. हीआ must correspond to some such form as सीय or सीत which, the म being dropped after the augment 5, is reduced to \$3. These सीय and सीत are forms of the Potential Atm. of 344, and they may have been added as terminations to form the Aorist as other forms of one are in this and other tenses in the Pali. Or the of the Aorist may have been confounded with सीत the pot. of अस from its resemblance to it.

These are rare and isolated forms, and past time is mostly expressed by the past participle passive which in the case of intransitive and some transitive verbs has also an active sense. The Sanskrit termination $\overline{\tau}$ is only phonetically changed to $\overline{\overline{\tau}}$ in the Sauraseni and to $\overline{\tau}$ in the Prâkrit. Roots ending in $\overline{\tau}$ change it to $\overline{\overline{\tau}}$ before the past participial termination. The past participles of some roots are not newly formed, but the old Skr. forms have come down only phonetically altered. This fact should always be remembered, that there are in all these derived

¹¹ This fact strongly supports my derivation of the forms. Prof. Lassen derives them from the Precative. But the Precative was lost at an early stage, since it does not exist even in the Pali. The V is not the AU of the 10th conj. as the Prof. thinks, but the V which bases ending in A take in the Pot. in Skr.

¹² Prof. Lassen derives these also from the Precative, and against the argument that that mood is rarely used in Skr. itself and was lost in the Pali states that the Precative is found in the Vernaculars. But I have not found it. The forms and, and G. and H. I trace to the Prakrit Potential forms spoken of above.

dialects new formations called by Hemchandra साध्यावस्थ, as well as old formations, Region. This arises from the fact of the analogies not being made applicable throughout, as they would have been if the languages had been artificial. Contingency or condition is expressed by the present participle, as we do in our modern vernaculars. The infinitive is formed as in Skr. by the addition of an changed to \$, the Pali तवे being lost, and the absolutive by affixing the termination ऊण (इण Sauraseni) from the Pali तुन and the Vedic त्वान or त्वीन. But the termination that is most used in the Sauraseni is say from the Sanskrit q of roots with prepositions prefixed. Hemachandra also gives for the principal Prakrit तूण and तुआण the origin of which is the same as that of ऊण, ता from त्वा, त by the dissolution of the semivowel of त्वा, तम by a confusion with the infinitive, and इअ which is used in the Sauraseni. Of these, however, sou is the one that prevails; the others are rare. The passive is formed by adding \$34 and \$35, both of which come from the Sanskrit q with the augment s prefixed as in Pâli, the u being transformed to sa in one case and leaving its sa only n the other or being dissolved into 334. Hemachandra gives a good nany roots which preserve the Skr. forms, only phonetically changed, is दीसइ from दश्यते, बुचइ from उच्यते, गम्मड from गम्यते, लब्भड from लभ्यते. उन्नद्ध from बध्यते, &c. The causal terminations are ए from अय, and आवे from आपर. These two are the same as in the Pâli, the Sanskrit forms also existing in the latter being lost. Hemachandra adds an and ana i.e., the v answering to sav is lost. But the Sanskrit vowel changes are preserved even when the अय gives place to आ; as दिसाइ. terminations of the absolutive, the infinitive, the potential participle (अब्ब from Skr. त्व्य), and of the Future take the augment इ which also is transformed into a short v of the same nature as that which is found before conjunct consonants, as in que or que. We have thus Effective or हसेऊण, हसिउं or हसेउं, &c.

You will thus have observed a much greater progress in the operation of those principles which we found at work in the construction of the Pâli. Here as before we find that the less known forms are made up on the model of the more known. The number of old forms which still remained in some of the Pâli declensions and conjugations has been greatly reduced in the Prâkrits, and a further advance been made in the introduction of uniformity and simplicity in the grammar of the language. It is also worthy of remark, that in a great measure the same false analogies which are used in the Pâli have come down to the Prâkrit, and their range extended. Thus the analogy of nouns in or neuter nouns in or a has been carried much further in the

declension of masculine nouns ending in g or g. Nouns in 3K, 3FF and and have adapted themselves more closely to the model of those ending in s, their Sanskrit nom. case supplying the new st base; and the st conjugations have more generally prevailed over the rest. The device of using such case-forms as HH and HHH as bases, and making up the cases by appending the proper terminations has also been more largely availed of. Some of these phenomena may be attributed to the operation of the same invariable laws in the development of either without being an index to a more intimate connection between the two dialects. But there are individual forms in the two languages which, though they might be different, are yet the same in both. Such, for instance, are बच्छे acc. pl., the loc. sing. ending in the pronominal हिंह or स्मि, the double gen. facetta, the 2nd pers. pl. ending in som of the present tense, and a good many others. The consonantal and vowel changes also, so far as they go in the older language, are the same. We may therefore safely conclude that the Pali represents generally an earlier stage of the same language which afterwards became the Pråkrit or Pråkrits. But there are again in the Pråkrits such forms as those of the ablative in and suff instead of the old pronominal ver, the first pers. pl. of the Pres. in m or m instead of the Pali m, the 1st pers. sing. of the Imperative in # instead of the Pali #, the S'auraseni absolutive in 534 corresponding to the Sanskrit 4, which does not exist in the older dialect, and others. These Prakrit forms cannot have been developed out of the Pali forms, but must have grown independently from the Sanskrit originals. In the same way, though the Prakrit sounds are generally the same as or further developments of the Pali sounds, there are a good many which could not have grown out of the latter. Thus the ft in the Prakrit words fts. ftes, ftv. fta. &c. cannot have been developed out of the s or w of the Pali sig, wes, अण, उज्., &c., or the अइ and अड of such words as दश्च, भइरव, पडर, कडसट, &c., from the ए and ओ of the corresponding Pâli words, or the our for Sanskrit & from the Pâli our, though this latter exists in some of the Prakrit dialects, or the रिस for भ or प in such words as आअरिस, सहित्सण, बरिस, and प्रामिश्स from the स्म or स of the Pali आहास, सहस्सन. वस्स, and परामास. The Prakrit sounds must in these cases be traced directly to the corresponding Sanskrit sounds. It therefore appears that the Prâkrits had also an independent development, which may be accounted for on the supposition that they sprang not from the Pali but a sister dialect or dialects; or that, though originally they were the same as the Pali, their subsequent development was influenced by the parent language, and thus other sounds and forms not

existing in the earlier dialect came in fresh from Sanskrit. But the first supposition is discountenanced by the fact that the resemblance between the Pâli and the Prâkrits extends even to isolated cases; and the second is supported by the circumstance that in one important particular the Prakrits resemble the Sanskrit in the last stage of its development, while the Pâli differs in that particular from both and agrees with an earlier form of the parent language. We have seen that in later Sanskrit verbal forms especially of the past tenses were rarely used, and participles were employed instead; and we find that the Prâkrits have mostly lost all the Sanskrit tenses and moods except three, and past time is generally expressed by the past participle and contingency by the present; while the Pâli has, as we have seen, preserved eight, including all the past tenses and the conditional. Middle Sanskrit bears to later or classical Sanskrit the same relation, in this respect, that the Pâli bears to the Prâkrits. The change that came over Sanskrit between the two periods left its impress on what may be called the Vernacular speech. This could not have been the case unless Sanskrit had continued to influence that form of speech represented first by the Pâli and afterwards by the Prâkrits. was because it continued so to influence it, that the Prakrits came to have sounds and grammatical forms also derived direct from Sanskrit and not through the Pâli This leads to the conclusion, which will be developed in detail in the last lecture, that when the Pâli and the Pråkrits came into existence Sanskrit had not been dead but was as much a living language as either of the other two and both were spoken or used for ordinary purposes, one by men of culture and the other by ordinary people.

সকা a sister, M.13 সাকা, a term of honour used for an elder sister.

```
अग्घाडो an herb ; आघाडा M.
अवहो a well ; आह M. अनुवास (
उडिद a kind of pulse; the same, M. H.,
उह्नद् sleeps; उंघना H. उंघतं G.
कुडं, कुडूं, कोडूं, wonder; कोड old M. S.
कोहं a town ; कोट a fort M. 1
कोडिम्बो a basin of wood for water ; कोठंबा M.
कोलित a firebrand : कोलीत M.
कहविअं to mix a liquid with a solid substance and stirit up; काळवर्ण M. काजन १ -
गोही a man, a warrior; घोव husband, M.
चोप्पडइ rubs, anoints ; चोपडणे M., योपउन्ने 😘.
टिहणणो
व्हिक्की
हिण्णाले | an adulterer or adulteress ; शिंदळ M.
हिण्णाली छिनाळवा - छिनाळ
पोआलो an ox ; पोळ M. पोठी- पोठीआई.
पहच्छी a cow that has no milk; पाइकी M.
पासले slanting ; पासले lying down with the face upwards, M.
पोइं belly ; पोट M. पेट II. G.
फंसड deceives ; फसविणें M. फर्का व है ं
ক্রমা false, vain; মুনা M. দো চহা বি.
बाउड़ी a doll ; बाउली M.
जिंद्यों father, a brave man; त्राप M. G. H; जांद्या an able-bodied man, M.
```

There are a great many words set down as Desyas, which on close examination will be found to be Tadbhavas. They differ from ordinary Tadbhavas in having undergone great corruption. The following are instances. Some of the words in the above list may also be considered to be of the same nature. Thus फंसइ 'deceives' is a denominative or nominal verb from the word पात्र 'a snare,' which in our modern dialects has the form of फांस; whenc 'फंसई is 'to ensuare' or 'entrap.' So also इसं, इड्ड, कोई and the old M. कोड are in all likelihood to be traced to the Skr. कोई and the old M. कोड are in all likelihood to be

```
कन्दोह a lotus; from कन्दोत्थ Skr.
कुहुहो humpbacked. This may be traced to Skr. कुडज-कुडभ-कुह; and
ह is a termination which is used in a great many nouns.
कुहुह्म disliked, evidently from कु and रोच्य.
```

कोणो black ; Skr. कृष्ण-कण्ह-कोण्ह-कोण.

उब्बंडिओ thrown upwards ; उद्वर्तित: Skr.

उज्जह desolate; from Skr. उउइब्ल bright, pure, cleared of every thing, desolate.

बद्दा a bullock; from Skr. बलीवर्द.

छही rind, bark; may be from Skr. शल्य, शल्यक.

छासी whey; may be from Skr. तक.

हिन्द touches; from Skr इप by a change of vowel.

छिप्प a tail; from पुच्छ, by the consonants interchanging places, and the palatal छ having its vowel इ.

पहोहर the hind part of a house; from Skr. पृष्टगृह.

पेयालं, पेजाल, a measure, a certain quantity, occurs in the Pali and is traced to Skr प्राय. It may also be connected with प्राज्य.

ৰীন্তহ speaks; from ছ by the change of হ to হ and the transference of the vowel to the first consonant—a thing often observable; হত্ত—
ছন্ত — ৰান্ত:

The Sanskrit etymology of some of the words given by the lexicographers is evident, and one does not know how they came to be regarded as Desyas. Other words changed their sense in the course of time and so were referred to this class

पस्हुसङ् forgets; from प्रश्रुष् to steal,—a thing forgotten is as it were stolen.

बहुमुहो a wicked person; from बहुमुख, because a wicked person puts on many faces or appearances.

कामिकसोरो an ass;—a son of Kama or love, devoted to pleasure, is often a donkey.

कुन्सिम् pregnant, कुन्ति originally 'side,' came to signify 'womb;' and that womb is worthy of the name which bears a child, hence कुन्तिमती lit. 'having a womb' came to have the signification given. A good many of the words given by Hemachandra do not occur in the modern Vernaculars, and we have regular Tadbhavas instead; as, क्री a tiger, इस a nail, &c.

The number of Des'ya words, if properly examined, would be greatly reduced. Still a Des'i element in the Prâkrits and the Vernaculars must be admitted. These words must have come into the dialects from the language of the aborigines whom the Aryas conquered; and some are found in Sanskrit also.

THE APABHRAMSA.

The dialect called the Apabhranis's by the grammarians presents Indian speech in a further stage of decay and occupies a middle position between these Pråkrits and the modern vernaculars to some of which, especially to the old Hindî, the Brajabhasha, and the Gujaratî it bears striking resemblances, as I shall hereafter show.

As mentioned before, we have the grammar of this dialect from Hemachandra, Trivikrama, and Kramadísvara; but Vararuchi does not mention it. The Apabhramsa had a literature of its own. Hemachandra illustrates each of his rules about this dialect by quoting a In the fourth Act of the Vikramorvasî the Prakrit speeches of the king in his madness are in this dialect. But it is a question whether they existed there originally, since in several manuscripts on this side of India they do not appear. The metres employed in these and in Hemachandra's quotations are the same as those popularly used in old and modern Hindi or Braj, viz., dohû or chopai. Pandit Vrajalal mentions a work of the name of Munjarasa, written in the Apabhranisa, from which he gives a short extract, and another the hero of which is a king of the name of Prasenajita. He also quotes from another work; but the language of all these appears to be more modern than Hemachandra's Apabhrazisa, and that of some of the verses makes a very near approach to the Gujarâtî. As before, I will introduce my remarks on this dialect by a specimen: --

✓ एनहे नेत्तहे बारि घरि लच्छि विसंदुल धाइ।
पिअ पब्सद्रव गोरडी निचल किंदिव न ठाइ॥
जे महु दिण्णा दिअहडा दृइंप प्रवसन्तेण।
ताण गणन्तिए अङ्गुलिउ जज्जरिआउ नहेण॥
जीविउ कास न वल्लहुं धणु पुणु कास न इट्टु।
दोण्णि वि अवसरि निविडिअई तिणसम गणइ विसिद्धु॥
जो गुण गोवइ अप्पणा पयडा करइ परस्स ।
तस हुउं किंजुगि दुल्लहुं। बिल किंजुजं सुअणस्स ॥
गङ्ग गमेप्पिण जे। सुअओ जो सिवितित्थ गमेप्पि।
कीलदि तिदसावासगउ सो जमलोउ जिणेप्प॥

Sanskrit:

अत्र तत्र द्वारे गृहे लक्ष्मीर्विसंष्ट्रला धावति । प्रियप्रभष्टेव गौरी निश्चला क्वापि न तिष्ठति ॥ ये मद्यं दत्ता दिवसा दियतेन प्रवसता । तेषां (तान्) गणयन्त्या अङ्गुलयो जर्जरिता नस्नेन ॥ जीवितं कस्य न वस्त्रमं धनं पुनः कस्य न इष्टम् । द्वे अप्यवसरे निपतिते कृणसमे गणयति विशिष्टः ॥ यो गुणानगोपायत्यात्मीयान्त्रकटान्करोति परस्य। तस्याहं कलियुगे दुर्लभस्य बिंलं करोमि सजनस्य॥ गङ्गां गत्वा यो मृतो यः शिवतीर्थं गत्वा। कीडति त्रिदशावासगतः स यमलेकं जित्वा॥

"The unsteady goddess of wealth runs to this door and that, this house and that; like a fair one separated from her lover she does not remain firm anywhere."

"My fingers have worn away rubbed against by my nails, while counting, again and again, the days named by my lover [as the period of his absence], when he set out on his journey."

"To whom is life not dear and to whom is wealth not an object of desire? But when the occasion comes, a worthy man regards them as straw."

"I worship that good man so rarely to be met with in this Kali age, who conceals his own merits and gives publicity to those of others."

"He who dies after having gone to the Gauga and to Sivatirtha, triumphs over the power(world) of death and sports in the habitation of the gods."

The vowel अ of the derivatives of the pronouns यद, तद, किम, and say is changed to s or v. In the principal Prakrit we have noticed a tendency to such a change, and one of our instances was ora for sa. From the same tendency we have in the Apabhranisa जैत्य for यत्र, तेत्य for तत्र, and केत्य for क्रत्र, the s of the latter being replaced by v in virtue of the analogy of the other pronouns. In the same way तद्भत "in that manner," which after dropping the final consonant becomes तद्व, is changed to तेम, यद्वत् to जेम, and किम्बत to केम. This w is also rendered a more close vowel and changed to इ, and thus we have तिम, जिम, and किम. Thus the bases of these pronouns came to be considered as त or ति, जे or जि, and के or कि. and so we have fau for au, Sau for au, and fau for aun. The mute element being dropped these forms become तिह, जिह, and किह, and further तेह, जेह and केह. For similar reasons we have तेतिअ or तितिअ for तावत्क, जेतिअं or जितिअ for यावत्क, केतिअ or कितिअ for कियत्क. &c., even in the Prakrits. The nom. sing. termination of nouns in 34 is shortened to 3, and since a great many nouns were pronounced with this final 3 and its original sense was forgotten, it was transferred by way of analogy to other words or grammatical forms that did not possess it before; and thus we have gry and are for green and are. and जेत्यु, जेहु, &c., in the above. The long vowels are sometimes shortened as in the word not in the last of the above verses. The consonants of and of are sometimes softened to n and n, instead of being dropped, त् and श् to द and श्, as in the S'aurasenî, and प and प to ब् and y. In the principal Prakritalso this is sometimes the case. The labial, व is changed to म in a few more instances than in the Prakrits as in जाम and any for ulan and alan, and shy or shy, any or fat, &c., in the above for use, ase, &c. The complete contact of the lips necessary for the pronunciation of # is avoided in a great many cases, and thus we have व for म as in भवेर for अमर, कवेंद्र for कमल, &c. This is the prevailing rule in most of the vernaculars, as we shall hereafter find. conjunct मह is changed to म्य; that is, the aspirate ह has been labialized and assimilated to the preceding H, as in fifth for Prakrit fift and Sanskrit from. A few instances of this change we did find in the Prâkrits and we shall find more in the vernaculars. The Sanskrit conjunct न्त्र is changed to दण्; for as त् was often pronounced as ब्, this ब् instead of merging into the preceding mute, as semi-vowels often do, acquired prominence as 4 does when preceded by a dental; and the 7 being assimilated to it, the whole become च्यू as त्य becomes च्यू, as in पद for त्वया, प्राण or पण for the abstract termination त्वन, and प्रिण for त्वीन. the suffix of the absolutive In the Prâkrits the त्म of आत्मन similarly becomes **cq** and so we have अ**cq**। for आत्मा. The letter र when the latter member of a conjunct is sometimes not assimilated, as in ब्राप्ति, प्राइ for प्राय:, &c., and sometimes it is introduced even when it does not exist in the original, as in it for at, need for yeer, &c. With these few exceptions, the rules about the assimilation of conjuncts, the elision of consonants, and others hold good generally as in the Prakrits, as you will see from the above extract.

Declensions—Nouns in sq.—The decay of the case terminations is, however, a distinguishing feature of this dialect. The distinction between the nom. and acc. case forms which we observed lessening at each stage in the growth of our languages is here altogether lost. The sq of the nom. sing. of masculine nouns in sq is shortened to sq, and used in both the cases, as gas nom. for year, ns for na; squess for uncertainty, and applied to neuter nouns as well, as squest The nasal of the neuter is preserved only in nouns which are augmented by the addition of sq changed to sq; as squest for squeaxy. The nom. and acc. pl of the masculine ends in sq; as squeet, quest &c. in the above. The neuter nouns preserve the Prakrit sq of the plural, as in squeet. Sometimes words are used in these two cases

without any terminations; as विसंदुल nom. sing., निष्ठ nom. sing., गुण acc pl., गृङ्क acc. sing., तित्य acc. sing., in the above extract. The principle observable in the other cases is the same as we have noticed in the older dialects, viz., a gradual reduction of all the declensions to a uniformity. The instrumental singular of nouns in 37 has two forms, one in t as देवे. and the other the old one देवेण. The former is derived from this old form, the final st being dropped, and the nasal assuming the form of an anusvara. This new termination is transferred to nouns in द or द also, as अगिगएं. The instrumental pl. is the old one in f. but the change of the ending vowel to ए is only optionally made; as गुणेहिं or गुणहिं. One ablative termination is & which is appended to all nouns, and the other & वच्छहे गृण्हइ फलइं or वच्छह् गृण्हइ, "gathers fruits from trees." Of course we may trace the first to feed by supposing it first to be changed to grain by the usual Prakrit rule, and afterwards to have dropped its second syllable; and g to something else. But it appears to me that a good many of the terminations having been reduced to g by natural phonetic changes, the others also had this inserted in them by analogy, just as the nominative termination s is introduced in words and forms in which it did not exist. This process we shall necessarily have to suppose when we examine the forms of the present tense. Or the g may have been introduced simply to prevent a hiatus and connect the vowel-termination with the base. And this is rendered probable by Hemachandra's rule that the ending syllables इं, हं, हिं, and इं, are to be lightly pronounced, as the य that is introduced in the place of a dropped consonant is. The real syllables in these cases are therefore 3, 3, 3, and 3; and this is confirmed by the fact that the remnants of these Apabhramsa terminations existing in some of the modern vernaculars are destitute of this z and are mere vowel-terminations, as will be seen in a subsequent lecture. Thus, then, a may be considered the aspirated form of the v of the Pråkrit feminine ablative, and g of the g of the masculine ablative." The operation of the law of false analogies is very wide. The abl. pl. z, as in गिरिसरगढ़, may with Lassen be traced to सन्तो, the म being changed to g and the syllable and dropped, as a is in the case of the 3rd pers. pl. of the present, as we shall see. The genitive singular & and स्य as in सञ्जास्य and प्रस्तु in verse 4 above, I trace to the स्स of the Prakrits, the s being added by analogy as observed before. Lassen

Kramadîs'vara in Lassen's extract gives \(\xi\) instead of \(\xi\); but this is an evident misreading.

traces is to Eq, which, he says, must have been added to these nouns to form the genitive; and the a being softened to a, it is reduced to a. But this does not account for the double en of the other form; and the addition of such a word as a to make up a case-form is altogether unexampled. The suffix a, as in creat in the same verse, is but another form of H. The genitive plural s, as in HINNER for HASHINIH, may with Lassen be derived from the true of the Sanskrit pronominal declension. But the transference of this termination to nouns is nowhere seen in the Prakrits; is is optionally appended to nouns in g or g also; and the characteristic vi of the gen. is wanting in this dialect. probable explanation, therefore, is that the w lost its cerebral element and was reduced merely to a nasal of, or of with an anusvara, as is the case in the instrumental singular, and the g is added, as observed before, simply to facilitate the pronunciation. The loc. sing. ends in ए, as in तले, which we see is the old termination, or in इ, as in तिह, which is a shortened form of v. Kramadisvara gives also is which might be traced to the Pali Fe, the Sanskrit Eug, or the Etg of the Prakrit pronominal declension. The language of Jaina works has this in the case of nouns also. But even here the Prakrit Ft, may, with reason, be supposed to have changed to \$, and the \$ to be as before, a mere spiritus lenis. We have this locative in the Marathi. The fi is extended to the plural also of these nouns; The vocative is formed by using a which is evidently an interjection; as तरण हो "O young men."

Nouns in g or 3.—There is no distinction between the nom. and acc. sing. and pl., the original base being used without any modification or addition. The instrumental singular is formed by adding t or of, as in the last class of nouns, or simple anusvara; as अग्रिएं, आग्रिण. अगि. As एण becomes ए by dropping the final vowel, so does the run of the Prakrit instrumental here become . The abl. sing. ends in as in and nee; and the gen. sing, also according to Kramadisvara, Hemachandra being silent. These have been transferred from the feminine. Kramadisvara also gives and as the terminations of the sing, of these two cases; but there must be some misreading here in Lassen's extract from the author, since ; is a distinctive plural ter mination, The gen. pl. termination is g or g as in तरह. सङ्ग्रिह (शक्नीनाम्). The latter has been traced to the old gen. ज, and the former appears to be only another form of it with the addition of the usual द. The loc. sing. has हि, as in कलिहि; and the pl. has हि and है, as in तरहि, and उद्हे. The first must be considered to be the same as

हिं derived from स्मि or स्मि with the anusvâra dropped; or as the g of Prâkrit feminine nouns with the spiritus lenis ह. This explanation seems to be probable, since we have seen other feminine terminations also used for the cases of these nouns. The third is to be traced to the Prâkrit इं. Kramadîśvara in Lassen's extract gives इं for the इं of the abl. pl., and हे for that of the gen. pl.; but I have to make the same remark here as before. The instr. and abl. plurals are the same as those of nouns in अ; as तरहें and तरहें. Thus the plural of three cases ends in है, derived separately of course, and the plurals of two in है.

Feminine nouns.—The. plurals of the nom. and acc. of feminine nouns preserve the old si, or its shortened form z, as in signs. जजारिभाउ in the second verse, and सुन्दरसन्वङ्गाओ विलासिणीओ. The sing. is the original base, a जजरिआ, विद्यासिणी &c. The instr. sing. termination is v, the old one, as in सिसमण्डलचिन्दमण, कन्तिए, &c.; the. abl. is ह, as in बालाहे for बालाया:, which is an aspirated v; and the gen. है as in महदहे for मुखाया: (इ being a nominal suffix) may be similarly explained or traced to the it of the gen. sing. fem. of the pronoun, किम, यद, and तद, in the Prakrit I prefer the former explanation. This & has been transferred to masculine nouns in g or g as we have The abl. and gen pl ends in ह, as in वयसि अह for वयस्याभ्यः or वयस्यानाम्. The anusvara of the g of the other classes of nouns is here omitted; and if correctly so, the g of the abl. may be considered an aspirated form of the 3 which is the ablative termination in the Pråkrit; and that of the genitive may be traced to the g of the singular of masculine nouns. The instr. pl. and the loc. sing. and pl. take the same terminations as masculine nouns in g or g; as HEE, महिद्धि, and महिद्धि-है. Lassen gives other terminations; but he appears to me not to have interpreted Kramadi'vara correctly. Those I have given are all that I have been able to find.

You will thus see how by the various influences at work, the natural transformation of x to x, the elision of some of the elements, and the aspirated pronunciation of the vowels, most of the old terminations have been reduced to syllables composed of x and a vowel with or without an anusvara. Terminations with such weak sounds are not adapted to serve the purposes of ordinary intercourse, since they require on the part of the speakers such care in pronunciation to render themselves intelligible to each other as we have not seen displayed in the course of our lingual history. The nominative and accusative throughout, and in certain classes of nouns the other cases

also, have come to have the same forms. So that the purpose of expressing the different relations can be no longer performed by these poor remnants of the old declensional system; and a process of reconstruction must take place. It has already begun in the Apabhramsá; but we shall find it carried on much further in the vernaculars, which may now be said to have completed their new declensional system.

Pronouns.—The abl. sing. of pronouns ends in zi, as wei, &c., which is to be traced to the Sanskrit स्मान and the Prakrit स्ता, and the loc. sing. in हिं which has been explained. The gen. sing. of यद, तद, and किम are optionally जास, तास, and काम, made up by adding the usual ड to जास, तास, and कास, which again are other forms of जस्स, तस्स, and ster, with one of the consonants dropped and the preceding vowel lengthened as a compensation, a phenomenon to be noticed in going over the vernaculars. In the feminine these pronouns have जहे, तहे, and कहे optionally for the gen. sing., which are derived from the Prakrit जिसे, तिसे and किसे and the Pâli जिस्साय, तिस्साय, and किस्साय. The base, however, in the Apabhramsa ends in sq and not \$\frac{1}{5}\$, or the more probable explanation is that this & is the aspirated form of the v of feminine nouns. has and for its base; the instr. and gen. singulars, for instance, are आयेण and आयहो. This seems to be taken from the nom. sing. अअ, or अय by the rule of the substitution of य for अं. The neuter nom. and acc. sing. is sy. vel is nom. and acc. sing. masc. of vac, corresponding to ven, ve fem. to ven, and by the application of s to these we have the neuter ve. ve is the nom. and acc. pl. answering to ve Prakrit, with the latter t shortened; and sits of size which corresponds to the form असके, the अम being changed to अनु by a rule before mentioned, and afterwards to आ; and के to ए shortened to इ. In other respects all these pronouns are declined like the corresponding nouns. pronouns of the 1st and 2nd persons are thus declined:—

1st pers.		2nd pers.	
sing.	pl.	sing.	pl.
Nom. हुई	अम्हे, अम्हइं	तुहं	तुम्हे-तुम्हई
Acc. 45		तइं	-
Instr.—	अम्हेहिं	पईं, तई	तुम्हे्हिं
Abl. महु-मज्झु	अम्हहं	तड, तुज्झ, तुध	तुम्हर्ह
Gen. — —			bydrovano.
Loc. मई	अम्हास	पइं, तइं	तुम्हास्र

Here we have not the wilderness of forms which we observed in the principal Prakrit. The nom. sing. of the 1st per. is 53 cor-

responding to NEGH, the initial of and the of being elided and the usual Apabhramsa z added. In the Prakrit want is represented by अहमं or अहमं. महं is to be traced to the Sanskrit instr. म्या and the loc. मिरे both of which are reduced to the form of us in the Prakrit. Here it is extended to the acc. also. The pl. base is sate which has been explained. The nom. pl. stes corresponds to such a form as अस्मके nom. pl., not loc. as Lassen says, through अम्हए; and the gen. pl. has the Apabhramsa termination g. The rest are old. The is a base derived, as I said in going over the Prakrits, from at and is used in the Pråkrit also. पह and तह of the acc. instr. and loc. are from त्वया and त्विय. The second is found in the older dialects, the first is peculiar to this. Of the corruption of a to u I have already spoken. abl. and gen. तड corresponds to a form त्वक which with the Apabhramsic ड is तड, the क being dropped. Or it may be traced to तव with the व dissolved into 3. ASH is a form found in the Prâkrits also and explained by me as a corruption of the dat. त्यहं in the Pâli and Prâkrits, derived from the Skr. aray. The dative forms, you will remember, are put under the gen. in the older dialects. The x of qu is a peculiar Apabhramsic. conjunct formed from ज्या. The neuter of तद is similarly त्रं and भं. The base of the plural is are, the same as in the Prakrit; and the nom. pl. तम्हंद is to be traced to तुष्मके for पुष्मके nom. pl. All the forms are similar to those of the first personal pronoun.

The Present tense of the Apabhramsa verb admits besides those of the corresponding Prakrit or Saurasenî tense, the following forms:-1st pers. sing. लहुई, pl. लहुई; 2nd pers. sing. लहुहि, pl. लहुहु; 3rd pers. sing. ves, pl. veis. It appears that some of these forms have arisen from a confusion of the Present Indicative with the Imperative. The prevailing and distinguishing final of the latter is the vowel 3; and here we see it is appended to the forms of the 1st pers. sing. and 2nd pers. pl., though it does not occur in those forms in any of the older dialects. The g is another characteristic of these paradigms. That of the second pers. sing. we get from the old R, and that of the pl. exists in the Prakrit, being derived from the Skr. q. But the 3rd pers. pl. and the 1st pers. pl. get it simply by an extension of the analogy; or it my have been introduced to pervent a hiatus and thus may, like those of the cases, have been simply a spiritus lenis. The g of the latter, however, may be traced to wall. being shortened to s, just as FFI and FFF of the declensions become si and ff. Instead of the 3rd pers. pl. Fr. we have ff, in which, though the z is due to analogy, the characteristic z and the nasal are preserved. You will thus see that in the declensions as well as conjugations the g prevails in this dialect. The Imperative second pers. ends in g, v or g. The first two may be traced to the Prakrit and Sanskrit &, we being dropped as in the Vernaculars; and the last seems to be substituted for the sq of one of the forms in the original dialects by analogy; or it may be the remnant of & changed first to &, and then to z. But a better and, I may say, the true explanation of these forms will be given in going over the Vernaculars. Hemachandra does not give any more forms for the Imperative; but Kramadiśvara gives 5 for the second person plural which must very likely be g, the same as in the present, and & for the first pers. pl. which we have in the Present also. In one of the verses quoted by Hemachandra occurs que which seems to correspond to प्रान्त, if the reading is correct. The truth seems to be that the forms of the Imperative were lost, and the sense confounded with that of the Present, but the distinctive forms were those of the second pers. sing.; and in this respect there is an analogy with the Hindf and the Gujarati which resemble the Apabhranisa the most. Future has the Prakrit and Sauraseni forms in & and see; but one w of this latter is dropped, and then the terminations of the Apabhrainsa Present are added. The terminations of the absolutive are 5, 53, 313, 513. एवि, एविया, एप्पि, एपिया. इ is the same as the Sauraseni इअ from the Skr. 4, 53 is the same, with the usual 3 added to it, or is to be traced to the तुम or दूतम which is, as we have seen, confounded in the Prakrit with the absolutive, and the rest are various forms of the Vedic cale with the Prakrit augment or v. This color is, by a rule before mentioned, changed to come which with a becomes comes dropping the final q we have Eq. This, however, may be derived also * from such a form as cal found in the Vedas. This cay or cays is then softened to a or ay, as q is so softened in many cases. When the augment or vis not prefixed, we have a in the form of sala the sq being the final vowel of the root. Some of the terminations of the absolutive are also used to form the infinitive, on account of the prevailing confusion between the two. In consequence of this very confusion, recourse is had to other ways of forming the latter which are similar to those existing in the Prakrits; but these will be noticed hereafter.

The termination तथ्य of the potential participle assumes the forms of इष्ट्यं, एव्यं, and एव. The first two represent the form with the addition of क; and the g of इष्ट्यं is the usual augment. The अ of the त of तथ्य which remains after the consonantal portion is dropped, is by the influence of the preceding g changed to u. In those points

which are not noticed here, the Apabhramsa follows chiefly the Saurasens, and the principal Prakrit also to some extent. Thus in a great measure it represents those dialects in a further stage of decay; but it must be considered to have derived some words or forms independently also. Thus the पृष्ठ of the second personal pronoun cannot be derived from the Prakrit तइ, nor दिएण of the absolutive from तूण or उत्प, or एण of abstract nouns from त्रण, but directly from the Sanskrit त्र्या, त्रीन, and त्रन. This corruption of त्र must have existed in some of the older dialects too, since, as observed before, we have it in Asoka's inscriptions; and the Apabhramsa derived it as well as a few such peculiarities from them.

LECTURE IV.

Phonology of the Vernaculars of Northern India.

We have now traced the gradual decay of Sanskrit from the form in which it is presented to us in the oldest literary records to that which it assumed in the Apabhramsa dialect. We have seen how words lost some of their vocal elements on account of the natural tendency of men to economize effort, as well as in consequence of the fact that the vocal organs of the people, who through historical accidents had to speak that language though it was not theirs, were untrained to utter the sounds of that language, and that they imported into it some sounds to which they themselves were previously accustomed. We have also observed the effects of the operation of the law of analogy in simplifying the grammar of the language—an operation, the range of which, in consequence of the ignorance of those same foreigners, was very extensive. The declensions and conjugations gradually lost their variety and became reduced to one or two types by the generalization of the rules originally applicable only to the nouns and verbs frequently used in ordinary intercourse. At the same time the terminations themselves of some of the cases, tenses, and moods came through numberless analogies to have the same or similar forms, and their vocal body gradually became attenuated and in some instances they were altogether dropped. Thus these processes of simplification were carried on much further than was consistent with intelligibility in ordinary intercourse. Hence the necessity arose of inventing new modes of expression for those relations which came to be imperfectly expressed or ceased to be expressed in the old way. As observed in the last lecture such new expressions are to be met with in the Apabhramsa dialect, If the Prakrits and the Apabhramsa which we have examined really represent the speech of the Indian people at certain periods of their past history, we should expect to find a relation of continuity between them and the prevailing speech of modern times. The words and grammatical forms in the modern vernaculars should either be the same as those existing in those dialects, or should be easily deducible from them by laws which we have observed to be in operation; and if in the Apabhramsa the grammatical forms came to be in a condition in which reconstruction was necessary, and if accordingly it was begun, we should find it carried on much further in the vernaculars and on principles used in the Apabhramsa and the older dialects and out of materials existing in them. We will therefore proceed now to the examination of the vernaculars with a view to trace this continuity.

The varieties of speech prevailing in Northern India at the present day are almost innumerable. If even a few peculiarities were to be regarded as giving individuality to a language, the number of dialects spoken in this part of the country would exceed even the proverbial fifty-six. But they may be divided into classes on the principle of resemblance; and generally the dialects spoken in the adjoining provinces are so alike each other that they may be regarded as constituting one class or even one language. Thus we have nine principal languages; and starting from ourselves, and going northwards, first on the western side of the country and then turning to the east and south-east. I may state them as the Marâthi, the Gujarâtî, the Sindhî, including the Kachchhi, the Panjabi, the Kaśmiri, the Hindi, the Nepâli, the Bangâlî, and the Oriyâ. Of these the Kaśmîrî and the Nepâlî have not yet been studied, and no grammars or books are available. Hence my observations will not extend to them. Each of these has its dialects; but those of some, such as the Gujarâtî and the Sindhî, differ from the main language in unimportant particulars. The same is the case with the Maratha, except in some corners of the Maratha country. But in these there are five dialects differing in a great many important particulars from the main Marathi. Thus, the Goanese prevails in Goa; the Mâlvanî, my own native tongue, and the Sâvantvâdî, both of which, notwithstanding some minor differences, may be considered as one, are spoken in the Malvan, Vingorla, and Savantvadi districts. The Chitpâvnî is used only by the caste of Chitpâvan Brahmans in the district about Ratnagiri; the Salsetti is spoken by the original inhabitants of that island and of Bombay; and the Khandesi, which is a mixture of Marathi and Gujarati, and contains to an appreciable degree a barbarous element, probably from a Bheel source, prevails in Khândeśa.

The Hindî has a great many dialects. Two at least may be distinguished among the variety of speech prevalent in Rajputana, the Mewârî spoken in Mewar and the adjoining districts, and the Mârwârî which prevails in Marwar, Jesselmere, and the other provinces. These, as may be inferred from their geographical position, are midway between the Gujarâtî and the Hindî dialects of the North, displaying some of the peculiar characteristics of both. Further north, we have the Braj prevailing in the country about Mathurâ; and to the east the Kanojî. There is not much difference between these. The language of the history of 84 Vaishnavas and other books of the Vallabhâchâryan sects, which is ordinarily supposed to be the Braj, has gram-

matical forms identical with those mentioned by a recent grammarian as peculiar to the Kanoji. There is a good deal of confusion as regards this matter, the characteristics of one being found in the printed books together with those belonging to another. Then further north, we have the Garhawali and the Kumaoni spoken in the provinces of Garhawal To the east, we have the Avadhi or the dialect of the province of Avadha or Ayodhyâ, and to the south of this again is the Rewal spoken in the State of Rewa. Further to the east is the Bhojpuri, and kindred dialects prevailing in Bihar and the adjacent districts on the confines of Bengal. The old literature of the Hindi is principally written in two dialects, the Braj, and what is called the Pûrbi. Súradása's works, Behárilál's Satasaí, and others are written in the former; and to these I may add the Vallabha works I have already men-The Râmâyana and Tulasîdâsa's other works are written in the latter. The chief distinction between the idioms of Sûradasa and Tulasidåsa appears to me to be, that the latter uses a great many grammatical forms which are old, and from which those used by the former are derived. In this respect Pûrbî might be considered to be a very old form of the Braj. But there are a few other distinctions, though it is questionable whether they are enough to justify the Pûrbi being considered an independent dialect. The language of Kabira's Ramaini and Sakhis presents a few peculiarities found in neither of the above. But the characteristics of Sûradâsa and Tulasîdâsa are also to be found in it: so that if we leave out of consideration the other works of Kabira, in which there is probably another variety of speech, the dialect used by these Hindf poets may be considered to be the same. This has come to be regarded as the poetic dialect, and most of the other Hindi poets have written in it. Its modern representative is the Braj, in which the commentaries on Tulasidasa's, Kabira's and Beharilal's works, and on the tenth book of the Bhagavata that I have seen, are written. This then is the Hindf literary dialect. The language, however, which is used as the medium of instruction in the Government schools in the North-Western Provinces, and in which the books published by the Educational Department are written, is now regarded as the Standard Hind! and its grammatical structure is identical with that of the Urdu spoken by the Mussalmans. This is rather the dialect in which the Hindus of the different provinces in Northern India communicate with each other, than that which they speak in their own provinces. The Panjabi has also several dialects; but little is known about them. Origi resembles the Bangali in so many respects that one of them may be considered a dialect of the other. The similarity between the Hindi and the

Panjābī is also very great. I will now give short specimens of these dialects.

I. Marathi:-

कित्येक छोक मुत्सही याणीं पदर पसरोन प्रार्थना आईमाहेबांची केली जे तहा अग्निप्रवेश केलिया नंतर संपादिलेलें राज्य लयाम आजच जातें प्राण महाराज कांहीं ठेवीत नाहींन हा प्रकार घडतो शाहाजी महाराजांचें व शिवाजी महाराजांचें नांव जगीं नाहींसे होतें सर्वावर साहेवीं दृष्टि देवन राजयांस वोसंगास घेजन साहेबीं अग्निन घेतां मानस किल्ण करून राहावें । हें काम करून गेलिया वंशक्षय महाराजांचा वडिलींच केला ऐसें जगीं प्रसिद्धता दिसतें तेव्हां आई साहे-बांस राहविलें।

(From an old Bakhara or Chronicle of S'ivâjî.)

Some counsellors supplicated the lady-mother in an humble attitude saying: 'If you will burn yourself by fire, the kingdom which has been acquired goes to ruin this very day; and it will happen that the king will not bear life; the name of S'áhâjî Mahârâj and S'ivâjî Mahârâj will not remain in the world. Therefore, your ladyship, looking to all these things, should make the king sit in your lap (protect him), and, not resorting to fire, render your mind firm, and live. If you do this (burn yourself), it will plainly appear to all the world that you destroyed the race of the Mahârâj.' Thus they prevailed on her to live.

2. Gujarâtî :--

दमयंतीने तो महा आश्रयं छाग्युं अने भ्रान्ति पडी के आ ते स्वप्न के माचुं। एवा अनेक विचार करती चालेछे एवामां एक अशोक तृक्ष दीठो त्यां दीन दमयंती आवीने कहेछे के ओ प्रियदर्शन अशोक तारा नामनो महिमा राखी मारा शोकनो नाश करी सत्यनामी था। पण कोण उत्तर आपे।

(From Mr. Mansukharâma's Nala-Damayanti.)

Damayanti felt greatly astonished and was in doubt whether it was a reality or a dream. While moving on, engaged in various such thoughts, she saw an Aśoka tree. Going there she said; "O lovely Aśoka, having regard to the greatness of thy name, destroy my S'oka (sorrow) and deserve that name." But who would answer?

3. Sindhi:-

गिरनार कोटमे राइ ड़िआचु नाले हिकिडो पातिशाहु हो। तिहेंजे भेण फकीरखां हुयों साई मूखे पुटु है। फकीर हुनसे चिओ पुरु तोखे थींदो पर राइ डिआचजो सिरु विदींदो। हुन चिओ उही पुटु ई बिन पिओ जो मूजे भाउजो सिरु वदे। पर फकीरजो चवण थिओ सो टरे की न। थोरे घणे डिहाडे माइअ पुटु जण्ये।

(From the story of Râi Diâcha in Major Stack's Grammar.)

There was a Pâtiśâha (king) of the name of Râi Diâcha. His sister begged of a Fakir: "Sire, give me a son." The Fakir said to her: "A son will be (born) to thee, but he will cut off the head of Râi Diâcha." She said, "Accursed be (lit. fall into a wilderness) the son who should cut off my brother's head." But the Fakir's word cannot prove vain. In a few days the woman gave birth to a son.

4. Panjâbî:-

तां फेर बाबे नानकजी कहिआ हे पंडतजी तूं छण ब्राहमणखतरीदा धरम ज-नेऊते रिहेंदा है या भले करमांते गिहेंदा है। छण पंडत जे जनेऊ पावे अर ब्रुरे करम करे तां उह ब्राहमणखतरी रिहेंदा है या चंडाल हुंदा है। जां इह ग्रष्ट स्रीगुरु बाबेजी कही तां जितने लोक बेठे से सभ हैरान हो गए। तां कहिण लगो ऐ स्रीपरमे-सरजी अजां इह बालक है अते केसीआं बातां कगदी है।

(From the Janamasákhi.)

Then again Bâbe Nânakajı said "O Pandit, hear. Is the religious holiness of Brahmans and Kshatriyas preserved by the sacred thread or by good deeds? Hear, O Pandit, if one who is invested with the sacred thread does wicked deeds, does he remain a Brahman or Kshatriya or become a Chaṇdâla?" When Śrî Guru Bâbejî spoke thus, all the people that were sitting there were astonished. Then they began to exclaim "O God, he is still but a child, and how wonderfully he speaks!"

5. Hindî:-

इतना कह उसने बहुतेरे उपाय हाथ निकालनेको किये पर एक भी काम न आया। निदान सांस रुककर पेट फट गया। तो पछाड खाय के गिरा तब उसके शरीरसे लोहु नदीकी भांति वह निकला। तिस समे ग्वालबाल आय आय देखने कमे और श्रीकृष्णचंद आगे जाय बनमें एक कदम की छांहतले खड हुए।

(Premasâgara, chap. 38.)

Having said so much, he made many attempts to throw out his (Kṛishṇa's) hand, but none was of any use. At last, he was suffocated and his belly burst out. Then he fell down, and blood flowed from his body in torrents. At that time the cowherd boys came one after another and began to see; and Śrî Kṛishṇa went forward and stood in the shade of a Kadamba tree in the forest.

6. Braj:-

जब सब अजवासीनने सनी जो श्रीदेवदमनको गाय बहोत प्रिय है तब सबनने मिलिक यह विचार कियो जो जाके गाय होय सो सब एक एक तथा दाय दोय भेट करो। और श्रीगिरिराजके आसपास जो चौबीस गाम हैं तिनक पाससीं सब अजवासी

मिजिके एक एक दोइ दोइ गाइ भेट करवाई । और यह ठहरी जो बीस गाममें जाके प्रथम गाय न्यावे सो बिजया तो देवदमनको भेट करें। ऐसे सहस्रावाध गाय श्रीजीके भेट भई। तब दूध दही मास्त्रन और मठा सब घरकी गायनका आरोगें।

(From the Story of the Manifestation of Govardhananûthjî.)

When all the inhabitants of Vraja heard that Devadamana was greatly fond of cows, they all joined and resolved that all who had cows should each present one or two. And the inhabitants of Vraja joined and caused each of the twenty-four villages about the mountain (Govardhana) to present one or two cows each. And it was resolved that in the twenty villages he whose cow should first calve should present the calf to Devadamana. In this way thousands of cows were presented to the Prosperous One. Then he used the milk, curds, butter and whey of the cows in his home.

7. Bangali:-

तवे ग्रन्थे ? आर बत्सर जलन आमि पाला ज्वर भुगते छिन्न-दिवारात्रि विछानाय पढे थाफिन्नम-उठिया दांढाइबार शक्ति छिल ना, से समय स्वामी आसिया उपस्थित हलेन। स्वामी केमन शान हओया अविध देखि नाइ, मेये मानुषेर स्वामी न्याय धन नाइ। मने करिलाम दुइ दण्ड काछे बसे कथा कहिले रोगेर यन्त्रणा कम हवे। दिदि बल्ले प्रत्यय जावे ना-तिनि आमार काछे दांढाइयाइ अमिन बल्लेन पाल वत्सर हडल तोमाके बिवाह करें गियाछि-तुमि आमार एक बी—टाकार दरकारे तोमार निकटे आसितेछि-शीग्र जाव-तोमार बापके बल्लाम तिनि तो फांकि दिलेन—तोमार हातेर गहना खुलिया दाओ।

(From Peary Chand's Aldler gharer dulâla—a Kulina Marriage.)

Will you hear then? That year at the time when I was suffering from intermittent fever, remained lying in bed day and night, and had no strength to rise and stand, my lord (husband) came. I had not seen what sort of a man my husband was since I ceased to be an infant. Nothing is more valuable to a woman than her husband. I had thought that my suffering would become alleviated, if he sat by my side for a few minutes (lit. two dandas) and spoke with me. Dear sister, you will not believe me when I say that, as soon as he stood by my side, he said, "It is sixteen years since I married you and went away. You are one of my wives. I come to you, being in need of money, and will go back soon. I spoke to your father; but he put me off. Do pull out the ornament in your arm (round the wrist), and give it to me."

8. Oriyî ;---

गोटि ए मशा एक पण्डमृङ्ग उपरे बासे अहडूगरी आपणाकु भारी बुझि पण्डकु कारिका आहे पण्ड आस्भ बासवारु जेबे तुस्भकु भारी छागे तेबे कह आस्भे अस्य

स्थान क्र बढि जाउं। ए कथा ग्रणि वृष कहिला आरे मशा तु जे आस्भ उपरे बासि अच्छु ए कथार टेर सुद्धा पाइ नाहुं

(Fables published by the Calcutta School Book Society.)

A certain gnat sitting on a horn of an ox, and with pride thinking itself heavy, said, "O ox, if in consequence of my sitting, you feel heavily weighted, tell me, and I will fly away to anther spot." Hearing these words the ox said, "O gnat I had not even the slightest idea that you were sitting on me."

In these extracts there are a great many words which on examination will be found to be derived from Sanskrit through the Prâkrits; in other words, the vernacular forms will be found to be the same as, or further developments of, the Prâkrit forms.

Thus we have in No. 1:-

किति, Pr. केतिअ or कितिअ, Skr. कियत् with the termination इक changed to इअ.

या of याणीं, Pr. अअ or अय in अअ nom. sing., Skr. अयम्.

पसर, Pr. पसर, Skr. प्रसर in प्रसरति.

के of केली, from Pr. कआ or कग, Skr. कृत, रु being a termination often used in the Pråkrits.

जै, Pr. जञ or जय, Skr. युकत्, क being a suffix used in the Prakrits generally, and sometimes in Sanskrit also.

तुझी, Apabhr. तुम्हई, Pr. तुम्हे or तुम्हए, Skr. such a form as तुष्पके, by analogy, for यूर्यम्,

आज, Pr. अज, Skr. अग्र.

जा of जातें, Pr. जा, Skr. या.

कांहीं, Pr. केहिं, Skr. केभिः.

डेव of डेवीत, Pr. डाव, Skr. स्थाप in स्थापयति.

हा, Apabhr. एही, Pr. एसओ, Skr. एवक:.

घड of घडतो, Pr. घड, Skr. घट in घटते.

नांव, Pr. नाम, Skr. नाम.

जग, Pr. जग, Skr. जगत्

हो of होते, Pr. हो, Skr. भव in भवति.

वर, Pr. डवरि, Skr. डपरि .

दे of देवन, Pr. दि as in दिण्ण, Skr. दत्त.

वोसंग or ओसंग, Pr. उच्छड्न, Skr. उत्सङ्ग. The छ of Sanskrit, Pråkrit, Hindî &c., is in Maråthî changed to म्.

घे of घेऊन and घेतां, Pr. घे as in घत्एण, Skrî. गृह as in गृहीत्वा. न, Pr. and Skr. same. कर of करून, Pr. कर as in करड़, Skr. करोति काम, Pr. कम्म, Skr. कर्म. में of मेलिया, Pr. मञ्ज or मय, Skr. मतः विदेल, Pr. विदू, Skr. तृथ्द, इल being a Pråkrit suffix. ऐसें, Apabhr. अइस, Pr. इंदिस, Skr. इंद्रुश. दिस of दिसतें, Pr. दिस in दीसइ, Skr. दूश्यते

In No. 2:-

तो, Apabhr. तड, Pr. ताव, Skr. तावत.

लाग, Pr. लगा, Skr. लग्न.

अने, Pr. अने?, Skr. अन्य.

पड, Pr. पड, Skr. पत in पताति.

ते from such Pr. and Skr. forms as ते, तं, तेण or तेन &c.

के, Pr. कय or कअ, Skr. कक for the crude किमकद.

सार्चुं, Apabhr. सच्चं, Pr. सचअं, Skr. सत्यकम्

एवो sing. of एवा, Apabhr. एहु or एहउ and अइसो, Pr. इदिसो, Skr. ईंटुझas in No. 1.

ਚਾਲ, Pr. ਚਲ, Skr. ਚਲ.

दीजो, Apabhr. दिवृत, Pr. दिवृत्रो, Skr. दृष्टक:

त्यां, Pr. तहिं, Skr. तस्मिन्

आव, from Pr. आअओ, or Skr. आगतः

कह, Pr. कह, Skr. कथ as in कथयाति.

हे, Pr. आच्छड्, Skr. आस्ते.

तार of तारा, Apabhr. तुहार for तुहआर, तुह being the Skr. तुम्यम् and SIT, कार.

नाम, Pr. नाम, Skr. नाम.

राख, Pr. रक्ख, Skr. रक्ष.

मार of मारा, Apabhr. महार for महआर, मह being the Pr. of Skr. महाम and आर for कार.

था, Pr. था, Skr. स्था-

पण, Pr. पुणो, Skr. पुन:.

कीण, Pr. कडण, Skr. क: पुन:

आप, Pr. अप्प, Skr. अर्प.

In No. 3:-

ना of नाले, Pr. and Skr. नाम, ल being suffix. ही, Apabhr. हुअउ, Pr. हुअओ, Skr. भृत: (भृतक:) तहिं of तहिंजे, Pr. तेसिं, Skr. तेषाम. भेणु, Pr. भइणी, Skr. भगिनी-साई, Pr. सामी, Skr. स्वामी

म् of मूखे, Apabhr. महु, Pr. मह, Skr. महाम्.

पुरु, Pr. पुत्तो, Skr. पुत्रः

तो of तोखे, Apabhr. तड, Pr. तुइ, Skr. तुभ्यम्.

ड़े, Pr. दा, Skr. दा.

राइ, Pr. राअ-राय-राआ, Skr. राजा.

सिर् of सिर, Pr. सिरं, Skr. शिरम्.

वद, Pr. वड्ड, Skr. वर्धः

भाड, Pr. भाडओ, Skr. भातृकः

चवण, from Pr. चव for Skr. वच.

थिअ, Pr. थिअ, Skr. स्थित.

सो, Pr. सो, Skr. सः.

की, Pr. कहिं, Skr. कस्मिन्-

माइ, Pr. माइआ, Skr मातृका.

थोरे, थो from Pr. थोअ, Skr. स्तोक, and र or इ, a suffix.

हिहाह, Apabhr. दिअहर, ह being a termination often used, Pr. दिअह, Skr. दिवस.

जण, Pr. जण, Skr. जन of जनयति-

In No. 4:-

तां, Pr. तहिं, Skr. तस्मिन्.

45, as in No. 2.

तूं, Pr. तुं, Skr. त्वम्.

सुण, Pr. सुण as in सुण्डू, Skr. शृण as in शृणोति-

जनेड, Pr. जण्णोवीअ, Skr. यज्ञोपवीतः

है, from अम, Pr. and Skr.

भुद्धा, Apabhr. भूद्धा, Pr. भूद्धओं, Skr. भूद्रकः, i. e. भृद्ध with suffix क.

जे, Pr. जे or जए, Skr. ये or यके.

पाव in पावे, Pr. पाव, Skr. प्राप as in प्राप्नोति.

अर, Pr. अवर, Skr. अपर.

कर, as in Nos. 1 and 2.

ह of हैदा, as in No. 1.

जां, Pr. जहिं, Skr. यस्मिन.

इह, Apabhr. एह, Pr एस, Skr. एप.

जित of जितने, Pr जितिअ, Skr. यावन् with suffix इक.

बैठा, Pr. उवहरूओ, Skr. उपविष्ठक:, i. e उपविष्ठ with suffix क.

से, plural from Pr सो, Skr. सः.

सभ, Pr. सब्ब, Skr सर्वे.

गंभा Pr गंभभो, Skr. गतकः, i. e. गत with क.

कम, Pr. कम, Skr. क्य.

अज of अजां, Pr. अज्ज, Skr. अच.

कैस of कैसा, Apabhr. कड्स, Pr कीदिस, Skr. की हुत्त. बात, Pr. वत्त, Skr. वत्त.

In No. 5:-

इत of इतना, Pr. इतिअ, Skr. इयस with इक. as in Nos. 2 and 4. हाथ, Pr. हत्थ, Skr. हस्त. किय of किये, Pr. किअ, Skr. कृत. भी, Pr. वि, Skr. अपि. काम, as in No. 1. न, Pr. Skr. same. आय of आया, Pr. आअअ, Skr. आगत. गय of गया, Pr. गअ, Skr. गत. तो as in No. 2. खा of खाय, Pr. खाअ, Skr. खाद. लोह, Pr. लोहिअ, Skr. लोहित. वह, Pr. Skr. same. तिस, Pr. तस्स, Skr. तस्य. ग्वाल, Pr. गोआल or गोवाल, Skr. गोपाल. बार, Pr. Skr. same. देख, Pr. देक्ख, Skr. दक्ष or दक्ष. द्धग of दुगे, as द्वाग in No. 2 and दुगा in No. 4. औ, Pr. उअ, Skr. उत आग of आगे, Pr. अग्ग, Skr. अग्र. en as in No. l. छांह, Pr. छाआ, Skr. छाया-हुआ of हुए, as हो in No. 3.

In No. 6:--

सब, Pr. सब्ब, Skr. सर्वे. स्वन of स्वी, Pr. सण, Skr. भूण. गाय or गाइ, Pr. गावी, Skr. गाव as in गावम &c. मिल of मिलिके, Pr. Skr. same. किय as in No. 5. जो, Pr. जओ, Skr. यक: i. e. य with the suffix क or अक. हो of होय or होइ, as in No. 1. सो, Pr. सो or सओ, Skr. सः or सक: i. e. स with क or अक. दोय or दोइ, Pr. दुवे or दो, Skr. हे or हो. का as in Nos. 1, 2 and 4. और, Pr. अवर, Skr. अपर.

चौबीस, Pr. चडबीसा, Skr. चत्रविद्याति गाम, Pr. गाम, Skr. गाम. as in No. 4. तिन of तिनके. Pr. ताण, Skr तानाम, by analogy, for तेपाम. पास, Pr. पत्स, Skr. पार्थ. यह, Apabhr. एह, Pr. एस, Skr. एप. ठहर of ठहरी, Pr. ठिर, Skr. स्थिर. . बीस. Pr. बीसा, Skr. विंशति. ब्या of ब्यावै, Pr. and Skr. वी. बछ of बछिया, Pr. वच्छ, Skr. वत्स. नो as in Nos. 2 and 5. वेसे as in No. 1. भुष्ण of भूडे, Pr. भूष्ण, Skr. भूत द्ध, Pr. दृह, Skr. दुग्ध. दही, Pr. दहि, Skr. दथि. माखन, Pr. मक्खण, Skr. मुखण. मठ of मठा, Pr. मत्थ, Skr. मध्य.

In No. 7.

चर, Pr. घर, Skr. गृह.

आर, Pr. अवर, Skr. अपर.

कह, as in Nos. 2, 4, and 5. बढ़ or बोड़, Pr. बोड़, Skr. इ.

बोल, Pr. सोलह, Skr. बोडश

17

आमि, Apabhr. अम्हर्, Pr. अम्हे, Skr. such a form as अस्मके or अस्मे for वयम्.
पाला, Pr. पहाअ, Skr. पर्याप.
पह of पहे or पहिया, as in No. 2.
उठ of उठिया, Pr. उठा, Skr. उत्था.
आहे in छिल, छित्त ढेल., Pr. आच्छर्, Skr आस्ते.
से, Pr. सो, Skr. सः
आस of आसिया, Pr. आगच्छ or आअच्छ, Skr. भागच्छ.
हो of हलेन or होलेन, as in No. 1, 4 and 6.
देस of देखि as in No. 5.
नार्, Pr. नार्, Skr. नहि.
मन, Pr. मन, Skr. मनः.
दुर्, Pr. दुवे, Skr. है.
काच्छ of काच्छे, Pr. कच्छा, Skr. कका.
इस of इसे, Pr. उवहस, Skr. उपनिष्क.

तिनि. Pr. ताण, Skr. तानाम, by analogy for तेषाम.

धन of शन्बे or शनिबे, as सण and सन in Nos. 4 and 6

तौमा of तोमाके, Apabhr. तुम्हहं, Pr. तुम्हाणं, Skr. तुष्माणं, by analogy. for श्रेष्माकम.

as in Nos. 1, 2, 4 and 6.

मे or मय of मियाङि as in Nos. 1 and 5.

of one, as in Nos. 1 and 5.

हात, Pr. हत्थ, Skr. हस्त.

as in Nos. 2, 5, and 6

दि as दे in No. 1.

In No. 8

माशा, Pr. मलअ, Skr. मशक.

aet of afet, as in No. 7.

आपणा, Pr. अप्पण, Skr. आत्मन as in आत्मना &c.

बुझ of बुझि, Pr. बुडझ, Skr. बुध्य as in बुध्यति

TE as in Nos. 2, 4, 5 and 6.

आम्भे, Apabhr. अम्हर्, Pr. अम्हे, Skr. such a form as अस्मके or अस्मे, for व्यम, as in No.7.

तुम्भ as तुम्ही in No. 1.

खान as in No. 2, and as उन्न and उन्न in Nos. 4 and 5.

उह of उहि, Pr. उडू, Skr. उडू as in उड्डयते.

जा as in Nos. 1, 5, and 7.

syon as in Nos. 4, 6, and 7.

a, as a in No. 4.

पाल of पाइ, as पाव in No. 4.

as in No. 1.

प्, Apabhr. एह, Pr. एह, Skr. एप, as इह in No. 4, and यह in No 6.

You will find that in the Marâthî passage there are about 58 different words of which 26 or a few less than a half are derived from the Sanskrit through the Prâkrits; in the Gujarâtî there are 42 out of which 23 or a few more than a half are of the latter description; in the Sindhî the proportion is 38 to 21 or somewhat greater than a half; in the Panjâbî 44 to 23 or a half; in the High; Hindî 48 to 23 or a little less than a half; in the Braj 45 to 29, i. e., about two-thirds; in the Bangâlî 71 to 27, i. e., about two-fifths; and in the Oriyâ 32 to 15 or a little less than a half. I have not taken into consideration other words which do come to us undoubtedly through the Prâkrits, but the etymology of which is not so obvious. These would increase the proportion and bring it to more than one-half in most of the cases. In this list there are three or four words such as AH and AE which may be called old Tatsama, since they exist unchanged in the Prâkrits, and the rest may be called old Tadbhavas. Now in these passages you will also

have seen a large number of words such as प्रार्थना, अग्रिप्रवेश, आश्चर्य, स्वप्न, बालक, शरीर, नदी, प्रिय, प्रथम, ज्वर, दिवारात्रि, शुङ्ग, स्थान &c., which are exactly the same as in Sanskrit. They could not have existed in those forms in the Prakrits, and hence it is clear that they were introduced long after the Prakrit period; and the tendency now-a-days in our languages is to introduce more of such words. These may be called modern Tat-But some of these have undergone a corruption since they were adopted. Thus कर्म is pronounced as करम. धर्म, धरम; क्षत्रिय, खतरी; कार्य, कारज; and कपा. कपों or कपों; while the Prakrit corruptions of these are करम, धरम खतिअ, कज, and किवा. Such words therefore are modern Tadbhavas. At the end of my observations on the Prakrits in the last lecture I gave a list of vocables existing in those dialects which are called Desyas by native grammarians, and showed that several of them existed in our vernaculars also. We observe from the above passages, which contain such words as मत्सदी, माहेब, and पातिशाह, that there are in the modern dialects words of an Arabic or Persian origin also. we may distinguish these elements in the vocabulary of the vernaculars of Northern India -- 1. Old Tadbhaya, 2. Old Tatsama, 3. Modern Tadbhaya, A. Modern Tatsama, 5 De-ya, 6, Vrabic, and 7, Persian. In its nature the old Tatsama element is but a small quantity and the main skeleton of our languages is made up of the old Tadbhava. torms the principal constituent of the speech of the middle classes The higher classes, however, use the Modern Tatsama and Tadbhava element to a much larger extent, and the language spoken by learned men is heavily loaded with pure Sanskrit words. This element has succeeded in driving out a very appreciable portion of the first in some of the languages The Bangali contains the largest number of pure Sanskrit words, and hence one who knows Sanskrit can master the language in but a few weeks. The old Tadbhava element is reduced to the smallest minimum in what is called the sidhubhishii or the speech of the educated. According to the extent of the modern Tatsama or Tadbhava element, the other languages may be arranged in the following order: Oriya, Marâthî, Hindî, Gujarâtî, Panjâbî, Sindhî. It must, however, be remarked that the modern Tatsamas appear in a pure or correct Sanskrit form only in the written language. The Bengalis in actually pronouncing these words corrupt them in a manner which renders them unrecognizable as Sanskrit words; and often in the same way as the old Prakrit speakers did. Thus आत्मा is pronounced अता, ईश्वर इस्सर, मोक्ष मोक्ख, &c. The same is the case more or less with the speakers of the other languages, so that the pure Sanskrit words that we must with in the written modern vernaculars may be considered modern Tadbhavas and in rare cases Prâkrit Tadbhavas. educated classes, however, in Maharashtra and Guiarat and to a certain extent in the Hindi provinces pronounce the Sanskrit words correctly. The Sindhi however contains but a few pure Sanskrit words; hence it is rich in the old Tadbhava element, while it draws largely upon the Persian and Arabic. This foreign element is used in our dialects, principally in political matters. Persian and Arabic words are also used in the concerns of ordinary life, but their number is limited. higher classes and learned men very rarely use them; while that element enters largely into the speech of the Mahomedans in the different provinces and of the Parsis. And the Mahomedans in the Hindî area use such a large number of these words that their language is by some considered an independent dialect and called Urdu, but it differs from the High Hindî in nothing further than in the use of these foreign words.

But though the vocabulary of our languages is thus composite the grammar is in every way the same that they have inherited from the Prakrits. Here there is no mixture of different elements. many of the forms now in use have been constructed since the Prakrit period; but, as I hope to show you, they are simply combinations or adaptations of existing Prakrit forms Thus we will divide our examination of the vernaculars into three branches. In the first we will trace out the Sanskrit words which were transformed in the Pâli and the Prakrits in the various ways we have noticed, and discover the operation of the same or other laws in their further transformation, if they have undergone any, as also in the transformation of other words which remained unchanged in the Prakrits or were imported from Sanskrit at a later period. In the second we will trace out the Prakrit or Apabhranisa grammatical forms, and in the third, examine the new or reconstructed forms and find out the principles upon which they have been made up.

The phonetic changes which the words of a living language undergo involve, as a general rule, economy of exertion in its widest sense; but there are some which do not, or which require increased effort. Economy may sometimes be effected in more ways than one. For instance, the assimilation of vowels to consonants may be effected by changing at to an and thence to 3, or to and 5. Some people have a predilection for the former, others for the latter. Again, one mode of change may be economical to some, and another opposed to it to others.

Thus the assimilation involved in the less open vowels g and z is of importance to some, but of little consequence to others; and they prefer the economy arising from the change of these vowels to M, since this does not require any movement of the tongue or the lips. Here then we have two kinds of peculiarities. Again, if an ordinary change has a very comprehensive range or is almost universal in a language, and if other ordinary changes do not keep pace with it, and are to be found only in a limited number of instances, that ordinary change must be considered to be due to a peculiar vocal habit or incapacity. The assimilation of conjuncts and of the diphthongs in the Pâli and the Prâkrits, and the elision of uninitial consonants in the latter, are changes of this nature. And finally, there is all the greater reason for attributing changes that involve no economy or necessitate greater exertion, such as the change of dentals to cerebrals or of sonants to surds, to vocal peculiarities. These several kinds of peculiarities give distinct individualities, so far as the external forms of words are concerned, to languages derived from one and the same primitive language. Thus then, some phonetic changes are special or peculiar, and others ordinary. We will now take up in order the instances observable in the Pâli and the Prâkrits of these two kinds of changes and trace them in the vernaculars, and also endeavour to find out whether these dialects have preserved any of the Pali and Prakrit peculiarities or developed new ones.

And first as regards vowels. The following are some of the instances in which Sanskrit & is changed to अ, इ, or s in the Prâkits with the vernacular forms of the words:—

21

1 Skr. कृति: skin, Pr. कृति, M. कात in कातडें

Skr. बृष्ट: rubbed away, slender, Pr चहो, G. and H. घट as a verbal base in घटनुं and घटना.

Skr. शृहु soft, Pr. मझ, M. and G मझ.

Skr gun: bull, Pr. attel, H. atte

Skr. कृष्ण: proper name, Pr. कण्हो, M. G. H. कान्ह or कान्हा

Skr. नुजम straw, Pr. तजं, M. तज.

₹.

2. Skr. कृपा pity, Pr. किवा, M. कीव.

Skr. हृद्यम् heart, Pr. हिअअं, H. B. O. हिय or हिया, old M. हिये, Mod. M. हिस्या, S. हिओ, हिआउं, G. हृद्या, P. हियाउं.

Skr. मृष्टम् sweet, Pr. मिट्ट, P. मिट्टा, H. मीठा, B. O. मिठा, G. मीढुं, S. मिठो.

Skr. वृद्धः seen, Pr. दिहो, G. दीठो, S. डीठो दृष्टिः sight, Pr. दिहो, M. H. दीठ or दीठी. दृश्य of दृश्यते is seen, Pr. दिस्सइ, M. दिस in दिसणें, P. दिस in दिसणा, S. डि्स in ड़िसणु, O. दिश in दिशिवार

Skr. गुगान्छ: jackal, Pr. सिआलो, G. B. शियाल, H. सियार

Skr पूजा pity, disgust, Pr. घिजा, H. घन, P. चिज.

Skr. शुद्रम् horn, Pr. सिद्ध, M B. O. शिंग, G H सींग, P सिंग, S. सिड्.

Skr. कृषि: husbandry, Pr. किसी, H. P. किस in किसान and किसाण

Skr. तुषा thirst, Pr. तिसा, P. तिहा, S. टिह.

Skr मृद्धः a vulture, Pr. गिद्धो, P. गिद्ध, M. G. H. गीघ, M. गिघड or गियाड; also, B. गिघर. इ and र being the same termination, S. गिझ

Skr मृत्युः death, Pr. मिच्चू, H. मीच.

Skr. वृतम् ghee, Pr विअं, O. विअ, G. H. P. B. वी, S. गिह्-

Skr. बृहस्पति: name of a deity, Pr. बिहस्फई, H. बिफे-

Skr. मातृगृहम् mother's house, Pr. माइघर, or माइहरं, M माहेर, G. महीहं or महियर.

Skr पितृगुहम् father's house, Pr पिइचर, or पिइहर, H पीहर, G. पीयर

ਤ

3 Skr पुच्छ to ask, Pr पुच्छ, P. पुच्छ in पुच्छणा, S. पुछ in पुछण, H. G पुछ in पुछना-बुं, M. पुस in पुसर्णे.

Skr. ब्रष्ट: rained, Pr. बुद्धो, P बुद्धा, S. बुद्धो, G. बुद्ध in बुद्धवुं

Skr. प्रावृप rain, Pr. पाउसी, M पाउस, H पावस

Skr. ऋज straight, Pr. उउन, M. उन्.

Skr. पितृक: father, Pr. पिउओ, S. P. पिउ.

Skr. मातृष्वमृका mother's sister, Pr. माउसिआ, H. मार्सा, M. मावशा The others have मार्सा, probably by dropping उ

Skr. भृद्ध: a bec, Pr. भुद्धो, M. भुंगा.

The word द्वाम has वर्ष in the Prakrits according to the grammarians, but विशे also must have existed; so also मूद्ध has भिद्धो. Some of the above words have two forms in the Prakrits, but since one of them does not occur in any of the vernaculars, it has been omitted. There are other words having two or even three Pra-

Mr. Beames considers the sof the S. पिउ, भाउ, &c., to be the nom. sing. termination and not a transformation of the Sanskrit ऋ. But he is evidently wrong, since this sappears in the oblique cases also, as पिउजो, भाउजो, &c.: while the nom. sing. s does not, as in देहजो, gen. sing. of देहु "a country." The words have seven in those languages, such as the P. and M., which have discarded the nom. sing. s and it appears even in the feminine word माउ which cannot take the masculine termination s.

krit forms, one of which occurs in one of the vernaculars, and the other or others in another. Thus:—

1

Skr नृजम straw		Pâh तिणं, U तिन m तिनका Pr तणं, M तण, P तुण m तुणका.
Skr कृतम् done,	\mathbf{Pr}	(किदो or किओ, G कीदो, H किया, P कीता S कियो or कितो कओ, S कयो, M के for कय or कभ 111 केला, B के or के 111 केल *, O कला
Skr मृतः dead,	Pr.	(मुओ, G S मुओ, H मुआ. र मओ, M में for मय in मेला.
Skr 종롱 : old,	Pr	बुड्डो, G बुड्डो, S बुद्धो, H P. बुड्डा, O बुद्धा B बुड़ा बढ़ो, S बड़ो, H P बड़ा. B O बड़, M dial ब्हड़ in the sense of "large," G बड़ो, M G S बड़ in बड़िक and बड़ेरो, meaning "elder"
Skr ysq back,	Pr	पह, M पाठ, G पाठ, as in पाई a carbuncle fug, P. पिठु, G. H. पीठ, O. पिठ, B. पिठ or पिट पुठु, G. पूठ, S पुठि.
Skr. मृतिका earth,	Pr	मित्तेआ or महिआ, M. माती, H. मही or मादी, G. B. O. मादी. मिद्रिआ, G. H. P. मिट्री, S. मिटी.
Skr मानृका mother, Pi		माइआ, G S P. H. माइ or माई, G. H. माए, O B माई and माइया con- tracted to मेथे, meaning 'a woman' generally माउआ, S P H. माउ or माऊ. M माय and G P H B. O. मा are from Pr माआ, Skr माता.
Skr. आतुक: bro ther, Pr		र्भाइओ, G. S. P. H. B. O. भाइ ल माई. भाउओ, M. S. P. भाउ or भाऊ

As the Pali and the Prakrit alphabet, on account of these changes, has no π , so do the vernacular alphabets not possess it Sanskrit words containing the vowel have, however, been recently imported into the languages; but since even in those words, it is not correctly pronounced except by a few learned men, it cannot be said really to exist in vernacular speech, notwithstanding the use of those words. The usual modern pronunciation of the vowel is τ , τ , or τ

^{*} चारि भाग केल वेदव्याससे कारण B. Mahabharata.

Another characteristic change we noticed in the Prakrits is the softening of ξ and ξ to ξ and ξ before double consonants. A good many words so changed have descended to the vernaculars. Thus:—

5. Skr. सिन्द्र: red lead, Pr. सिन्द्रो or सेन्द्रो, M. शॅद्र, H. सॅद्र, G. B. सिंद्र, S. सिंध्र.

Skr. शिशु: a kind of tree, Pr. शेख or शिख, M. शेखुट or शेगट.

Skr. que; a ball, a bundle, Pr. quel or quel, M. que-quel. H. P. quel or quel, S. quel or quel.

Skr. पुस्तकम् a volume, Pr. पोत्थओ, H. P पोथा and पोथी, M. G. पोथी, S. पोथ, and पोथी, O. पोथी, B. प्रथी or प्रती.

Skr. सुदूर: a mallet, Pr. मोग्गरो, M. H. मोगरा, G. मोगर, S. सुकिरो, B. O. सुगुर.

Skr. मृत्यम् value, Pr. मोहं, H. M. मोल, O. मूल, G. मूल.

Skr. बुस्ता a kind of grass, Pr. मोत्था, M. मोथा in नागरमोथा, H. P. मोथा, S. G. मोथ, O. बुधा

Śkr. मुक्त: free, Pr. मोक्को, M. P. मोक in मोकळा, G. मोक in मोकखं, S. मोकल, O. मुकळा.

Skr. कुक्षि: a side of the belly or abdomen, Pr. कोकियी, H. कोख, P. कुक्स or कोख, G. कुस, S. कुसि, M. कूस, from Pr. कुच्छी.

Skr. गुच्छ: a bunch, Pr. गोच्छो, B. गुचि, गुछि, or गोछा, O. गाछ, M. ग्रांस, H. P. गुच्छा, G. गुच्छो, S. छुगो (by transposition) or गोश.

Skr. 3524 white leprosy, Pr. alig, M alie, H. G alig, S. alig, B. alie, 35 or 35, O. alig or 35.

Skr. कुपर: elbow, Pr. कोप्परो, M. कोपर, G. कोपरियुं.

Skr. now the trunk of an elephant, Pr. सोण्डा, M. सोंड, P. संड, H. संड or संड, G. संड, S. संदि. B. O. ग्रंड, O. ग्रोंड ?

Skr. ave mouth, Pr. aive, M. aie.

Skr. कुण्डम् a puddle, Pr. कोण्डं, M. कोंड, G. कुंडी, कुंडुं.

Skr. मुंग्ध foolish, Pr. मोद्ध or मोग्ग, H. P. मोथू, S. भौंद, मोंगो, M. भोंद?

Skr. तुन्द a pot-belly, Pr. तोन्द, H. P. तोद, M. दोंद, G. दुंद.

The G. ओचरतुं 'to pronounce' from Skr. and Pr. उचार, ओळातुं 'to transgress' from Skr. Pr. उह्नह, G. ओकतुं, M. ओकजें, H. ओकना 'to vomit' from Pr. उजिन्द, Skr. उद्गिर, are also later instances of the operation of the same law.

The change of \$ to \$ is according to the grammarians optional in the Prakrits and that of \$ to \$ necessary. But in both cases we find

two forms in the vernaculars. Still the prevailing forms in the latter are those in 37, while those in 3 are rare and mostly to be found in the Bangalf and Oriva, which languages, and more especially the first, have a predilection for 3, in which case it is possible they may have changed the Prakrit san to sa In the Pali and Prakrits the wand san in these cases are short; but the vernaculars having dropped one of the following double consonants have, according to a general rule to be noticed hereafter, lengthened the vowels When instead of a double consonant. there is a conjunct of a nasal and a mute as in सन्दर and नाण्ड, the nasal consonant is reduced to a simple anusvara or nasal sound so as to give to the conjunct the character of a simple consonant, and the v or si is pronounced long as in Hist and dis In this manner, though the Prakrit short wand are, in the instances in which we possess an evidence of their existence, lengthened, I think in some of the vernaculars at least we have these vowels For in a good many instances in Marathi and Gujarati v and m are pronounced short There is a rule which in Marâthî is almost universal, and in Gui trâtî often observable, in virtue of which the accept or the whole weight of the sound of a word falls on the final आ or एं of nouns in the former and the final ओ or ऊं in the latter; and the preceding vowels are rendered short while in the original Sanskrit and in Hindî they are long

6. Skr कीटक: a worm, Pr कीडओ, H कीडा, M किडा, किर्डो Skr. कीलक; a nail, Pr कीलओ, H कीला, M खिळा, द बाले Skr. क्एक: a well, Pr क्वओ, H. कुआ, G. कुवो Skr. चित्रक: leopard, Pr चित्रभो, H चीता, M. चिता, G. चित्तो Skr. चूडक: a bangle, Pr. चूडओ; H. चूडा, M. चुडा, G. चुडो. Skr. चूणक: lime, Pr चुण्यओ, H. चूना, M. चुना, G. चुनो.

And many more instance might be given. Similarly in Marâthî the preceding long vowels are shortened when the terminations of the oblique cases are applied, as in भिकेस, पिकास, किहीस. पिठास, उनास, युकेस, युळास, &c., dative singulars of भीक 'beggary,' पीक 'crop,' कीह 'a worm,' पीठ 'flour,' ऊन 'sun,' भूक 'hunger,' गूळ 'molasses,' &c. If so, then by a necessary law of Marâthí speech, the ए and ओ of गेला 'gone,' केल 'done,' मेळा 'a gathering,' जेवहा 'as much,' पेहा 'sweetmeat,' घोहा 'a horse,' कोळसा 'charcoal,' गोका 'the ankle,' जोहा 'a pair,' &c., and of शेणास 'to cowdung,' शेतास 'to a field,' पेठेस 'to a market,' छेकरास 'to a child,' गोतास 'to a race,' चोरास 'to a thief,' पोरास 'to a boy,' &c., must be short. And if the Marâthí speaker will compare his pronunciation of मेळ, शेत, शेण, गोत, पेर, &c., with that of मेळा, शेतास, शेणास, गोतास, पोरास, &c., he will find that the quantity of ए and ओ in these latter words

is shorter than in the former. Similarly, in Gujaratî the first wand sa of केवी 'how large,' वेलो 'a mad man,' छेडो 'end,' मेडो 'an upper storev.' मेळी 'a gathering,' खोळो 'lap,' घोडो 'a horse,' चोखा pl. 'rice.' पोळो 'broad.' पोणा pl. 'three-quarters,' मोगरी 'jessamine,' कोळसो 'charcoal,' &c., must be short. In Gujarati the penultimate vowel of a monosyllabic root is. as in Hindi, shrotened in the causal, as in sing 'to adhere,' same to cause to adhere,' 'join,' धावबं 'to run,' धवाडवं 'to cause to run', सीखवं 'to learn,' सिखावबं 'to teach,' शीवबं 'to sew,' शिवाहबं 'to cause to sew,' बीहबं 'to fear,' fears' to terrify,' &c. But in Hindî the short vowels that take the place of v and m are s and s, as in दिखाना 'to show' from देखना 'to see,' प्रहाना 'to cause to wash' from धोना 'to wash,' &c. But in Gujarati the v and an are not changed to s and 3 but remain, as in deget 'to show,' देवाइवं 'to cause to take' from देववं 'to take,' धोवाइवं 'to cause to wash' from vilad 'to wash,' जोवाइवं 'to show' from जोववं 'to see,' &c., which they cannot do by the general rule if they are long. They must therefore be pronounced short. And as a matter of fact it will be found that the Gujarati people in these and several other cases give a short sound to these vowels. In the Malvani dialect of the Marathi sit is very often pronounced like the English o in pot, and t like e in pet. In Bangali the si to which si is converted in ordinary speech is also broad and short, and we have reason to believe that both v and and when they really occur in words are often pronounced short in that and the Bhojpuri and other dialects of Bihar and Mithila.

It may be urged against one of the arguments I have used that if the v and si in sant and niant are short, the first si in state to the hand,' and to the ear,' &c., must also be short, and consequently these vowels must be changed to sq. But sq is not necessarily the short form of M, or M the long form of M. For in pronouncing M the lips and the upper and lower parts of the mouth are much more widely apart from each other than in pronouncing A; so that the difference between the two is not simply of quantity but of quality also. The first of such words as side and Hidel 'maddened,' is therefore short wi: that is, in pronouncing it the vocal organs are in the same condition as in pronouncing long on, but the time occupied is shorter than in the case of the latter. The sy that we have got in Sanskrit is short, but in most of the vernaculars we have a long of which takes longer time to pronounce than the Sanskrit sq, while the condition of the vocal organs is the same. The final of words is silent in the vernaculars, but at the same time the vowel of the preceding syllable is lengthened. Thus an 'virtue' is, as a Sanskrit word, pronounced gu-na, but in Marath and Gujarati it is gin; and in Hindi gin; Sanskrit ge gu-da molasses' is in Marathi gil; Sanskrit तिरु ti-la 'sesamum' is in Marathi and Gujarati til and til. In the same way, the word ru is in Sanskrit pronounced ru-tha, but when in vernacular pronunciation it becomes rath, the a is not the short sq, but sq pronounced long, as if the word were ra-ath without a pause between the two as. The long sq and the short are found side by side in such a word as sq, which as pronounced in Sanskrit is madana with three consonants each followed by the Sanskrit or short sq. In the modern languages, however, the first syllable has its sq as in Sanskrit, but that of the second is lengthened, and in the last it is dropped, and the word thus becomes madaan.

This peculiarity of softening and sto v and si has been preserved by the vernaculars. It is not necessary that a conjunct consonant should follow. Thus:

- 7. H. बिराना or बेराना to mock, from Skr. विडम्बन; बिहान or बेहान morning, from Skr. विभानम्, Pr. विहाणं; नेवता invitation, from Pr. निमन्त, Skr. निमन्त्र; सोहर pleasing, from Skr. सुखकर, Pr. सहअर; सहावन or सोहावन agreeable, from Pr. सहावन for such a Sanskrit form as सुखापन; मोहरा or मुहरा front or van-guard the first part of which is from Pr. मुह, Skr. मुख; उखल or ओखल a wooden mortar, from Skr. उल्लख्त.
- G. मोह in मोहडुं face, from Pr. मुह, Skr. मुख; भोय ground, the M. and H. form being भुई; ओर in ओरडा, Pr. उवरओ, Skr. उपरक: or अपवरक:; गोर a family priest, from Skr. Pr. गुरु.
- M. मेहुण a couple, from Pr. मिहुण, Skr. मिथुन; वेहावणे to mock, Skr. विहम्बन; बेही the lock of hair on the head from Pr. बिहण्डिआ, Skr. बिखण्डिका; मोहरे or म्होरे in front, of which मोह is from यह as above; ओवरी (dial.) from Pr. व्यर्ओ as above; तिरहा or तेरहा a kind of flower.
- P. विह or वेह poison, from Pr. विस, Skr. विष; पिड or पेड father for Pr. पिडओ, Skr. पितृकः; नेउंदा invitation, from Pr. निमन्त, Skr. निमन्त्र; मोहर van-guard, as above; ओडक end, from Pr. उदक, Skr. उदके.
- B. दोवार door, for Pr. दुआर; छोरा a razor, for Pr. छुरओ, Skr. धुरकः; B. O. मीच mustache, for मुछ, Pr. मस्सू, Skr. इमश्रु; शोन or ग्रुन to hear, for Pr. सुण; शिवाल or शेवाल a jackal, for Pr. सिआलो, Skr. शृगालः

The v and si to which v and s are thus reduced must be short, since there is no reason here why the quantity should be increased.

Of the instances in which long § and s are softened to long v and.

8. Skr. निर्भातक myrobalan, Pr. बहेडओ, M. बेहडा, G. बेहडुं, H. P. बहेडा, S. बहेडो.

Skr. ताम्बूल betel leaf, Pr. तम्बोल, H. P तम्बोल, M. तांबोळ in तांबोळी a seller of betel leaves, G. तंबोळ in तंबोळी.

Skr. स्थूल, Pr. थोर, M. थोर.

More modern instances of this change are -

9. H. लीमु or लेमु, B. लेबु, a lemon; H. मूळ or मोळ mustache, Pr. मस्स or मस; G. पेठे in that manner, from Skr. पीठिकया; वेरबूं to scatter, from Pr. विइर, Skr. विकिर; खेचवुं to pull, for H. खीचना; O. भोक hunger, for the भूक or भूख of the others.

Of the few instances in which इ is softened to आ in the Prakrits, the vernaculars have retained हल्हा in the M. G. हळइ, H. हल्दी, O. हळदी and P. हळ्हदी or हळदी. Though प्रंसआ does not occur, still प्रक which stands for प्रति in this word is preserved in several words, as M. प्रसाद 'echo,' for Skr. प्रतिच्छद, प्रजीभ 'the uvula' for Skr. प्रतिच्छाया or प्रसावली, H प्रसाई for Skr. प्रतिच्छाया &c. No more instances of this change are given by the grammarians, but, as observed in the last lecture, the substitution of आ for इ or उ in one of the two or more places in which it occurs in some words indicates a tendency in the Prakrits towards this change. The vernaculars have got more instances. Thus:—

- 10. M. G. S पारल examination, test, H. P. B. O. प्रस, Skr. प्रीक्षा, Pr. परिकला; also the verb पारलणें.
- M. H. P. G. निरखणें-ना-णा-वुं to see closely, Skr. निरीक्षण, Pr. निरि-क्खण.
- M.~H.~G विखरणॅ-ना-वुं, P. विकखरना to scatter, Skr. विष्कर, Pr. विकखर, (S. विखेरणुं).
 - H. बहलना to divert, amuse, Skr. विहर.
 - H. भभूत holy ashes, Skr. विभृतिः
- H. बहन, also बहिन, sister, Skr. भगिनी, Pr. भइणी; also बहरा or बहिरा, Skr. बधिर, Pr. बहिर.
- H. पहरना or पहिरना, S. पराहणुं, B. परण, to wear, Pr. परिश्वान.
 - M. G. पण but, also, Pr पुणी, Skr. पुन:
 - M. H. जथा an herd, Skr. युशकः.
 - P. पंडत a learned man, Skr. पण्डित.
 - P सगम an omen, Skr. शकुन.

But in Gujarâtî the tendency has operated very widely, as will be seen from the following:—

G.	Skr. or Pr	M. or H.
11. लखबुं to write	कि ख	H. लिखना
बगहर्नुं to be spoilt	ं विघट	M. बिघडणें, H. बिगडना .
मळवुं to be got	मिल	M मिळणॅ, H. मिलना
	विक्रय	
	कठिन	
	···· विका <u>म</u> ···· ·	
		M. तुळशी, H तुलमी.
		M. माणूस, H. मानूस.
नरो mere	(Pr. णवरि, (Apabhr. निरु) H. निरा
armede linht	(Apount. (40	(M. उजेह or उजवह (dial.). (H. उजियाला.
અગવાજી મહામાં	321dlø	े H. उजियाला
मु महदु a corpsc	मृत with ट	M. मुहद्दा.
	विषम	_
	गिल	
सम णुं a dream	Pr सिमिण, Skr. र	वप्न H. मपना, M. सपन or स्वप्न.
	पिण्ड body	
	मिष	
	· चिन्ता	
By nor virtue, quality		M. गुण, H. गुन
मक्रम name of Krish		
	मुकुन्द	
परशोत्तम	पुरुषोत्तम	M. पुरुषोत्तम

And there are many other instances, such as फर्ड 'to walk,' टक्ड 'to last,' and मर्ड 'to twist,' the Marathi forms of which are फिरणें, टिक्फों, and म्रड 'to twist,' the Marathi forms of which are फिरणें, टिक्फों, and म्रड 'thus Sanskrit, Prakrit, and even foreign words such as माइम 'known,' which becomes माडम, change their इ or इ to अ. The Gujarati people have thus got a habit of careless pronunciation. After forming the contact necessary for pronouncing a consonant, they emit the breath without compressing it at the palatal or labial position, and thus save the trouble of raising the middle of the tongue to the palate, or of rounding the lips.

Under the head of assimilation the first characteristic vowel change observable in the Pâli and the Prâkrits which we have to notice is that of the Sanskrit d and si to u and si. Most of the nouns having and si are in Sanskrit attributives formed from other nouns, and as

these are formed in other ways in our languages we cannot expect to find many instances of them. Still there are a good many, and enough to show that our vernaculars have inherited these Pâli and Prâkrit transformations of the Sanskrit d and 37. Thus:—

12. Skr. गैरिक red chalk, Pr. गेरिअ, M. H. गेरू; Skr. केवर्त pilot, Pr. केवह, H. केवह; Skr. तेल oil, Pr. तेल्ल, M. G. H. तेल; Skr. वैवाहिक parties to a marriage, Pr. वेवाहिअ, G. वेवइ, B. वेहाइ, M. (dial.) वेदु; Sk. शेवल moss, Pr. सेवल, M. शेवळ, G. शेवाळ, H. शेवाल, B. शेयाला; Skr. सेन्थव rock-salt, Pr. सेन्थव, H. P. संघा, M. संघे in संघेलोण, S. संघोल्लुण; Skr. वैदिक: learned in the Vedas, Pr. वेदिओ, G. वेदिओ; Skr. सोभाग्य good fortune, husband's love, Pr. सोहग्ग, H. B. O. सोहाग,—S. P. shorten the ओ to उ and H. also optionally;—Skr. पीत्र son's son, Pr. पोत्त, P. पोत or पोत्ता, H. पोता, S. पोटो; Skr. मौत्तिकम् a pearl, Pr. मोत्तिअं, M. मोतीं, G. S. P. H. मोती; Skr. वैदः, Pr. वेउजो, S. वेजु; Skr. गौरः, Pr. गोरो M. H. गोरा, S. गोरो.

The Sanskrit syllables अय and अव are, you will remember, often changed to ए and ओ in the Pâli and the Prâkrits. The following instances of this change have come down to the vernaculars:—

13. M. ने to carry, H. ले, Pr. ने, Skr. नय; M. G. तेतीस thirty-three, H. तेतीस, P. तेती, B. तेत्रिश, O. तेतीश, Pr. तेतीसा, Skr. त्रयश्चिशत; M. केळं a plantain, G. केळुं, H. केला &c., Pr. केलं for क्यलं, Skr. कदलम; M. लोण salt, Pr. लोण, Skr. लवण; H. ओस dew, Pr. ओस्साय, Skr. अवस्थाय; M. ओणवा bent, Pr. ओणअ, Skr. अवनत; M. G. ओळंबा a plumb, Pr. ओलम्बअ, Skr. अवलम्बकः; M. बोर jujube fruit, Pr. बोर for बवर or बअर, Skr. बदर.

14. Skr. प्रविष्ट entered, Pr. प्रृहु, H. old M. पैठा.

Skr. उपविश्व sit, Pr. उवर्स, M. H. बेसणॅ-ना by the dropping of the initial इ.

Skr. उपविष्ट sat, Pr. उवदुर्, H. P. बैठा.

Skr. खिर् name of a tree, Pr. खर्र, M. H. P. खेर.

Skr. कपित्थ name of a tree, Pr. कवित्थ, H. कैथ by dropping व .

Skr. बलीवर्द a bull, Pr. बद्दल, M. H. P. बेल.

Skr. तादृश like that, Pr. तादिस, Apabhr. तदृस, M. H. P. तैसा.

Skr. महिषी a female buffalo, Pr. महीसी, M. म्हैस, H. भैंस, P. मह or भैंस.

Skr. भगिनी sister, Pr. भइणी, P. M. (dial.) भैण, H. बेन (more commonly बहिन)

Skr. बृहस्पति a certain god, Pr. बि्हप्फई, H. बि्फै.

Skr. मलिन dirty, Pr. मइल, H. P. मेल, old M. मेळ.

Skr. पवित्रक holy thread, Pr. पवित्रअ, B. पैता.

In this manner the Hindî, Marâthî, and Panjâbî combine अ and इ or ई into है. Sometimes the है so formed is dropped by the Marâthî, and we have optionally बसलें for बेमलें, म्हस for म्हेस, and तसा for तैसा-Bangâlî and Oriyâ have बम for बम. The former has बेस in addition, so that these languages also seem to have once formed है out of the two vowels.

of and s.

15. Skr. unz a chaplet, Pr. use, H P. ulei, B. O. use.

Skr. चतुर्थ fourth, Pr चडत्थ, M. H. P. चौथा, O. चौथ.

Skr. चतुष्क a square, Pr. चउक, M. H. P. चौक. Similarly other compounds of चतुर; as चौरस, चौकोन, चौमास &c., B. O S. also have चौ.

Skr. ज्येष्टपुत्र the son of an elder brother, Pr. जेंद्रुडत, H. जेंद्रोत.

Skr. मधुमक्षिका a bee, Pr. महुमक्खिआ, H. मौमाखी by dropping इ. Skr. वश्र a girl, a daughter-in-law, Pr. वह्न, O. बी.

When sometimes the Pråkrits combined अ and इ or अ and उ, they formed ए and ओ out of them. For, as we have seen, the passage from one position to another in the same breath was impossible to the speakers of the Pråkrits and the Påli. Thus we have मोर for मजर, Skr. मधूर; धेर for धहर, Skr. स्थिवर; चोत्थ for चडत्थ, Skr. चतुर्थ; चोग्गुण for चडागुण, Skr. चतुर्थं कैट.

Similarly अय and अव from t and ओ in the vernaculars. When the final so of q and a is not pronounced, these semivowels easily pass into and a which with the previous so form those diphthongs.

16. Skr. मदन god of love, Pr. मञ्जण or मयण, H. मैन.

Skr. रजनी night, Pr. रअणी or रयणी, H. P. रैन.

Skr. नयन the eye, H. नैन, P. नैण.

Skr. wu fear, H. P. W.

Skr. जय victory, H. P. जै.

Skr. शत a hundred, Pr. सअ or सय, H. P. से.

Skr. वचन speech, Pr. वअण or वयण, H. बेन.

The Marathi does not tollow the Hindi and Panjabi here. For, according to the modern way of pronunciation as observed before, the final of words not being pronounced, the vowel of the preceding syllable is lengthened. The sy of the first syllable of yu and sy being thus long prevents the formation of t; while that of t being so in such words as नयन that semivowel is not reduced to इ. and hence we have no t. But these obstacles are set aside in the Hindî and the Panjabi, the tendency to form the diphthongs being strong. When however the Marathi was in a state of formation it retained the Prakrit peculiarity and changed the syllable अप to ए, as in है for जातम, भे (old) for भग एं of neuter nouns such as केळ and ताम्बे for the अयं of the Prakrit केल्यं and तस्वयं, के and में of केला and मेला for the Prakrit क्य and गय. &c. This change is due to a weakened pronunciation of J. In modern times even u is often sounded like v For, in producing these two sounds the middle of the tongue being raised, the forepart falls lower. than in sounding when, therefore, in pronouncing withe middle is not raised sufficiently high, the sound becomes v and not s, because this requires the forepart also to be raised higher

In the following instances अव forms ओ :--

17. Skr. घवल white, Pr. घवल, H. P. धोला, M. घवळा.

Skr. नवशिक्षित newly'learned, Pr नवसिक्षित्रअ, H. नौसिख, M. नौशिका or नवशिका.

Skr. भवन a dwelling, Pr. भवण, H. भीन, P. भीण.

Skr. mag a mouthful, Pr. mag, H. mit or mig.

Skr. नवनीत butter, Pr. नवणीअ, H. नौनी, P. नौणी, M. छोणी from the Pr. नोणीअ

Skr. नमन bowing, Apabhr. नवंन, H. नौना, P. नौणा, M. त्वणं.

'Skr. छवन cutting, Pr. छवन, H. छोना

Skr. कपर्दिका a shell, Pr. कवड़िआ, H. P. M. कोडी, M. कवडी also.

Skr. सपनी a fellow-wife, Pr. सवती, H. सौत, M. सवत-

Skr. अमर a bee, Apabhr. भवंर, H. P. भौरा, M. भौवरा from another derivative of अम.

Skr. समर्प to deliver, Apabhr. स्वंदप, H. सोंपना, P. सोंपणा, M. सोंपणं Here the Panjâbî and the Hindî agree perfectly; but the Marâthî is not decided, sometimes changing the syllable to ओ but more often, for the reasons given in the case of अग, retaining it as it is in the original the अन, however, being pronounced like अन् in some cases, and अन with long final आ in others. Hindî and Panjâbî similarly treat आइ or आई and आग, and आउ or आऊ and आग, while the Marâthî here completely parts from them. For the आ in these syllables is too strong a sound for the Marâthî ear to pass off into the very short आ of half a mâtrâ. Even the Hindî preserves आग unchanged in a good many cases, as नाम for Pr. नाम, Skr. नात 'wind.'

18. आइ or आय.

Skr. पाद foot, Pr. पाञ or पाय, H. P. पे in पैर foot, पैदल foot-soldiers, पैकड shackles, &c., M. पाय in पायदळ foot-soldiers, पायरी a step.

Skr. कायस्य name of a caste, Pr. कायस्य, H. केथ in केथी name of the characters prevalent in some parts of Northern India.

Skr. नाविक a boatman, Pr. नाविअ, H. नेया by dropping q as H. usually does and reducing नाविअ to नाइअ.

Skr. ज्ञातिगृह a woman's family of birth, Pr. नाइहर, H. नेहर.

19. आड or आड.

Skr. भानृजाया brother's wife, Pr. भारजाआ, H. भौजाई, M. भावजई, S. भाजाई.

Skr. मानृष्यमृका mother's sister, Pr. माउसिआ, H. मौसी, M. मावशी, S. P. मासी.

Skr. वातुल under the influence of wind, mad, Pr. वाजल, H. P. बौरा, M. बावळा, O. बाडळा, B. बाउडा, S. वांविरो. युः वायळ, टंग्यं आउळ.

Skr. saive a prey, a beast of chase, Pr. सावज, H. सोजा, M. सावज.

Skr. वामन a dwarf, Apabhr. बावन, H. बोना, P. बोणा, B. बाडनिया.

Skr. पादोन three-quarters, Pr. पाओन or पाऊन, H. पोने, P. पौणिआ.

There are a few instances in Hindî such as प्रना, from Pr. प्र्र 'to sow', Skr. प्रक्रि; पोत 'nature,' Pr. प्रति, Skr. प्रकृति; पतोह 'son's wife', Pr. प्रत्यह, Skr. प्रयम्, भारो 'name of a month', Pr. भ्रवभ, Skr. भारप्र &c., in which अइ forms ए, and अस and अस, ओ; but it will appear that the prevailing rule in that language and in the Panjâbî is to change these vowels and semivowels into ए or ओ. The Braj dialect of Hindî is thoroughly consistent in this respect, having ए and ओ even in its grammatical terminations, as को for High H. को, करे for करे, करों for करें, करों for the few traces that they have retained of these Prâkṛit syllables, seem to agree with the Marâthî. But the Gujarâtî has throughout ए and ओ for the Hindî and Panjâbî ऐ and ओ; and the Sindhî follows the Gujarâtî a great way. The Gujarâtî and Sindhî forms of the words occurring in tables 14-19, are as follows:—

H.	G.	S.	H.	G.	S.	
20. पैठा	पेठो	पेठो	जे से ने ने जो जो के से ने ने जो जो के से ले जो हैं। जो जो के से ले जो हो ते जो	जे		
वैसना	बेसर्बु		से	से in सॅकडा		
बैठा	बेठो		बैन	वेन	वेशु	
खैर	खेर		मौडा	मोह	मोइ	
बैक	बेल		चौथा	चोथो	चोथो	[&c., चौपैरो
भैस	भेस	मेंहि	चौक	चोक	चोथो चौक,	चौपैरो
बैन (P. भैण)	बेन	भेणु भेण मेरो-मैल	चौरस	चोरस &c.		
मैक	मेक	मेरो-मैल	धौला	<u> घोळो</u>	धौरो	
मैन	मेन		कौडी	कोडी	कोडी	
र्नैन	रेन		सौत	सोक	_	
नैन	नेन	नेणु	सौंपना	सोंपबुं	सौंपण्ड	
20. पठा बैसना बैठा खेर बैक मैस बैन (P. भेण) मैछ मैन रैन नैन मैन	भे		पैदछ	पेदल		
		H.		G.		
		H. 'भौजाई पौने		ोजाई		
		पौने	ď	गे णा		

The Gujarâtî has ए or ओ even in words of a foreign origin where the other languages have ऐ or ओ; as,

H,	G.	H.	G.
21. पैदा करना बौकत	पेदा करवुं	मैदान फीज	मेदान
बौलत	दोलत	फीज	फोज

Similarly, though a few Sanskrit words containing है and ओ do occur in Gujarâtî dictionaries, these diphthongs are generally pronounced like ए and ओ; as in जैन for जैन 'a follower of the Jaina sect', देर for देर 'enmity', &c. The Gujarâtî, therefore, like the old Prâkrits combines आप and अद and आद and आद into ए and ओ, and since it did not receive the diphthongs है and ओ from the old Prâkrits, its alphabet really does not contain them. As observed before, the syllables आद and अद differ from ह and ओ only in two currents of breath being emitted instead of one; in other respects they are alike, both the vowel sounds

being contained in the diphthongs. Those syllables as well as squ and squ should, therefore, naturally pass into those diphthongs as involving the least possible change. If, notwithstanding, the Gujarâtî people make v or sq out of them, and also give those forms to the v and sq of Sanskrit and foreign words, it must be so, because their vocal organs are in this respect in the same condition as those of their Pâli and Prâkrit ancestors. On the other hand, the Hindî, and especially the Braj form of it, presents the old Âryan tendency of pronouncing the diphthongs in a somewhat exaggerated form; while the other dialects take up a position between these two in this respect.

Of the words in which an open vowel is changed to one more close and an approach towards an assimilation to a consonant is thus effected, the vernaculars have preserved the following:—

22. Skr. प्रक्रम् ripe, Pr. पिकं or प्रकं, M. पिकं, G. पाकु, H. P. प्रका, S. प्रको, B. पाका, O. प्रका or पाक in पाकछा.

Skr. अङ्गारः ember, Pr. इङ्गालो or अङ्गारो, M. (dial.) ईंगळ, इंगळो, M. आंगारा, the rest अंगार.

Skr. तळाटम् forehead, Pr. णिडालं or णडालं, M. निदळ, S. निराडु.

Skr. इत्तम्, Pr. दिण्णं, H. दिन, P. दित्ताः

S.

Skr. कन्दुक: a ball, Pr. गेन्दुओ, H. गेदा, P. गेद, M. गेद.

Skr. अत्र, Pr. एत्थ, M. एथ.

In a great many more instances we is thus changed in the vernaculars, and not only before conjuncts as is mostly the case in the Prakrits, but before simple consonants also.

Skr. or Pr.

M.

	₽.	DILL. OI II.	474.0
23.	किहाणी a tale	कथानिका—कहानिआ	कहाणी.
	मुङ्गिरो a mallet	खद्गरः—मोग्गरो	मोगर
		इयामलः—सामलो	
	पाजिरणु to be lit up.	प्रज्वलन—पज्जलण	पाजळणें.
	पुलिराजु a topaz	…पुष्कराज	*****
	विसिर्ण to forget	विस्मरण—विस्सरण	विसर्णे.
	विनिणु a fan,	व्यजन	विंजणा.
	खिण a moment	क्षण—खण	• • • • • •
	खिमा forgiveness .	क्षमा—खमा	• • • • • • •
	पिंचरणु to melt	प्रगलन	पगळणॅ.
	पिंगुको lame	पङ्ग with रू	पांगळा
		पञ्चर	
		,पिप्पक	

S.	Skr.		. M .
किलाद the forehead	ललाट	·	उ ल्ह
विरिलो rare			
		Skr. or Pr	
छिन a moment	छिन	क्षणः—खणो	•••••
किवाड door	कवाड	कपाटम्−कवाड	M. कवाड
डिम्भ vanity		दम्भः	M. हंभ
गिनना to count			
*******	दिङभor दङ्भ	दर्भः दब्भो	H. हाभ
पिघलना to melt	.पिघलना	.प्रगलनम्	M. पगळणें
हिल्ना to move	हिलना	हलनम् ^१	M. हालणें
रींघना to cook			
खिमा or छिमा forgive	- खिमा	.क्षमा	•••••
ness.			
पिंजरा a cage	पिंजरा,	पञ्चर	G. पांजरू
जीमना to eat			
विंगा or बांका crooked.	बिंगा	वक्र−वंक	M. वांक
H M .	Skr. or	\mathbf{Pr} .	
खिण (dial.)	. क्षण—खण		
पिंजरा as above,			
मिशी	. इमश्रू—मस्	M.	मूछ.
В.	Skr.		
पिंजरा as above, O. a			
काछिम tortoise	कच्छप	M.	कासव
काहिनी a tale			

The Sindhî has the largest number of instances, and this change of आ to इ constitutes a peculiarity of that language. The Hindî has got a good many, and the Panjâbî follows it in almost all cases. Marâthî has but a few stray instances, but sometimes, as in शिवणे 'to touch', H. छूना, Skr. छुप, but Pr. छित्र, and भित्री, इ takes the place of इ also. The Gujarâtî has पिगल्डों for प्रगलनम् and इंग्डें 'an egg', for अण्डसम्, but not many more cases. Bangâlî and Oriyâ have also but few instances, and even in most of these, and in those of the Marâthî, as well as in the Hindî पिजरा and जीमना, the इ may be regarded as arising from the influence of the neighbouring palatal consonant. The following are instances in which आ and in one case आ are changed to ए:—

24. H. मेंडुक or मेडुक, P. मेंडुक, G. मेंडक, M. बेहक, Skr, मण्डूक.

H. संबद्ध or सेमढ, M. शेवरी or सांवरी, Skr. शालमढी, Pr. सामरी or सिम्बडी.

H. नेवना to bow, P. नेडणा, M. ढवणें, Skr. नमन.

H. नेवक a mungoose, P. नेवक, Skr. नकुक, Pr. नवक.

- H. जेवना to eat, P. जेडणा, M. जेवणें, G. जमवुं, Skr. जमन.
- M. उजेड light, Pr. उजाल, Skr. उज्ज्वाल.
- M. शेण cowdung, G. छाण, Skr. शक्ता instr. sing.
- M. डेवणें to keep, Skr. स्थापन, Pr. डावण.
- G. केहवुं to tell, सेहवुं to endure, रेहवुं. to dwell, &c., before ह followed by अ, for कथ, सह, &c.

But even here the ए of the Marâthi श्वरी, शेण, जैवर्णे, and उजेड, as of the Hindi जेवना, may be attributed to the influence of the preceding palatal.

In the Prâkrits there are two instances of the change of आ to उ, viz., चुडं and खृडिओ for Skr चण्ड 'fierce' and खण्डित 'plucked out'. The latter we have in the vernaculars in the from of सूट M. G., खुटि S. 'deficiency', खोट H., खोड M. 'blemish', and खुडणें or खुटणें M., खुटना H., खंटनें G. 'to pluck out', or खुटनें G. 'to be deficient'. The Bangâlî, changes आ to उ in a good many cases as:—

В.	Skr. or Pr	M. or H.
	हरिद्रा-हलदा	
आगुन fire	अग्नि-अगणी	आग M.
	ब्राह्मण-बम्हण	
	स्थापन-ठावण	
_	शाल्मली-सामरी	
cotton tree.	_	सांवरी M.
	पुष्कर-पोक्खर	
मुगुर a mallet	म्रुद्रर-मोग्गर	मोगरा M

And where the Sanskrit or the sister dialects have wit, the Bangali has z as in the following words:—

- 26. B. चरि theft, Skr. चोरिका, M. H. चोरी.
 - B. मुचि a shoemaker, M. H मोची.
 - B. पुलि a cake, Skr. पोलिका or पुलिका, M. पोळी.
 - B. छडी a girl, G. छोडी.
 - B. पुडन burning, M पोळणॅ
 - B. खुदन digging, H. खोदना, M खोदणें.
 - B. खुजन searching, H. खोजना.

Thus whether for wor or wit is a characteristic of the Bangâli. But this characteristic is very likely connected with another which distinguishes the Bangâli language, niz., to pronounce the non-final with all cases as a short and broad with like that in the English word pot. I have observed before that the assimilation of vowels to consonants

might be effected by narrowing the passage of the breath by an upward movement of the tongue near the palatal position, or by rounding the lips. Which of these modes is resorted to, depends on the peculiar vocal tendencies of a people. Thus then, to change of to vor is a peculiarity of the Sindhi, the Panjabi, and the Hindi, and to short of and of the Bangali.

The following Prakrit instances of the assimilation of the different vowels of a word have come down to the vernaculars:—

27. M. G. P. वेळ a creeper, H. P. बेळ, Pr. वेही, Skr. वहि, S. व लि, Pr. वही.

M. ऊंस a sugarcane, H. ऊल, Pr. उच्छू, Skr. इञ्च H. has देख also, and P. इक्छ.

H. P. S. सेज a bed, M. G. शेज, Pr. सेजा, Skr. शब्या

M. मिरीं, S. मिरी pepper, Pr. मिरिअं, Skr. मरिचम्.

M. ओपणें to give over, assign, Pr. ओप्प, Skr. अर्थ.

There are a few modern instances as in:-

28. S. मेहि a buffalo, Pr. महिस.

H. इस्ली a tamarind tree, Skr. अस्टिका.

H. P. कोई blood, Pr. लोडिअ, Skr. लोडित.

H. जुगून a glow-worm, Pr. जोर्ङ्गण ?, Skr. ज्योतिरिङ्गण.

H. तिरिच्छ askance, Pr. तिरच्छ, Skr. तिरश्च in तिरश्च:, तिरश्चा &c.

P. sigas or sigas a finger, Skr. wife.

Examples of the change of of to g or u under the influence of a palatal consonant have been given in Table 24.

Of the words in which one of two similar vowels is made dissimilar or changed to ear in the Prakrits the vernaculars have preserved the following:—

29. Skr. बिभीतक, Pr., &c., as in Table 8.

Skr. शिथिकम् loose, Pr. सहितं or सिहिकं, M. सहळ in the sense of 'loose of hand' or 'liberal', H. B. O. दीना, S. दिरो or दरो, G. दीन्नं. In these the first syllable स is elided.

Skr. हरीतकी myrobalan, Pr. हरडई, M. हरडी, S. हरीड.

Skr. ggz: a crown, Pr. मडहो, H. मोह, G. मोह.

Skr. मुकुछ: a bud, Pr. मडलो, P. मोल in मोलना to bud.

Sindhi has हिल्डि। also for सिदिल in which the last two consonants have interchanged places, and स् is changed to इ.

Modern instances of this change are:-

30. M. sche a rat, G. sce, H. B. O. sce, Skr. 3-ce.

H. B. चंची nipple, Skr. चुचुकम्.

H. गेहुं, घेंऊं or गोहूं wheat, P. घेऊं, G. घऊं, M. गहूं or गंव, B. गम, O. गहम, Skr. गोधम.

P. ts a kind of fish, for tig, Skr. tilea.

G. सगर a crown, S. सुकिंद्र or मृटिक, P. सुकट, M. सुग्र, Skr. सुक्रट.

H. महरत an auspicious time, Skr. महते.

P. G. siza family, Skr. sizva.

P. परोहत priest, Skr. प्रोहित.

हु H. पतोड़ for पुतोह़ a son's wife, Pr. पुत्तवहू, Skr. पुत्रवधू. े H. सपूर्त, Pr. सुपुत्त, Skr. सुपुत्र.

H. P. great an ancestor, Skr. gev.

M. तंबळ, Skr. तमुल.

H. P. जनेक, from जण्णोकअ, Pr. जण्णोवर्डअ ?, Skr. यज्ञोपवीतः

Here, as well as in the Prâkits, one of the two similar vowels is oftener changed to sq than to g or s.

In the Prakrits, you will remember, there are a few instances in which the vowels of the different syllables composing a word exchange places, or the close vowel of one is transferred to another. Of these the M. has विंच 'scorpion', H. and P. बिच्छ, S. विद्धं and B. and O. बिछा-There are a good many more modern instances :-

31. H. अकेला alone, Pr. एकछअ.

H. उंगकी a finger, Skr. अङ्गलि

H. P. मीत death, from such a Pr. form as मन्, Skr. मृत्य; the इ being transferred to the preceding syllable forms औ with अ; G. मोत.

H. होले slowly, from Pr. हल, Skr. लघ; and P. कोडा bitter, from Pr. कड्डअ, Skr. कटक.

H. M. S. बुंद, G. P. बुंद, Skr. बिन्दु, the इ transferred to the second syllable being dropped in virtue of a general law to be presently noticed in the vernaculars.

H. G. मूळ mustache, S. म्रुळ, P. म्रुच्छ, B. O. मोच, Pr. मस्स्, Skr. इमश्च.

H. हिरन a deer, Skr. हरिण.

H. संघ, B. O. सिंघ a hole made in a wall by a thief, Skr. संधि.

G. केट the waist, Skr. कटि.

G. पीरसर्व to distribute food, from Skr. परिवेष-

G. बनेवी sister's husband, बने for बेन sister, Pr. भइणी, and वी for वहे. Skr. पति.

B. शेल a dart, from Skr. शस्य, the इ arising from the softening of य being transferred to ज.

P. gt above, Skr. sqit.

M. भोजळ a cavity made by joining the hands, from the word भंजुळी existing in old Marâthî, and अंजुळी existing in H. made up on the analogy of the Skr. अङ्गल्धि.

M. हिरवा green, from Skr. हरित or हरितक.

M. चोंच a beak, M. (dial.) and B. टोंच, Skr. चंचु.

Of the Pråkrit words with a syllable lengthened or shortened through the influence of an accent of some sort, the vernaculars have preserved a few words Thus:—

32. M. पाइवा the 1st day of the moon's increase, Pr. पाइवो, Skr. प्रतिपद्. H. has the form with short प, पहिवा.

M. सारिखा like, Pr. सारिच्छो or सारिक्खो ?, Skr. सद्धाः

M. इत्या a buffalo used for ploughing, Pr. इतिओ; H. P. हाली one who ploughs, Pr. हालिओ, Skr. हालिक:.

H. गहिरा deep, Pr. गहिरो, Skr. गभीर:

G. कुंबर, H. कुंबर or कुंअर, Pr. कुमरो, Skr. कुमार.

In modern vernacular pronunciation there is a law of accentuation which has produced important results. The penultimate syllable of a word is in all our dialects pronounced with a stress, the tendency of which is to lengthen that syllable and drop the final vowel. In most of them this tendency has worked itself out thoroughly. The preceding vowel, however, is not always written long, but still the long or at least the emphasized pronunciation does exist. I have already given instances* in which while the final st is silent or dropped, the stors of the preceding syllable is lengthened, and have shown that when that syllable has st, it also is pronounced long though not changed to st. The final st or st of Sanskrit words recently imported into the languages have been dropped in virtue of this law of accentuation. Thus:—

33. M. H. G. पहल method, mode, Skr. पहलि.

M. H. G. P. na condition, Skr. na.

M. G. aqua, H. P. aqua misery, Skr. aqua.

M. H. P. G. B. An manner, Skr. Aff.

M. H. G. P. B. जात species, caste, Skr. जाति.

M. H. कीत, H. G. P. कीरत fame, Skr. कीति.

M. H. P. G. रास a heap, Skr. राशि.

M. H. P. उपाध injury, annoyance, Skr. उपाधि.

M. H. P. G. नीत morality, Skr. नीति.

H. P. कब a poet, Skr. कवि.

M. G. ata, H. P. ata a thing, Skr. ata.

H. P. साथ a good man, Skr. साधु.

M. G. H. P. нч honey, Skr. нч.

Bangâlî and Oriyâ authors hardly represent the proper vernacular pronunciation. Such words as the above, therefore, do not occur, but probably they do exist. In Sindhî, however, here as well as in other instances to be given, the tendency of this law of accentuation is but partially realised, and such of the above words as exist in that language preserve their Sankrit endings; as TIE or TIE, TIE, CIE &c. Not only does this law characterise the vernacular speech of the day, but it must have been in operation for centuries, since the old Prâkrit words, which like the above have not recently been imported but have descended to the modern languages from the spoken dialects of ancient times, have also been similarly changed. Thus:—

34. M. B. भूक, H. G. B. भूख, P. भुक्ख, O. भोक hunger, Pr. बुहुक्खा Skr. बुभुक्षा.

M. H. P. G. जीभ, B. O S. जिभ tongue, Pr. जिल्ला, Skr. जिल्ला,

H. P. सेज, M. G. शेज, S. सेज-सेजा a bed, Pr. सेजा, Skr. शया.

M. भीक, H. G. भीख, P. भिक्ख or भीख, B. O. भिक alms, Pr. भिक्खा. Skr. भिक्षा.

M. नीज, II. P. नींद, S. निंड sleep, Pr. निहा, Skr. निदा.

M. सींड, H. सुंड, G. सुंड, P. सुंड, B. O. शूंड, S. सुंदि trunk of an elephant, Pr. सोण्डा, Skr. शुण्डा.

M. G. GIG, H. SIG, S. SIZ a jaw, a grinder, Pr. SIGI, Skr. SEI.

H. P. सेन, S. सेण, G. सान a sign, Pr. सण्णा, Skr. संज्ञा.

H. S. साध, B. साइ or साध, O. साध wish, longing, Pr. सदा, Skr. श्रदा,

M. G. খুক্ত, H. খুক্ত, S. খুঙ্জি, B. O. খুকা dust, Pr. খুক্তি, Skr. খুক্তি.

M. H. G. आग, P. आग or आगन, B. आगुन, S. आगि fire, Pr. अगगी or अगिगी, Skr. अग्रि.

M. H. दीठ sight, Pr. दिद्धि, Skr. दृष्टि.

M. बहिण or भेण, P. भेण, H. बहिन, बहन, or बैन, G. बेन, S. भेण or भेणु, B. बोन sister, Pr. भइणी, Skr. भगिनी.

M. महैस, H. भैंस, G. भेंस, P. भैंह or भेंस, S. मेंहि a buffalo, Pr. महिसी, Skr. महिथी.

H. P. कोल, G. कुल, M. कूस, S. कुलि a side of the abdomen, Pr. कुक्लि, Skr. कुलि

H. B. रैन, G. रेन night, Pr. रयणी, Skr. रजनी.

M. सवत, H. सौत, G. सोक a fellow wife, Pr. सवत्ती, Skr. सपत्नी.

M. खाण, H. खान a mine, Pr. खाणी, Skr. खनि or खानि.

M. H. P. G. B. O. रात, S. राति night, Pr. रत्ती, Skr. रात्रि.

M. G. P. वेल, H. P. बेल, S. वाल a creeping plant, Pr. वेल्ली, Skr. वालि

H. सास, P. सस्स, M. G. सासू, S. सम्रु mother-in-law, Pr. सस्स्, Skr. अध्.

M. G. वीज, P. बिज, S. विजु lightning, Pr. विज्जु, Skr. विगुत्-

M. जंस, H. जख or ईख, P. इक्ख, Pr. उच्छू, Skr. इश्व.

H. G. आंख, P. अक्स, S. अखि, B. O. आखि the eye, Pr. अक्सि, Skr. अक्षि.

M. G. B. O. हाड, H. हाड, हडू, or हड्डी, P. हडू or हड्डी, S. हडू or हडी, Pr. अही, Skr. अस्थि

M. इत्तीण, H. G. हाथीन, Pr. इत्थिनी, Skr. इस्तिनी

Here also the Sindhi preserves the old endings in some cases, and has dropped them in others. In this manner, the final आ, इ, ई, 3 and 35 of Sanskrit and Pråkrit nouns have been dropped in the vernaculars or changed to a silent sq. Final sq is similarly treated. Even in the Apabhramsa period this rule of accentuation must have prevailed, since the ending v. wels are similarly shortened in a good many cases. You will remember that the Prakrit si of the nominative singular of masculine nouns is mostly shortened to 3 in that dialect, and sometimes altogether dropped. The modern vernaculars have thus got a great many masculine nouns ending in the silent sq, such as हात or हाथ 'hand,' कान 'ear,' दांत 'tooth,' धीट 'bold,' वह 'the Bunyan tree'. But as before the Sindhi has in all these cases preserved the 3: as ह्यु, कतु, इंदु, होढु, बहु, &c. When the final vowel is preceded by another and not by a consonant as in these words and the others given in the above lists, that other vowel being accented by our rule shows a tendency to become long, and the original unaccented final being dropped, the accented vowel becomes final. Thus:-

35. Skr. मौतिकम् a pearl, Pr. मोत्तिअं, M. मोतीं, G. S. P. H. मोती.

Skr. पानीयम् water, Pr. पाणिअं, M. G. पाणीं, H. पानी.

Skr. यूचिका a flowering bush, Pr. जूहिआ, M. H. G. जुही or जुहै' H. जुही.

Skr. घोटिका a mare, Pr. घोडिआ, M. G. H. P. घोडी. Skr. शाटिका a garment, Pr. साडिआ, M. G. H. साडी.

OF NORTHERN INDIA.

Skr. मृतिका earth, Pr. मतिआ, महिआ or मिहिआ, M. माती, H. मही as in Table 4, all ending in $\frac{1}{5}$.

Skr. ताम्बृलिक: a seller of betelnut, Pr. and Ap. तम्बोलिओ-उ, M. तांबोळी, G. तंबोळी, H. तंबोळी.

Skr. तैलिक: a seller of oil, Pr. and Ap. तेलिओ-उ, M. तेली.

Skr. हालिक: a peasant, Pr. हालिओ, Ap. हालिउ, H. हाली, S. हारी.

Skr. नापित: a barber, Pr. and Ap न्हाविओ-ड or नाविओ-ड, M. न्हावी, H. नार्ड.

Skr. स्रश्चिक: a scorpion, Pr. विच्छुओ, Ap. विच्छुड, M. विंचू, H. P. बिछ, S. बिछ, B. O. विछा.

Skr. गुद: ordure, Pr. गुओ, गुड, M. H. G. गू.

Skr. युगम्, Pr. जुअं, M. जूं.

Skr. युका a louse, Pr. जुआ, H. P. G. जु, M. ऊ.

Skr. वाटिका an enclosure, Pr. वाटिआे or वाडिआ, M. G. H. वाडी, B. वाटी.

Skr. वीटिका a roll of betel leaf, &c., Pr. वीडिआ, M. विडी, G. बिडी, H. बीडी.

Skr. मृचिक: a tailor, Pr. मृचिओ, Ap. मृचिड, H. मूजी.

Skr. मक्षिका a fly, Pr. मच्छिआ or मक्खिआ, M. माशी, G. H. माखी, माछी.

Skr. जीव: life, Pr. जीओ, Ap. जीड, H. जी.

Skr. लोहितम् blood, Pr. लोहिअं, G. लोही, H. P. लोहू.

Skr. जलोका a leech, Pr. जलोआ, M. जळ, H. जल, G. जळो.

Skr. वालुका sand, Pr. वालुआ, M. G. वाळ, H. बालू.

Skr. पितृकः, मातृका, and भातृक: as in Tables 3 and 4.

Thus then the Sanskrit and Prakrit penultimate vowels become final in the vernaculars, and being originally accented in consequence of the law we have been considering, retain that accent in most cases, and are thus lengthened. When the penultimate happens to be at it is lengthened to at as in the following:—

36. Skr. घोटक: a horse, Pr. घोडओ, Ap घोडड, M. H. P. B. O. घोडा.

Skr. पारद: mercury, Pr. पारओ, Ap. पारव, M. H. P. B. O. पारा.

Skr. आमलकः a kind of myrobalau, Pr. आमलओ, Ap. आवेलड, M. आंवला, H. P. आवला or आमला.

Skr. आम्रातकः hog-plum, Pr. अम्माडओं ?, Ap. अम्बाहर, M. आंबाडा, H. अंबाहा.

Skr. बिभीतकः beleric myrobalan, Pr. वहेडओ, Ap. बहेडउ, M. बेहडा, H. P. बहेडा Skr. पुस्तकम् a volume, Pr. पोत्थओ, Ap. पोत्थअ, H. P. पोथा.

Skr. कण्टक: a thorn, Pr. कण्टओ, Ap. कण्टअ, M. H. B. कांटा.

Skr. गोलक: a ball, Pr. गोलओ, Ap. गोलड, M. P. गोळा, H. B. गोला.

Skr. इयाँछक: brother-in-law, Pr. सालओ, Ap. सालड, M. P. साळा, H. साला.

Skr. दीपक: a lamp, Pr. दीवओ, Ap. दीवड, M. दिवा, P. दीवा, H. दिया, B. दीया.

Skr. मञ्जक: a bedstead, Pr. मञ्जो, Ap. मञ्चउ, M. मांचा.

Skr. मस्तकम् head, Pr. मत्थअं, M. (Goan., Mâl., and Chit.) माथां; Pr. मत्थओं, Ap. मत्थअं, by a change of gender, M. H. B. माथा, P. मत्था. Skr. कटकम् a wristlet, Pr. कडअं, M. (Goan., Mâl. and Chit.) कडां. Skr. कीटकः a worm, Pr. कीडओं, Ap. कीडअं, M. किडा, H. P. कीडा, B. कीडा, and the other words given in Table 6.

It may be urged that in modern pronunciation when the senultimate is accented, it does not become if even though pronounced long, as observed before.* How is it then that it becomes if here? In modern times several new modes of pronunciation have arisen, but as regards the matter in hand, to lengthen into it was the old process. And often when the old processes have disappeared from what is considered the standard form of a language, they are found preserved in some dialect of that language. Thus, while in the standard Marathi the penultimate is is simply pronounced long, it becomes in the Goanese and Malvant dialects.

Thus-

		St. M.	Mâl. Goan.
37.	पातळ	a garment, or thin.	पाताळ.
	कापड	cloth.	कापाडः
	वतन	hereditary property,	वतान.
	जतन	careful preservation.	जतानः
	धोतर	garment.	धोतार ः
	खहप	a rock.	खडाप.

In this manner then the penultimate of in consequence of the accent became of, and the final of the final of the final of being dropped, itself became final, and has preserved its accent.

An unaccented eq, eq, or et is, you will have observed from the above instances, dropped after a close or dissimilar vowel. For, if preserved, its effect would be to change the preceding close vowel to the corresponding semivowel. But being accented that vowel resists the

^{*} Supra, p. 139.

influence and in its turn overpowers the unaccented, and consequently. weak, vowel. But when it is possible to combine both into one sound the final is sometimes not dropped. Thus the example and in the above examples are combined into si in the Gujarâtî, the Sindhî, and the Goanese, Mâlvanî, and Chitpávni dialects of the Marâthî, and the Mârvârî, Mevârî, Kumaonî, and Garhavâlî dialects of the Hindî: and we have घोडो, पारो, आंवळो or आंवलो, आंबाडो, बेहडो, कांटो, गोळो, साळो, दिवो, मांचा, and किहो. When अ follows another अ, the former, you will remember, is generally changed to a light v in the Prakrits. dialects tolerated a hiatus when one or both of the vowels were close. But a or an followed by a or an require two complete openings of the mouth which could not be borne, and hence a close sound y was interposed. Thus मत्थुओं and कड्ओ, in the above, became मत्थ्यं and कड्यं, and the vowel and being unaccented, is dropped in the Mâlvanî and the other dialects; but in the standard Marathi, as formerly observed, the sq and q are combined into q, and so we have मार्थ and कहें. In the Apabhramsa, you will remember, the masculine termination 3 is transferred by analogy to neuter nouns, and Hemachandra tells us that neuter nouns having a a at the end do not drop their nasal termination. Thus, we have মন্থাই and ক্রেই from which by combination we have the Gujarâtî मार्थ and कई. Here the nasal sound gives a sort of fixity to the vowel, and hence it does not pass into si as in the case of masculine nouns, but absorbs the preceding sq. The sil, vi, and si thus formed are accented like the sq of the masculine nouns in the Marâthî and others, since they contain the accented penultimate.

Similarly, final unaccented g is not dropped after sq or sq, but is slurred over and the least possible time is allowed to it, in consequence of which it sounds like the consonant y, and thus forms a sort of diphthong with the preceding or or or.

- 38. M. वह or वय् a hedge, Pr. वह, Skr. दृति.
- M. सइ or सर् remembrance, Pr. सई, Skr. स्मृति. M. (Mål. and Chit.) नइ or नर, H. नइ, S. नइ, Pr. नइ, Skr. नदी.
- M. शेणवड or u name of a caste, Pr. सेणावड, Skr. सेनापति.
- H. बहुनोइ sister's husband, Pr. भइणीवइ, Skr. भगिनीपाति.

Sometimes the final vowel sabsorbs the preceding of, as in G. बनेवी for बहनोइ, M. शेणवी, and M. दळवी for Pr. दखवइ, Skr. दलपति.

You will have seen that the Sanskrit nouns, composed of three or more syllables given in Table 36 with 37 for their penultimate vowel

and a or any other similar syllable ending in sy for the final, have dropped one syllable and become nouns ending in say in Marathi. Hindî, Panjâbî, and Bangâlî, and in Oriyâ also in some cases, and in en in Gujaratî, Sindhî, and the dialects of the Marathî and Hindî spoken of above. Such of them as are neuter have acquired the ending to or si in Marathi and si in Gujarati. But these are not the only nouns with a final on and on and o, on and in these languages. There are a good many more, both substantives and adjectives, which have these endings. In the Brajbhâshâ also a great many adjectives, nominal and verbal, end in sin or sin. The Sanskrit words from which they are derived are not composed of three syllables, with a or another syllable like it preceded by an sq, as the final. Thus the substantives H. M. चेला 'a disciple,' पुड़ा 'a parcel,' कादा 'a decoction,' adjectives, H नीला, M. निळा 'blue,' H. पीला, M. पिवळा 'yellow,' and past participles, H. गया 'gone,' सुवा 'dead,' M. गेला, मेला, &c., and the corresponding Gujarati, चेलो, प्रहो, काढो, नीळो, पीळो, गयो and सुवो are derived from the Sanskrit चेट', पुट:, क्राथ , नील , पीतल , &c Similarly such neuter nouns as M. केळं (dial केळां), G. केळं, M सोनें (dial. सोनां), G. सोनं, are derived from the Skr. कदलम् and सुवर्णम्. How then did they get their an and an and si ? A great many nouns in our languages end in M, which has now become silent; and these, as I have explained, are derived from the Sanskrit nouns ending in sq, the nominative termination si being, because it was unaccented, at first reduced to 3, and afterwards dropped in most of them, but preserved in Sindhî. By that same law of accentuation which brought about the elision of this 37, we have seen, that nouns ending in a or such other syllable preceded by so come to have some in the syllable preceded by so come to have some and it-soil some syllable preceded by some to have some in the syllable preceded by some to have some in the syllable preceded by some to have some in the syllable preceded by some to have some in the syllable preceded by some to have some in the syllable preceded by some to have some in the syllable preceded by some to have some in the syllable preceded by some to have some in the syllable preceded by some to have some in the syllable preceded by some to have some in the syllable preceded by some to have some in the syllable preceded by some to have some in the syllable preceded by some to have some in the syllable preceded by some to have some in the syllable preceded by some syllable prece their final. By an obvious inference, therefore, those other substantives and adjectives also must have got क: or कम in the Prakrits and the Apabhramsa in the forms of si or si and sor si, though in Sanskrit they do not possess them. The suffix on, though actually found in certain words only in Sanskrit, must have been largely used in the spoken language of ancient times, since Pânini and his expositors allow of its being appended to all nouns and even verbs and participles, to indicate littleness,* contempt,† tenderness, 1 the state of being unknown, § resemblance or copy, || and a species \$ founded on

^{*} Pân. v. 3, 85-86; v. 4, 4.

Pan. v. 3, 74-75.

[†] Pân. v. 3, 76-77. § Pân. v. 3, 73.

II Pan. v. 3, 96-97. \$ Pan. v. 3, 75, 87, 97.

some of these particularities. Words formed by the addition of such a suffix in such senses cannot, of course, be much used in literary works. They are adapted for colloquial purposes, such as our Marâthi राज्या for Râma and गोंचा for Govinda are. Accordingly in the Prâkrits and Apabhramsa, which were derived from the spoken languages, we find the practice of adding the suffix to be very common. Thus in the fourth act of the Vikramorvasi we have लिह्य for लिह्य formed from the analogy of किरयक्ष but properly लीटकम, सिणिद for जिल्यकम, जुआलओं for युगलकम, जुआणओं for युवक:, आणतओं for आजमक:, परिमंथरओं for परिमन्थरक:, काननए for काननके, कुसुस्त्रलए for कुसुमोज्जवलके, लाल्सओं for लाल्सक:,पर्वारणओं for परवारणक:,लीणओं for लीनक:, गइन्दओं for गजेन्द्रक: and many others. So also in Hemachandra's quotations from Apabhramsa works, we have चिश्व for चिटितक:, विदिष्णयार्व for विप्रियकारक:, उडुावन्ति-आए for उदावयन्तिकया, दिद्व for इष्टक:, वह्रद for वह्नभक्ष, मुअव for मृतक:, &c.

Now the question is, why is it that certain nouns only which have आ and ओ or आं, एं and इ in our vernaculars had क appended to them in the Praki its and not others? The suffix was used only where some additional sense was intended to be expressed. Hence there were some words to which it was not appended at all, and of those to which it was two forms existed, of which the one augmented had an augmented sense. And in our modern dialects a good many words have these two forms, and of these that with our conveys an additional sense, such as is attributed to a by the Sanskrit grammarians. Thus sin in Marathi expresses a 'tooth,' but sin a copy of the animal tooth that is, 'the tooth' of such an instrument as a saw : Hos signifies 'union,' 'agreement,' but भेळा expresses a certain union, viz. a concourse of people in a fair or on some festive occasion; affer signifies 'the elbow,' but siggs any corner, resembling that made by the elbow; are means 'a string,' generally, but दोदा a particular string, that is, 'thread,' used for sewing; que denotes generally 'a strip,' and thence the piece of wood used for sitting on, but yes a slab of stone used for pounding spices; with signifies 'a noose,' generally, but with a snare for birds or beasts, and also 'a catch,' for fastening anything. In the Hindî, Panjâbî and Gujarâtî aje or aje means a bambu, as does aje in Sindhi; but in Marathi ajen means 'a bambu used as a rafter' and thence a rafter generally, &c. In Marathi and Hindi गाम signifies ' feetus' or ' womb,' but my the internal spadia or fruit-receptacle of the plantain-tree or the core or heart of anything. The Panjabi near and news also mean 'the womb' and the heart or core of anything respectively. Thus then was appended to a word in the Prakrits to denote some additional sense; and both the augmented and unaugmented forms of it were in use; and these in some cases have descended to the vernaculars. But in the course of time, in consequence of the frequent use of the augmented forms, the things expressed by the unaugmented words came to be thought of with the additional property to denote which the & was in the first instance affixed. Hence the forms without a went out of use, and gradually those with it lost the additional sense. It was not possible to preserve this sense except by a comparison with the signification of the unaugmented forms. But as these disappeared, the comparison was impossible. And in some cases the additional sense was so slight, that in the course of time it gradually dropped away, though the two forms of the word did exist. Thus we have in Marathi चूर or चुरा 'fragments,' घेर or घेरा 'circumference,' खांद or खांदा 'the shoulder,' जोड or जोडा 'a pair.' भूस or भना 'chaff,' and others; but there is no perceptible difference in the sense of the two forms, though in the last three cases usage has restricted one of them to one state of things and the other to another. So also one of our dialects has the augmented form, and another the original, the sense being the same. Thus :-

- 39. B. as, H. P. asi large or great.
- 🛰 M. खेळ, H. P. खेल, B. खेला a play.
 - H. दहिना, B. डाइन, O. डाहाण right hand.
- M. स्तांब, M. (dial.) स्तांबो, G. स्तंभ, H. P. स्तंभ or स्तंभा, B. स्तंबा, O स्तंब a pillar.
- B. आम, H. आम or आम्ब, P. अंब, M. आंबा, G. आंबो the mango tree.
 - P. मच्छ, H. B. माछ, M. मासा a fish.
 - B. भारु, H. P. M. भरा good.

It thus appears that originally the suffix a was not necessarily applied to any nouns, but optionally to such as admitted of the additional signification. This additional signification has been preserved in some cases, but lost in others.

You will now have seen that the Sanskrit and Prakrit final vowels having, for the most part, been dropped by the influence of the accent, the final M, M, S, S, S, Q, and M that we have now got were originally penultimates or have arisen from a combination of the penultimate and final. The accent which originally fell on the penultimate falls now in consequence of this change on these new finals. Thus, these two accents, that on the penultimate when a word ends in the

silent आ, and that on the new final, are now to be met with in the vernaculars. The first may be called the original accent, and the second derivative. The Marâthî is of all our dialects the most sensitive to them, and shortens all the other vowels of the word in order to throw the whole force of utterance on the accented syllable. The Sanskrit सतक 'mourning', नतन 'new', पीतक 'brass,' and the Sanskrit and Prâkrit जीवन्त (from जीवन्त: or जीवन्तो) are pronounced as सतक, ततन, पितळ, जिवन्त, &c., with the penultimate w long and the first vowel short. In this way, in a word composed of three syllables with the final w silent, the first syllable must be short in Marâthî. When a word is composed of more than three syllables, the w of the antepenultimate is elided, as in अहचण, बहुगण्यण, pronounced as अहचण् बहुग्यण्य, &c.

Similarly, when a word ends in the accented eq and the other vowels, the preceding vowels are shortened as in the following:—

40. किहा, Skr. कीटक:, Pr. कीडओ, and the others in Table 6. Also चुरा and भुसा which without the आ are चूर and भूस (see p. 160), विही, जुई and such others occurring in Table 35.

पिढी a step in the scale of descent, a generation, Skr. पीठिका. जुवा gambling by means of dice, Skr. श्तक: (by change of gender). निळा-ळॅ-ळी blue, Skr. नीलक:-कं-लिका. पिवळा-ळॅ-ळी yellow, Skr. पीतलक:-कं-लिका.

When together with the accented final a word is composed of three or more syllables, or, more generally, when the accented syllable is preceded by two others or more, the sy of that which immediately precedes is silent or elided, as in the case of system above, and any other vowel in its place is shortened and sometimes dropped. The other vowels are also pronounced short. Thus:—

per. sing. the original form of the root.	equipment to learn,	pronounced as शिक्णें.
पूस	पुसर्जे inf. 'to ask' इसतो pres. part. 'he is	पुम्पॉ.
	angry'	रुम्तो.
स्रीव	'touched'	शिव् ला.
-A- har dimilable	Daniel the norm inf Dan	tall the govern the same

য়ীৰ has similarly ছিৰ্ণা 'to sew' inf., ছিৰ্না 'he sews,' ছিৰ্কা 'sewn.'

कर 'do,' pres. part. unaugmented करीत, augmented करितो ः करतो pronounced as करतो.

So also अङ्गुढक: is आंग्ठा, and अग्रुदक:, साम्रा the penultimate s being dropped.

When the final is unaccented, it is the penultimate that is emphasized, but when it is accented, the penultimate is slurred over-Thus the accentuation of the penultimate leads to the elision of the final vowel, and the accentuation of the final brings about an elision or shortening of the penultimate.

In Hindî also the accent leads to the elision of the of the previous syllable when more than one precede the accented syllable. Thus उद्दर्न 'a cosmetic' is pronounced as उद्देन, मूरलपन as मूरलपन, उददना inf. ' to rub the body with a cosmetic,' as उबदना, करना as करना. The Braj present participle and is pronounced as and since one syllable only precedes the accented penultimate; but the Hindi acar is acar as two syllables precede the accented at. The accent leads to the shortening of the preceding vowels in some cases. Thus nique 'a cowherd,' and THE 'the lower regions,' are sometimes pronounced and written as गुपाल and पताल; so does आशिष् become असीस. In such words as उपज 'produce,' उसास 'respiration,' and उदरन 'a cosmetic,' from the Pr. उपपन्न, उस्सास, and उब्बहुण, Skr. उत्पच, उच्छुास, and उद्घतेन, it prevents the lengthening of the initial 3, as by the general rule it should be long. since one member of the following double consonant is dropped. derived accent also shortens the preceding vowels in such instances as the following :-

- 42. H. दहिना southern, Pr. दाहिणओ, Skr. दक्षिणक:.
 - H. दिया a lamp, Pr. दीवओ, Skr. दीपकः.
 - H. जुआ gambling, Pr. जुअओ, Skr. यूतकः (by a change of gender).
- У H. अगल foremost, the first part of which is आग, from Pr. आग, Skr. आग.
- H. अपना one's own......आप from Pr. अद्भ, Skr.
 - H. क्यू together.....एक.
- H. फिरा walked, पिया drunk, सिया sewn, हुआ touched, past participles of फीरना, पीना, सीना, छूना, &c.

In the same way it prevents the lengthening of a preceding vowel in such cases as उपजना 'to be produced,' उठना 'to rise,' उदना 'to fly,' the last two being derived from the Prâkrit उत्पाज and उनुपन.

But in a great many cases the accent does not affect the preceding close vowels. The Sanskrit words सूत्रक, जीताड, &c., the first syllable of which is shortened in Marathi, retain it long in the Hindi. The

words उपजा, उपजा, उपजा, उपला, and others have their initial vowel optionally lengthened, and in a few cases, such as उपर and उच्छ, it is lengthened without any apparent reason. Similarly, the Hindî forms of कीटक and the other words in Table 6 retain the long vowel. It has also बीटी, जही, and सूजी as in Table 35, and नीटा 'blue,' पीटा 'yellow,' सीखा, 'learnt,' भीगा 'wet,' मीटा 'sweet,' पूडा 'asked,' मूआ 'dead,' सीखा। 'to learn,' पूडना 'to ask,' दूसरा, &c., while in all these cases the Marathi has short ह and उ. Perhaps this weakening of the accent as regards previous ह and उ is due to the development of another accent in Hindi on the initial syllable. To such an accent as this might be attributed the almost universal change of अप and अच to ऐ and ओ that we have already noticed. The अ of the initial syllable, being accented, draws to itself the ए or च of the following and deprives it of its अ, in which cases the ए or च becomes ह or उ, and then the two form ऐ and ओ.

The Gujarati follows the Hindi completely, sometimes shortening the preceding vowels as in क्र्वो, स्वी, and क्रमळो 'delicate,' ' tender,' and dropping it as in सम्रो 'father-in-law' (Skr. अग्रदक'), and आंग्ळी 'finger' (Skr. अङ्कृतिका), sometimes preventing their being lengthened, as in उपज, उठवं, &c., and very often preserving them long, as in पूरो 'full,' शीक्तुं, शीक्यो, &c. The Panjabî also keeps the short vowel in such cases as उचार 'utterance,' उजाला ' light,' though a member of a double is dropped, and preserves the long in such cases as दीया or दीवा, जुआ, कींडा, पूरा, पूरी 'a cake,' &c. It has even fewer instances of shortening than the Hinds. The Sindhs has 331 'gambling,' हिओ 'a lamp,' पितल &c., but has such words as सतकु 'mourning,' कीहो 'a worm,' वीहो 'a roll of betel leaves,' Skr. वीटकः, पीतो 'drunk,' &c. In a great many words such as सकी 'dried,' वधी 'heard,' द्वतो 'touched,' दुधो 'milked,' the vowel of the preceding syllable is short; but that is due not to the accent on the last syllable but to the peculiarity of the Sindhi not to lengthen the preceding vowel even when a member of a double is dropped.

The Bangâlî is more like the Marâthî in this respect than like the Hindî. Thus we have भितर, H. भीतर 'in the interior;' गुका dry, H. स्ला; भिजा or भिगा 'wet,' H. भीजा or भीगा; किहा 'a worm,' H. कीहा; जुता 'shoes,' H. जूता; खिला 'a nail,' H. कीला; उपजन 'to be produced,' &c. It thus shortens ह and उ in the unaccented syllables like the Marâthî. The Oriyâ follows the Bangâlî, having जुहा, Skr. जुटक 'a knot of hair,' जुता 'shoes,' भिजा 'wet,' भितर 'in the interior,' &c.

All these languages, however, treat the unaccented of in words of three or more syllables as the Marathi and Hindi do.

In Marathi the termination of the oblique form of cases and that of the plural of neuter nouns in v are also accented. The reason why they bear the accent will be considered hereafter. The accent on the causal termination, in consequence of which the preceding vowels are shortened in the Hindî and the Gujarâtî, has already been mentioned. This also seems to be the same accent that we have been considering. Thus in G. Raga 'make him sew,' or waste 'make him wash,' the M being penultimate bears the accent and the final sq is dropped. Similarly in squerage by the general rule, the final vowel being accented the penultimate of is silent. It is because it is so, and the ag forms the conjunct of that the on looks as if emphasized just as the first अ of अइचन and करता is. In the Marâthî and other dialects also there is this accent in the case of the causal. and the preceding है and क are shortened; as in निजेन 'make him sleep,' निजव्णें 'to make one sleep,' from the original नीज 'sleep,' in करीव 'cause him to do,' करिव्णें or करव्णें 'to cause one to do,' &c. In the standard Hindi the a is dropped but still the way of pronunciation remains the same as it was when it existed. In दिखाना 'to show,' for instance, the en is pronounced as it would have been if the word had been दिखावना. And the forms with a exist in the Braj.

Compounds in the vernaculars, most of which belong to the Tatpurusha, Karmadhâraya, or Dvandva class have an accent on the penultimate or final of the last word, as in the H. प्रसंद 'a place where water is provided for passengers,' in which the first word पानी becomes पन, अमर्राई 'a forest of Mango-trees' in which the आ of आम is shortened, बुद्धांद 'a stable,' in which we have बुद्ध for घोडा, रन्तास 'harem' the रन् of which is a shortened form of रानी, and in the G. अवसुई 'half dead,' and the M. अवस्रण 'half a maund.' In the Marathâ आमराइ, रान्माण्स, काळ्यांत्र, the आ of the first syllable is short though not changed to आ; and in तिर्कांव्द 'an arrow and a bow,' the first word is तीर with the § shortened.

An unaccented initial vowel is dropped in the following instances:—
43. Skr. उपविश्व sit, Pr. उवहृद्ध, M. H. B. O. बैस, G. बेस, S. बिह.
Skr. उपविष्कः sat, Pr. उवहृदुओ, H. P. बैज, G. बेजे, S. बीजे.

Skr. अभ्यन्तर inside, H. भीतर, B. M. (dial.) भितर.

Skr. Styg a water-wheel, Pr. Steg ?, H. tee, M. tele.

Skr. अभ्यव्यानकम् to soak, M. भिज्ञणें, H. भीजेंनां, G. भिज्ञवं, B. भिजिवा.

Skr. एकस्थकम् in one place, Pr. एकहुआं, H. P. कहा, or इकहा.

Skr. इपरि above, Pr. इवरि, M. वर.

Skr. उत्मृष्टक; left, Pr. उच्छुहुओ, H. जुड़ा 'what is left after eating.'

Skr. उपाध्याय a preceptor, M. पाध्या, P. पाधे.

Skr. उत्दक:, Pr. उद्दको a bridegroom, H. P. द्ल्हा.

Of the two instances of this change in the Prâkrits set a pump-kin' exists in Hindî, and root in the form of the in M. and P. and of the in H. Medial d is dropped in Marâthî, Bangâlî, and Oriyâ in the word at, and the others enumerated before. It is dropped in the G. Hist for H. Hitl (see p. 134). This elision does not appear to be due to accent since according to our theory it must fall on the d in some at least of the cases. The change is due to the process of softening, since what takes place here is the simple dropping away of the close element of the diphthong.

We will now briefly notice the manner in which the hiatus caused by two vowels coming together in consequence of the elision of uninitial consonats in the Prakrits is avoided. We have seen that अ and s and अ and s are combined into ऐ and औ in some of the dialects, and into v and si in others.* Final unaccented si and si are dropped when preceded by g or 3 as in the words given in list No. 35, and unaccented 3 preceded by 34 is dropped in some of the vernaculars. as in list No. 36, and combined into ओ or ऊ in others.t Final इ is preserved or changed to vafter of in some cases, and combined with it to form in others.; Final accented on and s or s are preserved and a histus is tolerated; as in H. P. जुआ or जुआ for धूतक and S. P. पिड, माड, and the M. भाऊ for पितृक, मातृका, आतृक, &c. Sometimes यू or व् is inserted in such cases after इ and उ, as in H. दिया for दिआ 'a lamp,' and M. जुवा, H. जुवा for जूतक. The syllable अय formed in the Prakrits by interposing a q between s and s is changed to in modern Hindî as in the words in list No. 16 and to v in the Gujarâtî and the Sindhî. This latter was the older process; and hence even in the Prakrits we have केल for कयल, Skr. कदल, and तेरह, तेवीसा, तेत्तीसा for त्रयोदश, त्रयोविंशति, त्रयश्चिशत, &c. And in Hindî also we have v in at 'a plum' from aut for Skr. att, which must have existed in the Prakrits, though the form given by the Grammarians is alt for Though the Marathi does not, like the Hindi or Gujarati. now change state of or v, still as formerly observed, when in a state of

formation, it did form ए out of it, as in the instances there given, and in the neuter singular temination एं.* The termination नेर occurring at the end of the names of Gujarâtî and Marâthî towns such as चांपानेर, बड़नेर, संगमनेर, पिंपळनेर, &c., is derived from Pr. नगर for Skr. नगर 'town.' The syllables अया arising from अ and आ are also sometimes changed to ए, as in H. अंग्रेर or अंग्रेरा, M. अंग्रेर, Pr. अन्यगर, Skr. अन्यन्तार. After आ the ए is sometimes changed to ए and ए in the Hindî and Gujarâtî as in the instances in Nos. 18 and 20, and also in the Marâthî in the instance शेळी from Pr. छाअडिआ, Skr. छागडिका; but often आय and अया remain unchanged as in:

- 44. H. G. कायर timid, Pr. कायर, Skr. कातर.
- H. G. M. बाय a wound or blow, Pr. बाअ or बाय, Skr. बात.
- H. बाय wind, Pr. वाअ or वाय, Skr. वात.
- M. पाय the foot, Pr. पाञ or पाय, Skr. पाड.
- M. H. G. त्य a king, Pr. तुआ or त्या, Skr. तुजा.
- H. गया gone, G. गयो, Pr. गअओ or गयओ, Skr. गतक:
- G. शियाळो the cold season, S. शियारो, Pr. शीअआ(या)ढओ, Skr. शीतकाङ्क:
 - H. बरात, M. वरात a bridal procession, Skr. वर्यात्रा.

Sometimes the interposed η is so light that it is dropped and the vowels η and η or η and η and in rare cases η and η combine and form η as in:

- 45. B. पा, and B. G. घा for पाय and घाय in the above.
- M. आ of आला, Pr. आअअ, Skr. आगत.
- M. कुंभार a potter, Pr. कुम्भआर, Skr. कुम्भकार, and generally the termination कार as in स्वर्णकार 'a goldsmith' and others; also M. अंधार for अंधकार.
 - M. आर a Boa constrictor, Pr. अअअर, Skr. अजार.
 - G. वार्ड a promise, Pr. वञ्जाञं, Skr. वचनकम्.

In some cases q is inserted instead of u to prevent the hiatus, as in M. राव for राज 'a king'; H. पाव for पाज 'the foot;' M. साव in सावकी for छाज in छाया; कावरा for Pr. काजरज, Skr. कातरक; काव in कावळा for Pr. काज from Skr. काक; and a few others. In the principal Prakrit also we find पीवल्ड for Skr. पीतल्कम् 'yellow,' from which we have the Marathi पिवळ, and बोर mentioned above.

A medial et is dropped after হ, ए, or হ short or long, as in M. হীছ for Pr. হিসাম 'husband's brother'; G. पीछं, H. पीछा 'yellow' for Pr. বিভাকা, Skr. पीतल्कम; M. शिळं 'stale', II. सीला 'damp,' 'cool,' Pr. सीअलओ, Skr. शीतल्कम; M. वेण 'a throe,' Pr. वेअणा, Skr. वेदना; M. जूळ or जुळे 'twins,' Pr. जुआलं or जुआलओ, Skr. युगलम or युगलकम. Sometimes with the previous ह, it forms ए; that is a vowel partaking of the character of both is substituted for them; as in the S. नेलु 'a fetter,' Pr. निअलो, Skr. निगतः; H. P. नेले or नेरे 'near,' Pr. निअले, Skr. निकटे; M. ग्रेंडी 'the tuft of hair on the head,' Pr. सिहण्डिआ, Skr. शिल्लिक्स, the ह being softened to अ; H. बेना 'a fan,' Pr. विआणअ, Skr. व्याजनक नेले has the form नीले also in which case आ is simply dropped and the vowel rendered long as in the M. दीर. The long आ is preserved and changes the preceding ह to इय or य as in the H. सियार or स्यार 'jackal,' for Pr. सिआल, Skr. सृगाल, याह 'marriage,' for Pr. विआह, Skr. विवाह, &c.

We will now proceed to the consideration of consonantal changes; and first of those due to the process of softening. The semivowel ए is often softened to इ. In the Prâkits ब्यूजन 'a fan' becomes विश्रण which is preserved in the H. बेना, and स्त्यान is changed to शीण This word does not occur in the vernaculars, but the Hindi and Marathi have got धिजना, and धिजणे 'to congeal' from the verb स्त्यायते, in which ए। is softened to इ. From ब्यूजन or rather such a word as ब्यूजन we have by a similar softening M. विज्ञणा, H. बिजना, G. विज्ञणो, S. विविश्व. More modern instances are:

- 46. H. P. सलाई, P. सळाई, Pr. सलाया, M. सळई a pencil, probe, Skr. शलाका
- B. शेल a dart, Skr. श्रह्य; the य being changed to इ is transferred to the preceding syllable.
 - H. P. जनेत a bridal procession, Skr. जन्ययात्रा.
 - H. झाई shadow, P. छाइया, Skr. छाया
 - H. P. बितीत passed, Skr व्यतीत.
- H. P. विथा pain, Skr. व्यथा; विवहार business, performance, Skr. व्यवहार.
 - H. P. किल्लाण welfare, Skr. कत्याण, बिदिना learning, Skr. विचा.
 - P. पतीज faith, belief, Skr प्रत्यय.
 - H. प्रतिछ visible, Skr. प्रत्यक्ष.

The Panjabi always dissolves a Sanskrit q contained in a conjunct into q+q, or sometimes into q simply, while the Hindi often resorts to the latter change. The other languages do not seem to possess many instances of this process. The change of q or q to q from rely noticed is also due to this softening process.

In the Prakrits a is dissolved into a in groff for Skr. safe, gat for tat, &c. In Hindi we have get in the sense of 'a slight resemblance,' a remote sound of the property possessed by another. But another derivative from the word exists in the form of get or yet in that language, and of yet or yet in Marathi. From get H. has the 'to sleep,' P. the, G. get, and B. and O. g or gg. g occurs in the forms of the get, M. gou, &c., for Pr. gen, Skr. gen, 'two-fold;' and get by a further dissolution becomes the P. gen 'to go,' H. P. gen, G. ata 'instantly, pr. part., M. at 'at present'.

More modern instances are:-

47. H. P. परोस to serve food, Skr. परिवेष.

H. P. M. पहोसी a neighbour, Skr. प्रतिवेशी.

H. अंगोछा a towel, Skr. अङ्गवन्त्र.

H. पतोह son's wife, Pr. पुत्तवहू, Skr. पुत्रवधू.

H. P. जनेऊ, Pr. जण्णोवीअ, Skr. यशोपवीत.

The q and q to which q and म are softened are similarly changed to 3 or ओ.

H. P. बहनोई sister's husband, Pr. बहिनीवई, Skr. भगिनीपति.

H. P. भादो name of a month, Pr. भद्दञ, Skr. भादपद.

H. P. G. खो-[ना-णा-वं] to lose, Pr. खव, Skr. क्षप.

H. P. B. z to touch, Pr. Ba, or ga, Skr. gq.

H. अनोखां unexpected, Pr. अणवेक्ख-[अ], Skr. अनपेक्ष-[क].

H. नोता, P. नेउंदा, G. नोतरं, invitation, Ap. निवंत्त-[उ] or निवंत्र-[उ], Skr. निमन्त्र-[क:]. H. has नेवता also.

H. पुन्यों the full-moon day, Ap. पुण्णिवां, Skr. पूर्णिमा.

H. सोंह (of सोंहीं) face to face, Ap. संबंह, Skr. संग्रख.

M. सोंप to entrust, Ap. सवंप्प, Skr. समर्प.

It will be seen that the sin or s to which a is softened often prevails over the preceding and following vowels, as in the words unit and usin. The change of state of fromerly noticed I consider as due to the elision of the final state of a consequent upon an accent on the first syllable, though afterwards there is a softening of the a to s. But in the above instances the change of a to sin or s is due to a weak pronunciation or softening alone. The diphthong sin requires, as I have several times observed, a passage from one vocal position immediately to another which involves energetic utterance. The Pâli, Prâkrit, Gujarâti, and Sindhî substitute for it, viz., sin is due to

assimilation. The Hindî si and the corresponding Gujarâti si, therefore, are not due to a weakening of the z; but the si in the above instances is, since it is not a substitute for si and consequently does not arise from an assimilation of the two sounds, involved in that diphthong.

The following are still more modern instances:-

- 48. H. P. प्रमेसर God, Skr. प्रमेशर.
 - M. H. P. सर or मूर a musical note, Skr. स्वर.
 - H. P. HWIS nature, Skr Fawig.
 - P. सआमी master, Skr. स्वामी

In this manner the Panjabis always pronounce the q of Sanskrit words and to a smaller extent the Hindi people. The Marathi has not many instances of this softening of q.

In the Pråkrits there are according to the grammarians but three instances of the change of \mp to the sonant π . Of these π -spa for Skr. π -spa occurs in the vernaculars.* With these exceptions the initial \mp remains unchanged and the medial is dropped. But the vernaculars, adopting pure Sanskrit words again and again in the course of their history, have changed them as we have seen or changed the Pråkrit words that came down to them in virtue of the general or special laws which regulate the development of human speech. Thus, though they did not receive more words with a softened \mp from the Pråkrits they have now come to possess a good many. Thus:—

- 49. M. सगळा, P. सगरा, सगला, or सगल, H. सगल or सगला, G. सगळो all or whole, Skr सकल or सकलक:.
- M. ब्राळा, H. P. बगला, G बगलो, S. बगो, B. O. बग, Skr. बक or बकक: a crane.
 - M. H. P. G. प्राट or प्राट, S. प्रवट्ट visible, manifest, Skr. प्रकट.
- H. P. G. B. काग, S. कांगु a crow, Skr. काक: H. has कौवा or कीआ from Pr. काअ with the suffix क: i. e., ओ, M काव, of कावळा, also.
- H. लोग, S. लोगु or लोकु people, the world, Skr. लोक:. The others have लोक.
- H. P. साग, S. सागु greens, culinary herbs, Skr. जाक:. The others have जाक.
- H. सगुन, P. सगन, G. सगन or ज्ञान, S. सगुण, B. अगुन an auspicious omen, Skr. अकुन:, M. अकुन. S. has सोण, also from the Pr. सज्जो.
 - H. P. सोग, S. सोग grief, Skr. शोक:. The others have शोक.

- H. P. विंगा crooked, Pr. वङ्कुअ, Skr. वक्रक. The others have वांक or बांक.
- P. सगरांद passage of the sun into a sign, Skr. संक्रान्ति. The others have. संक्रांत.
- P. संगोच contraction, bashfulness, Skr. संकोच; H. सकोच or सङ्गच M. संकोक. प्

च is softened to the sonant ज as in the following:-

50, H. सजी a needle, Skr. सचि; also 'a tailor' from Skr. सचिक.

H. G. मूज- or सूझ-[ना and वुं | to be suggested, Skr सूच्य.

H. कुंजी a key, Skr. कुञ्चिका.

P. S. पंज five, Skr. पञ्च; P मंजी a small bedstead, Skr मञ्चिका. There are not many instances of this process.

The Prakrits change an uninitial z to z when preceded by a vowel; and the vernaculars have preserved the words so changed. For instance:—

51. Skr. घटक: a jar, M. H. घडा, G. S. घडो, H. P. घडा.

Skr. घट to happen, to occur, to forge, to fashion, M. S. G. घड-[जॅ-जु-चुं], H. P. घड़-[ना].

Skr. घटिका a measure of time, M. G. S. O. B. घडी, H. P. घडी.

Skr. घोटक: a horse, M. O. B. घोडा, G. S. घोडो, H. P. घोडा.

Skr. तट a bank, M. तड, O. तडा, S. तडो in an altered sense

Skr. कपाट a door, M. कवाड, H. किवाड, G. कमाड.

Skr. कर bitter, M. कडु, G. कडबुं, H. कडुवा, S. कडो.

Skr. कटि waist, M. कड, G. केड.

Skr. कटकम a bracelet, M. कहें, H. O. कडा, G. कडं.

Skr. रद or आरद to cry, M. रह in रहणें or आरहणें, G. S. रह-[बूं-णु]

Skr. शाटिका a garment, M. G. साडी, H. P. माडी, S. शाइही, B. O. शाडी.

Skr. वट: the Banyan tree, M. G. वड, H. P. बड़, S. बड़.

Skr. त्रीट in त्रीटयित to snap, तोड in M. तोडणें, G. तोडवुं, H. P. तोड़ना, S. तोडण.

Skr. स्कोट in स्कोटयित to break, कोड in M. कोडणें.

Skr. मुकूट or मुकुटक a crown, H. P. मोडा, B. O. मनड.

Skr. gas a kind of tree, M. gs.

Skr. पटोल a kind of cucumber, M. पहबळ, H. परबळ, G. परबळ.

Skr. कीटक: a worm, M. किहा, G. किहो, H. कीहा.

The instances in which the cerebral ξ resulting from an original ξ has been softened in the Prakrits to ξ have been preserved by the vernaculars. The following are some of these:--

52. पह for Pr. पहि, Skr. प्रति, in such words as H. G. पहोसी a neighbour, M. पहोशी, Skr. प्रतिवेशी; M. पहसाद, Skr. प्रतिशब्द echo; M. पहसें, Skr. प्रतिश्वाय cold, catarrh, and also in M. पहछाया reflection, and पहताळा proof; G. पहसाळ, Skr. प्रतिशाखा a penthouse; G. पहचा, Skr. प्रतिशास echo or resonance; हरहा or हरही and बेहहा as in Table 29; M. पहें forward, so forth, Pr. पहुडि, Skr. प्रभृति; पह of M. पहणें, G. पहचुं. П. पहना to fall, Pr. पह, Skr. पत् ; महें M. a corpse from Pr. महअं, Skr. मृतकम; उस्लाहना to dig out, to root out, from the Skr. उत्लात.

Of the change of a to a there are some instances in the Sauraseni, and corresponding to these we have a few in the Panjabi, Sindhi, and Gujarati, thus:—

53. P. जीउंदा 'living,' Saur. pres. part. जीवन्दो, Skr. जीवन्त; दा of the present participle of roots, as करदा masc. 'doing,' आखदा masc. 'saying' &c. A modern instance is सगरांद for the Sanskrit संकान्ति. The Sindhi preserves the Sauraseni present participial affix न्दो or अन्दो sometimes changed to इन्दो throughout, as in इलन्दो 'moving,' करीन्दो 'doing,' इन्दो 'being,' &c. The same change is observable in other words also as in सांदि for Sanskrit आन्ति. The Gujarâti has a few instances of the Sauraseni past passive participle in द as in सांदे 'eaten,' पीदं 'drunk,' कींद् 'done,' &c. Marâthî has दॉद for Skr. तुन्द 'belly,' and Gujarâtî दुंद.

54. Skr. वापी a well, Pr. बावी, M. बाव, G. H. बाव in बावही, S. वाइ, P. बाड in बावही.

Skr. कूपक: a well, Pr. कूवो. G. कुवो, H. कुआ, S. कुहो for कुओ.

Skr. कपाट a door, Pr. कवाड, M. कवाड, H. किवाड, G. कमाड.

Skr. प्राप to reach, attain, Pr. पाव, M. पाव (in पावणें), G. पाम-[बुं], H. पा-[ना], S. पाइ-[खु], B. पाओ-[ना].

Skr. प्रस्थाप to send, Pr. पृद्वाव, M. पाठव-[णैं], old H. पृठव-[ना], H. पृठा-[ना], S. पृठ-[शु].

Skr. छुप् to touch, Pr. छिव or छुव, M. शिव-[णें], H. P. B. छू-[ना &c.], O. छुं or छुंद, S. छुअ-[णु] or छुद्-[णु].

Skr. ताप to heat, Pr. ताव, M. G. H. ताव-[णॅ-वूं-ना], S. ताइ-[णु].

Skr. नापित a barber, Pr. नाविअ or न्हाविअ, M. न्हावी, G. नावी, H. S. नाई.

Skr. गोपाल-लिक a cowherd, Pr. गोवाल-लिअ, M. गोवळा, M. G. गवळी. G. गोवाळियो, H. गोवाली, ग्वाल (=गोआल), ग्वाली, S. गवार.

Skr. कच्छपः a tortoise, Pr. कच्छवो, H. कङ्भा, S. कछउं, कछं, M. कासव. Skr. सपत्नी a fellow-wife, Pr. सवत्ती, M. सवत, H. सौत.

Skr. भाद्रपद name of the sixth month, Pr भद्रवञ्ज, M. भाद्रवा, H. भादो, S. बड़ो.

The lingual surd aspirate ξ is, it will be remembered, changed in the Prâkrits to ξ , and the vernaculars, especially the lfindî, have preserved the instances and even added to them, as will be seen from the following:—

55. Skr. 93 to read, Pr. 93, M. H. P. S. 93 in 930-71-93. In H. and P., however, the pronunciation of 3 is somewhat different from what it is in M., and in S. it sounds like the conjunct 33.

Skr. पीठक a stool, Pr. पीठअ, H. पीढा.

Skr. पीठिका a series (of generations), Pr. पीढिआ, II. पीढी, M. पिढी, G. पेढी.

Skr. मठ or मठिका a small temple, Pr. मद, H. P. मदी, old M. मद.

Skr. दंश, Pr. दाडा, H. डाड, M. G. दाड.

Similarly we have H. Eggi 'to roll' from Skr. Egg. In the word the the ti does not represent g but g to which that Skr. conjunct must by the general rules, be reduced in the Prâkrits. One of the two z is dropped and the preceding vowel lengthened according to a general rule in the vernaculars to be hereafter noticed. The remaining z is then softened to z. There are other instances of the same change in our dialects.

56. वेद of M. वेदणें, S. वेड्हणु, बेद of H. बेदना, बेह of B. वेडन 'to surrround' from Skr. वेष्ट through Pr. वेद. The Gujarâtî, however, has वीटवुं.

काद of M. कादणें, G. कादबं, H. कादना; कद of S. कदणु, B. काह 'to draw out,' from Pr. कह, Skr. कृष्ट.

कूद of H. कूदना to mourn, from Pr. कुद्द, Skr. कुष्ट. Even here the Gujarati has कृदवुं.

H. कोद, M. G. कोड, S. कोड्ह white leprosy, from Skr. कुष्ट through Pr. कोट्ट.

The z arising from the Sanskrit য় is also similarly changed to z. M. মতে, H. রীজা, &c., from the Skr. মিখিত as in Table 29. M. कदणें to boil, कादा decoction, कदी curry, कदई a cauldron, G. कदबं, कादो, &c., H. कदना, कादा, कदी, &c., all from the Skr. root कथ्

We have noticed the change of ₹ to ₹ and ♂ and of ₹ to ₹ in the Prâkrits. Not only have the vernaculars preserved the words so changed, but have carried on the process to such an extent that ₹ and ₹ have become mutually interchangeable in some of them, especially the Hindi.

57. Skr. तडाग a tank, Pr. तलाञ, M. G. तळाव, M. तळॅ, H. तलाव, S. B. तलाड.

Skr. दाहिम pomegranate, Pr. दालिम, M. डालिंब, G. दाळम, H. B. डालिम, H. दालिम.

Skr. गुइ molasses, Pr. गुइ, M. गुळ.

Skr. पीड of पांडयति to torment, to twist. Pr. पीछ, M. पिळणें, G. पीळवुं, H. पिछना, &c.

The numerals with their \(\xi \) changed in the Pr\(\xi \) krits to \(\xi \) through an intermediate \(\xi \) have come down to the vernaculars:—

Pr. H. P. S. Skr. M. G. Ο. В. यारां or यारहं) **58. एकादश** एआरह ग्यारह अकरा अग्यार एगार एगार गिआरां कारहं∫ वारा बारहं द्वादश वारह चारह वारा बार वार बार त्रयोदश तेरह तेरह तेरां तेरह तेरा तेर तेर तेर चौदां चतुर्देश चोदह चेंहिं चौदा चोद चौढ चडदह पञ्चदश पण्णरह पंद्रह पंदरां पंद्रहें-पंध्रां पंधरा पंधर पंधर पनेर सोलह सोलह सोलां सोरहं सोळा सोळ घोहल घोल पोडश सतारां सप्तर्श सत्तरह सत्रह सत्रहं सतरा सत्तर सतर सतेर अष्टादश अञ्चारह अठारह अठारां अडहं अठरा अदार अठर आठार

The other Prakit words दोहल and कलम्ब in which the दू of the Sanskrit दोहद and कदम्ब is changed to ल are preserved in Marathi in the forms of डोहाळा and कळंब. Similarly हरिद्रा and अंगार with the z so changed appear in the vernaculars as shown before.*

The following are later instances:---

59. B. कोल, G. खोळो, Skr. कोड, lap; B. चुल, Skr. चूहा lock of hair; H. मरा for Pr. मडअ, Skr. मृतक a corpse; H. प्रछाई, Pr. पांडेछाआ, Skr. प्रतिच्छाया; H. बेराना or बिराना, Skr. विहम्बन mocking; G. वाळवं, from Skr. वार to resist; G. पालवं from Skr. पार to tide over; H. बहुद्धना from Skr. विहरण to amuse one's self; S. निअर, नेर, or नेछ fetters, from Skr. निग्रह; H. P. नेरे, Pr. निअहे, Skr. निकटे near.

Though the change of z to z does not involve softening but must be considered to be due to a predilection for the sound, it would be convenient to notice it here. There is only one instance of this change given by Hemachandra as existing in the Mahârâshṭrî, but there are a great many in the vernaculars, so that this appears to be a peculiarly modern process. The Hindî and the Sindhî have, however, of all the vernaculars, the largest number of examples, the rest presenting but a few stray ones. Sometimes both the forms with z and z are in use, especially in the Hindî.

- 60. Skr. स्थूड, Pr. थोर, M. थोर large, great.
- H. G. हंगर, M. G. नांगर, S. हंगर, Skr. हाङ्ग्छ a plough, or anchor.
- H. कौर or कौड, Skr. कवड a morsel; धूर or धूड, Skr. धूडि dust; सियार, Skr. गृगाड, a jackal; सराहना, Pr. सलाह, Skr. श्लाच् to praise; दुलार, Skr. दुलंड a spoilt child; सिकरी, Skr. गृह्वला a chain; बारना, Skr. ज्वाल (caus. of ज्वल) to burn; सांवरो Braj for सांवला, Skr. ज्ञानलक dark.
- S. सांविरो, Skr. इयामखकः dark; पिषिर, Skr. पिष्पलः a certain tree; पित्रणु, Skr. प्रज्वल to light or burn; प्रिरणु, Skr. प्रगल to melt; हर. Skr. हलः a plough, &c.
- G. अटारी, Skr. अट्टालिका a high mansion; M. क्षेत्ररी or सांत्ररी, Skr. क्षाल्मकी the silk cotton tree.

Notable instances of the interchangeableness of इ, र and ठ are afforded by the causal forms of verbal roots in some of the vernaculars. In Hindî the causal of roots ending in a vowel is formed by inserting ट्र between it and the termination आ which is the remnant of the old Prakrit आव preserved in the Braj and other dialects; as पिटाना caus of पी 'to drink,' खिटाना of खा 'to eat,' दिखाना of दे 'to give,' धुटाना of चो 'to wash,' &c. In Gujaratî we have इ for द as खवादचुं 'to cause to eat,' देवादचुं 'to cause to give,' 'न्हवादचुं', to cause to bathe,' 'wash,' &c. Sometimes instead of इ, र is optionally used as in खवरावचुं 'to cause to eat,' दीहीवरावचुं 'to threaten,' &c. But in Sindhî र always represents the Hindî द and the Gujaratî इ, as in दिआरण 'to cause to give,' धुआरण 'to cause to wash,' वेहारण 'to cause to sit.' What the origin is of the इ of these forms, which is more primitive than the द and र to which it was afterwards changed, will be considered in the next lecture.

Another peculiarly vernacular process, of which Hemachandra gives only one instance, is the transformation of \overline{q} into \overline{q} in which \overline{q} is deprived of its nasal element, and the complete contact of the vocal organs avoided. Thus:—

61. Skr. निम्ब a certain tree, Pr. टिस्ब or निस्ब, M. दिंब.

M. छवणे to bow, Skr. नमन; छोणी butter, Skr. नवनीत; H. छोटना to return, Skr. निवर्त, Pr. निवट्ट; G. छीछ blue, Skr. नीछ; P. छछर, a cocoanut, Skr. नारीकेळ, H. नारियेळ.

But there are more instances of the opposite process in the Prâkṛits; and these have come down to the vernaculars.

62. M. G. नांगर a plough or anchor, P. नद्गळ or ळङ्गळ; M. निरळ, S. निराडू, Pr. निडाल or णडाळ, Skr. ललाट forhead. The H. नन salt, Skr. लवा, is a later instance.

The sibilant \mathbf{H} whether original or derived from the Sanskrit \mathbf{H} or \mathbf{H} is in a few cases changed to \mathbf{H} in the Pråkrits. For \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{H} is the have \mathbf{H} are for \mathbf{H} and for \mathbf{H} in the have come down to the vernaculars, and are found in one or other of them. The various forms of the numerals having the word \mathbf{H} at the end have been given above. Of the other words (\mathbf{H} has \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{H} is and \mathbf{H} is and \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{H} is and \mathbf{H} is and \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{H} is and \mathbf{H} is and \mathbf{H} is and \mathbf{H} is and \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{H} is an a wider range to this process, as will be seen from the following:—

- 63. S. बह or बही chaff, Skr. बुस, M. भुसा-
- S. बिह lotus-stalk, Skr. बिस, M. भिसें.
- S. मेंहि a buffalo, Skr. महीषी, M. होस.
- S. agy to sit, Skr. sylan, M. akvi, G. akaj.
- S. वेसाह trust, Skr. विश्वास.
- P. ale, S. ale twenty, Skr. ast-[a], M. ale.
- P. विद् or विस, S. विद् or विस् poison, Skr. विष, M विस
- P. सहरा, S. सहरो, Skr. इवग्रुर, M. सासरा father-in-law.
- P. fast thirst, Skr. gui.
- P. फाहा or फाही, S. फाहो or फाही a snare, noose, Skr. पात्र, M फांस.

Gujarâtîs, especially of the uneducated classes, pretty freely pronounce स् as ह, as in हमज्दुं for समज्दुं 'to understand,' बरह for दरस 'a year,' हाचुं for सार्चुं 'true,' &c., but the forms with स are also in use. The other dialects do not seem to have many instances of this change. The termination स of verbs of the future tense is in several dialects of the Hindi changed to ह as in करिंद्दे, G. करसे 'he will do.'

The change of \overline{q} to \overline{q} seems to be an almost entirely later process of which there are scarcely any instances in the Pråkrits. It is seen in full operation in the Apabhranisa.

64. Skr. नाम name, M. नांव, H. नाओं, old H. नाओं, also नाम, P. नांडं, S नांडं also नाम and नाळो.

Skr. दाम a tying rope, M. दावें, P. दांच, H. दांव in दांवरी.

Skr. ग्राम a village, M. H. गांव, H. गाम, also; S. गांड, गासु.

Skr. भ्रमण to turn round, M. भोंवणं, P. भोंणा, S. भोंणणु; from the same root, M. भोंवळ, H. भंवर, S. भोंरी.

Skr. नमन, M. छवणें, H. नवना-नौला-नेवना.

Skr. अवनमन to bow down, M. ओणंवणें.

Skr. आयमन to rinse the mouth, M. आंचवणे, H. अंचवना, also अचाना.

Skr. विश्राम rest, M. विसांवा, S. वेसांहि,

Skr. इयापक dark-complexioned, M सांवळा, H. सांवळा-रा, P. सांवळा, and सामळा, S सांविळो

Skr. जामातृक son-in-law, M. जांवई, but H. जमाई, P. जवाइ or जमाइ, Skr. उद्दमन rising, M. उमवणें, but P. उग्ममणा.

Skr. आमलक a kind of myrobalans, M. P. आंवळा, H P. आंवळा. P आमला also, S. आंडरो

Skr. सामन्त a chief, M H सावंत.

Skr. चामर n a sort of fly-brush, M चौरी, H. P चवंर, S. चंबर.

Skr. कमल a lotus, H. P कंवल, M कमळ, S. कंवलु

Skr. गमन caus. spending, wasting, H. गंवाना, P. गवाडणा, S. गंवाहणु but M. गमवर्ण.

Skr. अमर a bee, H. भंवरा or भारा, P. भौर or भारा, S. भोर.

Skr. पञ्चम fifth, M. पांचवा, II. पांचवां, P. पंजवां, &c., and other ordinals.

Skr. कोम्छ delicate, tender, M. कॉवळा.

Skr. जमन eating, M. जेवण, H. जेवन, P. जेंडणा

Skr. क्रेंम mud, H. कांदो, G. कादन.

From the fact that the Marâthî, Hindî, Sindhî, and Panjâbî contain many instances of this change it is to be gathered that though it does not appear in the Prâkrits proper it must have begun very early before the vernaculars received their distinctive forms and were isolated from each other. Of all the dialects the Marâthî alone has preserved the \mp of this \mp in most cases; the Hindî, and the others having dropped it or softened it to \mp in a good many instances; while the Gujarâtî changes it back again to \mp . Thus, such of the above words as exist in Gujarâtî have the following forms:—

65. नाम name.

गाम a village.

भाव to turn round, भारी giddiness,

corresponding to M. भोवळ. चमरी a fly-brush.

कमळ a lotus.

गमावर्तुं wasting.
विसामो rest.
सामळो dark-complexioned.
जमाइ son-in-law.
आमळा myrobalans.
अभरो a bee.
पांचमो, सातमो, &c., fifth, seventh, &c.
इमळो delicate, tender.

The reason why I consider this as a change of a back to \mathbf{H} , and not a preservation of the original Sanskrit and Prakrit \mathbf{H} , is that the Gujaratî changes \mathbf{H} to \mathbf{H} in other cases where there is no question as to the \mathbf{H} (or \mathbf{H}) being the original sound. Thus:—

- 66. G. कमाइ a door, H. किवाइ, M. कवाइ, Pr. कवाइ, Skr. कपाट;
- G. शिसम blackwood, M. शिसवा, Pr. सिंसव, Skr. शिशप.
- G. पामवुं to attain, M पावणें, Pr. पाव, Skr. पाप.
- G. धाम in दोडधाम running, Skr. धाव्.

Where in Marathi we have a or a the Gujarati has in some cases म as in G. मुक्की 'a blow,' M. बुक्की, G. उस्म 'a place of residence,' M उत्त, derived in some way from Skr स्थाप. There are traces of this change in some of the other dialects too, as in S P. मिनत, B. मिनति, corresponding to M. बिनंति, H. बिनति, Pr. विण्णति, Skr. बिक्कि 'solicitation;' B. काछिम, Pr. कच्छव, Skr. कच्छप 'a tortoise;' H. धीमर, Skr. धीवर 'a fisherman;' old M. मात, G. बात, Skr. बाता 'news;' B. मुछ, H. पुंछ-[ना], Skr. प्रोव्य 'to wipe away.' This phenomenon of the change of a to म the vernaculars, and especially the Gujarati, have inherited from the Prâkıîts. Of the words so changed the Gujarati has preserved समर्थ 'a dream,' the Prâkıît form being सिमिण or समिण, and some of the others, नीम 'kind of tree' This last is नीप in Sanskrit; but probably there was an intermediate form नीव.

The vernaculars have thrown away the aspiration of hard and soft aspirate mutes in a good many cases. Thus:—

67.	M	शीक	learn,	$\mathbf{Pr.}$	सिक्ख,	H सीख.
	M.	भीक	beggary,	Pr.	भिक्खा,	H. भीख.
	M.	सुक्रणें	to dry,	Pr.	सक or सक्स,	H. मूखना.
	M.	भूक	hunger,	$\mathbf{Pr.}$	बुहुक्खा,	H. भूख.
	M	हात	hand,	$\mathbf{Pr.}$	हत्थ,	H. हाथ.
	M.	हत्ती	elephant,	$\mathbf{Pr}.$	इ त्थी,	${f H}$ हाथी \cdot
	G.	बीनो	afraid,	$\mathbf{Pr.}$	भीदो or भीओ,	M. भ्याला.
	G.	बडाई	greatness from	Pr.	वडू,	H. वढाई.
	G.	बगाइबुं	to spoil,	Pr.	बिंघड,	M. बिघडणें.
		_	S.	सुक्रशु	to dry.	
			S.	बीख	beggary.	

hunger. S. बुख Pr. पोरियञा, H. M. पोथी. B. gift a book, H. M., &c पर Pr. 93, B. qg to learn. M. वाद-[जी], H. बाद-दब-[ना]. Pr. वड्ड, B. are to grow, M. वेद-[णें], H. बेद-[ना], &c. B. वेड to surround, Pr. वेद. M. पाथर, H. पत्थर or पाथर. Pr. पत्थर. B. पातर stone, Pr. पिट्र or पृद्र, H. पीठ, M. पाठ. B. Te back, S. P. साध. Pr. सहा, B. साद wish, B. ग्रुक (in ग्रुकान) to dry, Pr. सुक्ख or सुक, H. सूखना B. काड (in काडिया) to take out, Pr. कह, H. M. &c. काढ.

B. पुद्धर a pond, Pr. पुत्रखरिणी

And in such forms as प्राइड 'worn' from Pr. परिहाल, नाइते 'for bathing' from Pr. नहां, or नहां and हाइन 'to the right hand,' Pr. हाहिल, the aspirate ह is dropped, so that the Bangâlî has, in a large number of instances, thus weakened the pronunciation of aspirated sounds. In the instances given from Marâthî, it will be seen that the letters other than those which are softened are aspirates; hence the weakening consists simply in dropping the heavy breath in one of two aspirated sounds as in the case of the Sindhî बाद and हात. The aspirates are preserved when occurring singly, which is not the case in Bangâlî. Hence Banglâlî utterance is the weakest, and the Hindî and Panjâbî the strongest.

The Marathi reduces a Sanskrit or Prakrit & invariably to 4, and in this respect differs from all its cognate dialects. Thus:—

68. Skr. इक्ष sugarcane, Pr. उच्छ, M. जत्त. The H. has देख or जल.

Skr. मत्स्य fish, Pr. मच्छ, H. माछ, M. मासा.

Skr. वत्स a calf, Pr. वच्छ, H. बाह, M. वास in वासरूं.

Skr. श्रुरिका a knife, Pr. गुरिआ, H. ग्रुरी, M. सरी.

Skr. मक्षिका a fly, Pr. मच्छिआ, H. माछी, M. माशी-

Skr. पृच्छ to ask, Pr. पुच्छ, H. पुछना, M. पुसर्णे.

Skr. क्टउप a tortoise, Pr. क्टउन, H. क्युआ, M. कासन

Skr. कुक्षि a side of the abdomen, Pr. कुच्छि, M. कूस. The H. has कोख.

Skr. क्षेत्र a field, Pr. छत, M. श्रेत. But H. has खेत.

Skr. छुप् to touch, Pr. छुव, H. छू-[ना], M. श्विव-[जॅ].

Skr. छाया shade, Pr. छाआ, H. छांव, M. सार्व-[जी].

Skr. गुच्छ a bunch, Pr. गोच्छ, H. गोच्छा, M. घोंस.

Skr. इस्सद्ग lap, Pr. ओच्छद्ग, M. ओसंग

Skr. ज्ञानकी a she-goat, Pr. ज्ञाभली or ज़ाली, H. छेरी, M. बेळी.

Skr. श्लीण worn out, wasted, Pr. जीण, M. शीण-[णें].

Skr. श्रुण a festival, Pr. द्रुण, M. सण.

According to a general rule in Marâthî म् is changed to श् when followed by the palatal vowel इ or ए. This change of इ to म in this dialect is to be accounted for by the fact that the vocal organs of the Marâthâs have a predilection for the dento-palatals, च, छ, ज, झ to which the Sanskrit palatals are in almost all cases reduced by them except when they are followed by इ, ए, or ए. The Sanskrit छ therefore becoming the dento-palatal इ passes into म which differs from it only in the contact of the vocal organs being somewhat less incomplete. The only instances in the Prâkrits in which the change appears to be the same as that we have been considering are उसनो for उर्देशों and उसभो for उरदेशों.

I have already given instances of the many words with their uninitial consonants dropped which the vernaculars have inherited from the Prâkrits, and shown what further changes they have undergone. This process of elision has not been continued by any of the vernaculars unless we consider the disappearance of uninitial a which is very general in Hindî, Sindhî, and Bangâlî to be an instance of the process.

69. B. H. दिया, S डिओ lamp, Pr दीवओ, Skr. दीपक:, M. दिवा.

H नया, S. नओं new, Pr. नवओ, M. नवा.

H. जी, S. जीउ life, Pr. जीओ, Skr. जीव:, M. जीव-

H. S. नाई, B. नायि a barber, Pr. नाविअ or न्हाविअ, Skr. नापित, M. न्हावी.

H. कञ्चआ, S. कछ के tortoise, pr. कच्छवओ, Skr. कच्छपक:, M. कांसव.

H. ন্-[লা], S. নুজ-[খু], B. ন্তা (ন্তায়া s.) to touch, Pr. ন্তিব or নুব, Skr. দুদ, M. নিব-[লা].

H. पा-[ना], S. पाइ-[जु], Pr. पाव, Skr. प्राप, M. पाव-[जें].

H. कुआ, S. कुहो for कुओ, B. कुया a well, Pr. कुवओ, Skr. क्पक:, G. कुवो

H. ग्वाड=गोआड, B. गोयाहा a cowherd, Pr. गोवाडअ, Skr. गोपाडक, M. गोवळा

H. ब्याह = विभाह, S. विहार marriage, Skr. विवाह.

H. पठा-[ना], S. पठ-[खु], B. पाठाइ-[वा] to send, Pr. पृशुव, Skr. प्रस्थाप, M. पाठव.

H. ता-[ना], S. ताइ-[णु] to heat, Pr. ताव, Skr. ताप, M. ताव-[णे].

H. दुआर, B. दोयार door, Pr. दुवार or दुआर, Skr. द्वार.

B. दुइ two, Pr. दुवे, Skr. हो.

- B. नय nine, Skr. नव, M. नव, H. नौ.
- B. श्रेगाला moss, Skr. श्रेनाल, H. श्रेनाल.

This elision of a appears also in the Hindi causal forms such as उठाना, चलाना, जलाना, in which the आ only of the Prakeit or Marathî termination and or and is retained. The whole termination appears in the Braj and other dialects and in old books, sometimes in the form of 37. The Sindhi causal termination is भाइ as in 本信可 'to cause to do,' व्याद्वय 'to cause to increase.' The g here as well as in some of the Sindhî words given above represents the which according to the Prâkrit rule takes the place of the dropped q, and is, as shown before, changed to win the other vernaculars. The Sindhi z is a shortened form of this v. The termination 345 appears sometimes in its more original form of সাস as in ব্যাস্থা ' to cause to take.' The Bangali too forms its causal by adding आह as in पराहतेले 'is causing to be held;' but the इ here seems to be an euphonic addition, which even in their primitive form the verbs take, as in परिते 'is holding,' so that the व is here dropped and the vowel of combined with the preceding as in the Hindî. In the speech of the Maratha lower classes of Southern Konkan q is very often elided, and the causal termination of the Savantvá li dialect is अय, as in कर्य 'cause to do,' which corresponds to the Sindhi कराइ-[जु].

There are instances also in which the व resulting from म is elided as in II. कुअंर 'a boy,' 'a prince,' Pr. कुमर, Skr. कुमार; नायां 'left-hand-side,' Pr. वामअ, Skr. वामक; धुआं 'smoke,' Pr. धूमअ Skr. धूमक; अचाना 'to rinse the mouth, 'Pr. Skr. आवन, विराना or वेराना 'to mock,' Skr. विदस्य, धुई 'ground,' Skr. धूमि.

There are traces of this change in other dialects too, as in the Oriya बां for बाम 'left,' Gujarâtî भांग = भूई, S. भुई, Skr. भूम, and the Marâthî भुई and the termination ई of the locative which is to be traced to the Pr. दिम, Skr. दिमन. In all these instances we may regard म as directly elided, and not the न resulting from it. Hemachandra notices a few instances of the process in the Prâkrits.

The vernaculars possess a large number of those Prakrit words, in which the mute element of hard and soft aspirates was dropped leaving only the g. Thus:—

ख.

70. Skr. बुज़ू face, Pr. बुह, H. बुंह, S. बुहु, G. मोहो; M. मोहरे, P. बुहेरहैं (with the suffix र) in front, H. मोहरा; H. सोही, G. साबुं-मो, M. समोर (with the suffix र) from Skr. संबुख face to face.

Skr. नख nail, Pr. नह, H. नह, S. नहु, P. नहुं.

Skr. शेखरक: à chaplet, Pr. सेहरओ, H. सिहरा or सेहरा, S. सिहिरो, P. सिहरा. Skr. सजी a female companion, Pr. सही, G. सही, H. S. P. सहेली, M. B. O. सहे.

Skr. तिख to write, Pr. तिह, M. तिह-[णॅ].

Skr. शिलण्ड a lock of hair or शिलण्डिका, Pr. सिहण्डिआ, M. शंडी.

Skr. सुलकर agreeable, Pr. सहअर, H. सोहर.

Skr. आखेर hunt, Pr. आहेड (?), H. अहेर.

ਬ.

71. Skr. मेघ rain, Pr. मेह, G. II. मेह, S. मेहू.

Skr. स्त्रा to praise, Pr. सलाह, H. सराह-[ना].

Skr. प्रायुणक a guest, Pr. पाइणअ, M. पाइणा, H. पाइना.

To these must be added the several compounds of the word ut some of which at least are modern, as—

H. नेहर house of a woman's family of birth, Pr. नाइचर or नाइहर, Skr. ज्ञातिगृह.

H. पीहर, G. पीग्रेर a woman's father's house, Pr. पिइघर or पिइहर, Skr. पितृगृह.

M. महिर, G. महीरं a woman's mother's house, Pr. माइचर or माइहर, Shr. मातृगृह.

G. भोंगीर, M अंपार, H. भंपारा a cellar, Skr. भूमिगृह.

G. देहरं, M. देव्हारा, H. देहरा, दिहरा an idol-chamber, Skr. देवगृह.

थ.

72. Skr. नाथ a lord, Pr. नाह, old M. & H. नाह.

Skr. मिथुन a pair, Pr. मिहुण, M. मेहुण.

Skr. मथ to churn, Pr. मह, H. मह-िना

Skr. मधनिका a churning vessel, Pr. महागिआ, H. मिहानी.

Skr. प्रिक a traveller, Pr. पहिंज, M. G. पही.

Skr. पृथुक flattened rice, Pr. पुहुआ (?), M. पेहि, S. पेट्ट.

Skr. प्रथलकम् broad, Pr. पहल अं-[Ap. इं], G. पोहलूं.

Skr. कथ to tell, Pr. कह, H. G. S. P. B. O. कह-[ना-वुं-गु, &c.].

Skr. कथानक or कथानिका a story, Pr. कहाणअ or कहाणिआ, H. कहानी, M. G. कहाणी, S. किहाणी, B. काहिनी

ਬ.

73. Skr. बधिरक: deaf, Pr. बहिरओ, H. M. O. बहिरा, G. बेहरो.

Skr. दथि curds, Pr. दहि, H. M. G. P. O. दहि, S. इहि.

Skr. मधु honey, Pr. महु, O. B. महु, M. मोंह.

Skr. साध honest, good, Pr. साह, H. साह-साह, M. साव.

Skr. वसू a young lady, daughter-in-law, H. G. S. वहू, O. बोहु or बी. Skr. परिचा dressing, Pr. परिहा, H. पहिर-[ना], G. पेहर-[बुं], S. पेहर-[खु], P. पहिर-[णा] by the consonants interchanging places.

ਸ.

74. Skr. प्रभा or प्रभात light, dawn, Pr. पहा, पहाअ, पहाइ, H. पह or पोह, G. पोह, M. पहाट. The S. पिरिह must be a later form. From the same root with the prepositions वि and स we have H. विहान morning, S. सहाइ light, &c.

Skr. ग्रभ to become, to adorn, Pr. सह. H. सोह-[ना], S. सह-[जु].

Skr. सौभाग्य prosperity, good fortune, Pr. सोहग्ग, H. सोहाग or सहाग.

Similary, H. पहिचान 'recognize' is from Skr. प्रत्यभिजाना, the consonants interchanging places; G. বहাতী or বাল্हী 'dear' from Skr. ব্লুম; सेहलो 'easy' from যুত্তম; वेहच-[वुं) to 'distribute' or 'divide' from विभज्, &c.

The existence of many such words as M. H. P. 3973 'injury,' H. P. माच 'a good man,' M. G. H. P. मच 'honey,' H. G. दिख, उख 'to write,' M. G. H. नल 'nail,' S. सभाजे 'in the morning' shows that the popular speech of Northern India has now for a long time ceased to have recourse to this process of dropping the mute element of the aspirates of the Sanskrit words adopted from time to time from the parent language. Still, however, a few later instances, such as S. fqft 'dawn,' H. G. HIE 'middle' from HIV and HEV, and some of the compounds of we noticed above show that it has not been entirely absent. elision of simple mutes and of the mute element of aspirates is a natural phenomenon which one always meets with in the course of lingual development; but its operation is generally slow and it is only in consequence of its systematic occurrence in the Prakrits that I have attributed it to an ethnological cause, and supposed that the Prakrit speakers belonged to another race than those who spoke Sanskrit, and being unused to Sanskrit sounds caught only the initial consonants from their Sanskrit teachers and dropped the rest or the mute portion of the rest. When, however, in the course of time they became used to those sounds and the Sanskrit and Prakrit speaking races became united into one community, they ceased to be so dropped, except through the slow and gradual operation of the usual phonetic laws; and hence it is that in modern times we find Sanskrit words not shorn of their elements in the manner in which they were in ancient times as indicated above.

This elision, though it involves economy and is a natural process, constitutes a peculiarity of the Prâkrits in so far as it is due to peculiar historical incidents. We will now notice the peculiarities which do not involve economy and must be attributed to vocal predilections. Of the words in which a Sanskrit dental is changed to a cerebral in the Prâkrits the vernaculars have preserved the fpllowing:—

75. Skr. विभीतक myrobalan, Pr. बहेडओ, M. बेहडा, &c. as in table 8.

Skr. पत to fall, Pr. पड, M. C. H. पड-[जॅ-वुं-ना].

Skr. दंश to bite, Pr. इस, M. H. P. G. इस-[णे-ना-णा-वुं]. From this H. M. G. डांस, O. डाआंश a mosquito, Skr. दंश.

Skr. दंश to stick, Pr. डक्स (past part.), M. G. डक-[जॅ-वुं]..

Skr. शर to decay, Pr. सड, M. H. G. सड-| जॅ-ना-बं].

Skr. दोला a swing, Pr. होता, H. होता masc. From this are derived M. इतन, H. होतन, G. डोल्ग्रं to swing, M. इतनी a nap, G. डोल्ग्रा nodding, M. G. H. P. O. होती a litter or Sedan chair.

Skr. दण्ड a stick. Pr. डण्ड, H. डांड or डांडा, P. डंडा, G. M. (dial.) डांडो, H. G. डांडी. M. has दांडा and दांडी.

Skr. दह to burn, Pr. इह, H. डाहना to be spiteful, malicious, H, P. डाह malice.

Skr. शिथिल, Pr. सिदिल, M. सदळ, &c., as in Table 29.

Skr. दस्म hypocrisy, deceptive appearance, Pr. इस्म, M. इंभ.

Skr. दोहद longing, Pr. डोहल, M. डोहाळा-

There are many later instances of this change:-

76. M. S. टाण-[णं-णु], H. टानना, B. टानिना, O. टाणिना to stretch, Skr. तन; M. टिळा, G. टिळो a mark on the forehead, Skr. तिलक; H. M. टिकली, G. टिकली, S. टिकिलो a small mark on the forehead, a spangle, Skr. तिलक: by consonants interchanging places; M. G. टाळ, H. टाली a kind of cymbal, from Skr. ताल measured or beaten time. M. टाळा, G. टाल्ले the roof of the mouth, Skr. तालु; H. P. डाल-डाला-डाली, S. डारी-डारी, G. डाळी, B. O. डाल, M. डाइळी a branch or bough, Skr. इल; M. कट्ठी. &c. as in P. 174.

The Sindhî has the largest number of instances, and the Hindî and the Panjābî come next. The Marāṭhî and Gujarātî have the smallest number.

77. S. हंडो satisfied, Skr. तुष्ट-[क:]; S. हेडो crooked, H. P. टेट्टा. M. तेडा, M. (dial.) तिडो, Skr. तिर्यक्; S. हंडो, H. हंड handless, M. थीटा,

Skr. स्थ्यु with the suffix z, Pr. धड़ते (?); S. दक्षिण the south, H. दक्षिन, Skr. दक्षिण; S. दंदु a tooth, H. M. दांत, Skr. दन्त; S. इया pity, M. H. Skr. दया; S. इसणु to show, H. दसना, Skr. दशेन; S. इह ten, H. दस, M. दहा, Skr. दश; S. इाठ or डाइह a grinder, H डाद, M. दाद, Skr. दंश; S. दिशो a lamp, H. दिया, M. दिवा, Skr. दीप-[कः]; S. दिशणु to give, II. देना, M. देणें, Skr. दान; S. इंडिंग, H. P. दीठ impudent, M. धीट, Skr. धृष्ट; S. दिसणु see, H. दिसना, M. दिसणें to appear, Skr. दृश्य; S. दिशे seen, H. P. दीठ, G. दिशे, Skr. दृष्ट.

O. डाहांज, B. डाइन to the right hand, H. दहिना.

Thus then the Pâli and Prâkrit tendency to pronounce dentals as cerebrals is seen in a much more exaggerated form in the Sindhî and next to it in the Hindî and the Panjâbî, while the other modern dialects seem to have kept it quite within the bounds in which we find it in the ancient dialects, though they have changed more words in that way than they.

In the following instances the cerebral mute in the place of the dental is to be considered as due to the influence of an adjoining ξ .

78. पह for Pr. पंडि, Skr. प्रति, in the words given in list 52, and हरडा, पुदे, and महें in the same.

M. G. H. S. P. डर - [जें-बुं-ना-णु-ना], B. O. डरिवा, Pr. डर, Skr. इर fear.

H. हाभ or हान, G. हाभ or हाभो, S. हमु, Skr. दर्भ a kind of grass, but P. हहभ.

H. मही or माटी, &c., as in list 4.

H. बुद्दा, बहा, M. S. वह, &c., as in list 4.

H. maz, Skr. man a pilot.

H. जबरन, M. उटणें, G. उटणु. S. उबरणु a cosmetic, Skr. उद्वर्तन.

H. टाकना or ताकना, M. टकणें, O. टाकिना, Skr. तर्क to watch, to look out for. G. B. S. have त for z.

M. H. प्रवाहा, S. G. प्रवाहो a narrative, Skr. प्रवाह-[कः].

Even here the Sindhi has more instances than the others:-

79. पुदु a son, Skr. पुत्र, M. पूत. दिह thirst, Skr. तथा, P. तिहा. निंड sleep, Skr. निदा, H. नींद. टामो copper, Skr. ताझ, M. तांबें, &c.

Uninitial \overline{q} is changed to \overline{q} in the Prâkrits invariably, but when it is at the beginning of a word it undergoes that change optionally.

In the vernaculars the initial q remains unchanged, but when medial it becomes mostly q in the Marâthî, Gujarâtî, Sindhî, and Panjâbî.

Initial 7.

80. M. नाव, &c., as in list 64.

H. S. m, &c., as in list 69.

M. नवा, H, नया, S. नओं, as in list 69.

H. P. नेर as in p. 166.

H. नह, &c., as in list 70.

P. नेज, as in list 16.

H. नौनी as in list 17

P. नेडल as in list 24.

M. नीज, H नींद, S. निंड sleep, Skr. निडा.

P. नेउदा, H. नेत्रता, G. नोतरं an invitation, Skr. निमन्त्र.

M. नणंद, P. नणान, S निणुन, II. ननद or ननदी, B. ननद or ननंदा, Skr. ननान्द husband's sister, &c.

Medial vy.

81. M. माजूल, G. माजल, S. माजूल, P. माजूल, Skr. मनुष्य a man.

M. दिसणं, S. डिसण, P. दिसणा, Skr. दर्शन or दर्शनक appearing; and all other infinitives in जें-जु-जा.

M. जण, G. जण, S. जणो, P. जणा, Skr. जन- क:] a person.

M. G. कहाणी, S. किहाणी, Skr. कथानिका a story.

M. G. S. P. qivii, Skr. qiflu water.

M. G. S. P. जाण-[जें-वं-जु-णा], Skr. जाना to know

M. G. कीण, P. कीण, Skr. कः पुन: who ?

M. बहिण, G. बेन, S. भेण, P. भेण, Skr. भगिनी sister.

M. कठिया, G. कठम, S. कठन, P. कटण-न, Skr. कठिन hard.

P. S. G. जण-[णा-णु-तुं], Skr. जन (causal) to give birth to, to produce.

G. हुज, S. कुजु, P. कुज, M. लोज (in संधेखोज), Skr. लवज salt.

P. M. G. आवण, S. पाण, Pr. अव्याण, Skr. आत्मान one's self.

M. G. S. P. ताण-[जॅ-वुं-णु-जा], Skr. तन to stretch or pull.

M. नणंद, &c., as above.

There are a few instances in which we have the original न in the body of a word, as in M. G. P. मन, S. मन, Skr. मन: 'mind,' G. नार्ड 'promise' for Skr. नचन, and G. नेन 'as above. But the general rule seems to be that these four dialects have a medial न in the place of the double of of the Prakrits, resulting from a Sanskrit conjunct of which न is a member. Thus:—

82. Skr. अर्ण्य, Pr. र्ज्ज, M. G. रान wilderness.

Skr. कर्ण, Pr. क्षण, M. G. कान, P. कन, S. कतु ear.

Skr. पूर्ण, P. पूर्ण, M. G. पान, P. पना, S. पद a leaf.

Skr. जीर्ण (जूर्णक), Pr. जुण्ण, M. जुने, G. जुने, S. झूनो old.

Skr. विज्ञप, Pr. विण्णव, M. G. विनव-(ण-वुं) to solicit; Skr. विज्ञाम, M. G. बिनंती, S. बिनती, P. मिनत solicitation.

Skr. संज्ञा, Pr. सण्णा, G. सान, P. सन mark, sign.

Skr. जन्ययात्रा, Pr. जण्णयत्ता, P. जनेत a bridal procession; also, S. जन, Skr. जन्य, Pr. जञ्ज.

Skr. यज्ञोपवीत, Pr. जण्णोवर्ड्अ, G. जनोर्ड, P. जनेऊ, M. जानवें, S. जण्यो the Brahmanic sacred thread.

Skr. मन्य, Pr. मण्ण, M. G. मान-[णे-बुं], S. मनणु, P. मनणा to think, to regard, to obey.

But even here in Marâthî the purely Desastha Brahmans living in the eastern part of the Deccan or Mahârâshtra pronounce the न as ण्; and the ordinary रान, कान, पान, तिनंति, &c. of that dialect become in their mouths राण, काण, पाण, निणंति, &c. They have, therefore, preserved in its integrity the old habit of the Prâkrit speakers to pronounce the Sanskrit न as ण throughout. On the other hand, the lower classes of the Konkanı population preserve the Paisâchî peculiarity of reducing ण in all cases to न and pronounce पाणी, नाणी, कोण, &c., as पानी, नानी, कोन, &c., and this peculiarity we find in its fulness in the Hindî, and next to it in the Bangâlî. Thus the words with a ण in them in the Marâțhî, Gujarâtî, Sindhî, and Panjâbî, given above, have the following forms in Hindî:—

मानम, दिसना, जन, कहानी, पानी, जानना, कौन, बहिन or बैन, कठिन, जनना, कुन or नन, अपना, टानना or तानना, ननद or ननदी.

And such of these words as are in use in the Bangâlî have the following:—

मानश, जन, किहानी, जानन, कोन, बहिन or बोन, कठिन, जनन, लोण, आपना, टानना, ननद

Other words in some of which even in Sanskrit a w appears are in the Hindî and Bangâlî thus:—

83. Skr. ब्राह्मण, H. बस्हन or बामन, B. बासुन, but S. बामणु a Brahman.

Skr. भूण in भूणोति, H. सन-[ना], B. शुन-[न], S. सण-[जु] to hear.

Skr. दक्षिण, H. दहिना, B. डाइन, right hand.

Skr. ग्रहण (?), H. B. ग्रहना, S. ग्रहणो, an ornament worn on the person.

Skr. काण-[कः], H. कान or काना, B. काना, S. काणो blind of one eye.

Still ज् does appear in Bangâlî books in a few words such as काज 'ear,' लोज 'salt,' &c. In the Hindî it seems to be entirely absent.

In the principal Prakrit and the Saurasen all the Sanskrit sibilants are reduced to \mathbf{q} . Sanskrit words, therefore, containing the palatal \mathbf{q} and the cerebral \mathbf{q} which in the Prakrits came to have the dental \mathbf{q}

instead, have the last in the Hindî, Panjâbî, Sindhî, Gujarâtî, and Marâthî. But according to a law of Marâthi pronunciation, this म, when followed by the palatal vowel or semi-vowel इ, ए, or ए, is transformed into the palatal म. The foregoing lists of words contain many instances that prove these points.

84 List 2. M. H. P. दिस, S हिस, Skr. दृश्य; H. सियार, Skr. शृगाल; G. H. सींग, P. सिंग, S. सिङ्क, Skr. शृङ्क; H. P. किस, Skr. कृषि:; M. पाउस, H. पावस, Skr. प्राहपु.

List 5. M. G. H., &c मोंड, संड, &c., Skr जुण्हा

Lists 11 & 8. G. माणस, M. माणस, H. मानस, P. माणस, Skr. मनदा.

List 14. M. H. बैस, Skr. उपविश; M. होस, H. भस, G भंस, Skr महिषी। List 24. H. सेमल, M. सांवरी, Skr. शाल्मली; list 34. H S साथ, Skr.

List 47. H. P. परोस, G. पीरस, Skr. परिवेष : list 46. H सलाई, M. P. सळई, Skr. शलाका.

List 36. H. साला, P. M. साळा, Skr. इयालक; list 63 M. सांवळा, H. P. सांवला, &c., Skr. इयामलक; M. विसावा, &c., Skr. विश्राम.

List 69. II. सिहरा or सेहरा, &c., Skr. शेखर; list 73. II. सोह, S. सह, Skr.शुभ: list 74. P. H. M. G. डांस, Skr. दंश; H सुन, S. सुण, Skr. शुण as above, &c.

H. सीसो, M. शिसवा, G. शिसम, Skr. शिशप, list 65.

Other instances are M. साळ, H. साळ, Skr जाला 'a school' or 'an establishment;' M. सल, H. साल, Skr. शल्य 'a dart;' M. G. साकळी, H. सांकली, सिकली 'a chain,' Skr. शृह्लला; M. सुक, H. सूल, Skr. शुल्क 'dry;' H. सीख, Skr. शिक्ष 'to learn ;' H. पीस, Skr. पिप् 'to grind ;' H. M. G. नास, Skr. नाश 'destruction ;' S. नस, Skr. वर्ष 'to rain ;' H. P. S. सेज 'a bed,' Skr. ज्या, &c. Even in words adopted later and consequently treated somewhat differently from the way in which they were treated in the Prakrits, the Sanskrit त्र and प् are reduced to स्, as in the H. तसैना 'to thirst,' Skr. तृष्; परवेस 'entrance,' Skr. प्रवेश; परस 'a hatchet,' Skr. पर्शे : निसपति 'lord of the night,' 'the moon,' Skr. निशापति; S. वंसु 'race,' 'descent,' Skr. वंश ; वर्सणु 'to rain,' Skr. वर्ष : वसिकरण to 'subdue,' Skr. वशीकरण; P. सोग 'sorrow,' Skr. शोक; सांत 'quietness,' Skr. शान्ति; परमेसर 'God,' Skr. परमेश्वर; M. वरिस, G. वरस '& year,' Skr. वर्ष; G. वरसर्द्र 'to rain,' Skr. वर्ष, &c. The present speakers of the Hindî, the Panjâbî, and the Sindhi retain therefore the vocal peculiarity of their Prakrit ancestors of pronouncing Sanskrit q and q as q. Of the words given above the सींग, सेमल, सीख and सेज of the Hindî become शिंग, शेवरी, शिक, and शेज in Marathi, because they are followed by a palatal vowel. Similarly, we have शेण 'cowdung,' Skr. शक्त ; शगट 'a tree,' Skr. शिया; शेवाळ 'moss,' Skr. शेवाल; शिही 'a ladder', Skr. शेदी, &c. Even the original स् of Sanskrit words is pronounced by the Marâthâs as श under those conditions, as शेद्र 'red lead,' Skr. सिन्दरः; शिवणें 'to sew,' Skr. सिव; माउशी 'mother's sister,' Skr. मानुष्यसा, &c. Gujarâtî too shows the same tendency though it is not so decided; and we have thus शिंग 'a horn,' शिख्युं 'to learn,' शिख्युं 'to sew,' शिसम 'blackwood,' Skr. शिंशप, शेज, Skr. शच्या, &c. But we have also such words as सिंचयुं 'sprinkling,' Skr. सिंच, सिजवर्युं 'to boil,' सिही 'ladder,' &c. So that the Marâthî rule does not seem to be strictly applicable to the Gujarâtî. Still the sound श is as natural to the Gujarâtîs as to the Marâthâs, as is shown by such forms as si for सं 'what?', करशो 'do,' &c., and in some provinces it is freely used for स् in many words.

The Bangali forms of such of the above words as are used in the

language have η for the Hindi η , as:—

	В.	н.	В.	н.
85.	ग्रुकिवा	म्खना to dry.	शियाल	सियारा a jackal.
	ग्रुनिवा	सृनना to hear.	शिमुल	समल the silk cotton tree.
	ग्रुइवा	सोना to sleep.	शंड	सोंड, सुंड trunk of an
	पाशे	que near.		elephant.
	शिंगा	सींग a horn.	शिश्च.	सीसो blackwood.
	डांश	डांस a mosquito.	शेल	साह a dart.
	भईश	भैंस a buffalo.	दिवाशलाई	दियासलाई a fire-match.

Bangâlî books and dictionaries contain a good may words derived from the old Prâkrits or recently adopted from Sanskrit which contain \mathbf{H} in them. The object of the authors in these cases is to give the correct Prâkrit or Sanskrit forms, and not to represent the correct Bangâlî pronunciation. But it is not necessary to hear a Bangâlî speak or read his language or even Sanskrit for a long time to arrive at the clear conviction that his vocal habits do not admit of the pronunciation of \mathbf{H} or \mathbf{H} but only of \mathbf{H} . Sanskrit and Prâkrit \mathbf{H} becomes \mathbf{H} in his mouth. Thus the Bangâlis of the present day possess the same vocal characteristic that is attributed to their ancestors, the speakers of the Mâgadhî, by the Prâkrit grammarians.

য় has a tendency to pass off into ত্ as we observed in going over the Pâlî. Instead of the Pâlî তুল for the Skr. মৃত্যু 'cowdung,' we have উত্তা in S., তাতা in G., &c., from মৃত্যু another form of the word, and for the Pâlî তাত্য, Skr. মান 'the young of an elephant,' we have তানা in H. M. &c., the Pr. form being তান. For the Skr. মান the G. has তান, তানা, and উত্তা 'last,' 'end,' with the suffixes হ, হ and ত, for

Skr. शह 'rind,' H. has छही, for Skr. शण 'hemp,' O. has छण, for सूत्रपार 'carpenter,' which is सुतार in the other dialects and must have been pronounced द्वतार by the Bangâlis, the B. has द्वतार, and O. also. Other instances may be found. In Hindi Skr. q is often pronounced as ख as in भाख for भाष, 'spoken dialect,' दुरुख for दुरुष, भेख for वेष, विखम for विषम, &c. This is to be identified with the practice of the followers of the Mâdhyam dina recension of the White Yajurveda who read the q occurring in their books throughout as ख. But other dialects also have a few instances of this change, as विख P. M. G., विख S. for Skr. विष.

Of the Prakrit words in which a vowel or a mute is aspirated through the influence of an adjoining aspirate or an aspirated mute, the vernaculars have preserved the following:—

86. Pr. पनस, M. G. फणस, Skr. पनस a Jacktree. The H. has also फनस but more commonly पनस.

Pr. भिस, M. भिसें, H. भिस, P. भेह, Skr. बिस edible lotus root. S. has बिहु.

Pr. लासेअ, G. H. P. लांसी, Skr. कासित cough.

There are a good many more modern instances:-

87. M. फरशी, G. फरसी, H. फरसा, Skr. प्रशु an axe.

M. G. फांस, H. फांस-फांसा-फांसी, P. फाहा-फाही-फांसी, S. फांसा-फाही, B. फांस-फांसी, Skr. पात्र a noose.

M. निभावणें, G. निभाववुं, H. निभाना, S. निभाइण, P. निभाउणा, Skr. निवाह accomplishing. H. S. P. have also the forms with निवाह.

G. खतर्त्र, H. खितना, Skr. कृष्यते to dorp away, to be drawn.

G. वर्ज, H. चेज or गोहूं, P. चेंज, Skr. गोधूम wheat. M. has गहूं.

M. G. O. हाड, P. हड़-हड़ी, S. हड़ु -हड़ी, H. B. हाड-हड़ी, Pr. अही, Skr. अस्थि bone.

B. हांद्र knee, H. दिहुन, by interchange of places, Skr. अर्शवन्, Pr. अर्शव ?

P. भाष, B. O. भाष, Pr. बच्फ, Skr. बाष्प vapour

M. Titt a bunch, Skr. yes.

P. सम, S. सम all, Pr. सब्ब, Skr सर्वे

M. ন্তুমান safe, well, Skr. কুমান

H. P. M. अस garb, Pr. वेस, Skr. वेश-

M. भूस-भुसा chaff, H. भूस-भूसा, P. भुस-भुस्सी, G. भुसो-भुद्धं, B. भूशि, Skr. दुस; S. has दुहु-दुहो

In some cases the aspirate sound is absorbed in the adjoining mute, and it ceases to exist as a separate component of a word. Thus,

from the Pr. पहुड़ि, Skr. प्रश्नित, we have the M. पुढ़ें in which the ह combines with इ, and the M. dial. पुढ़ें in which it combines with प्. In the same manner the Skr. मेप 'sheep' becomes, with the usual Apabhrams's suffix g or इअ, मेसइउ or मेहइउ, which in M. and G. assumes the form of मेदा and मेदो, the ह combining with ह, and in H. and P. of भेड़ा in which the ह being combined with the preceding मे destroys the nasal character of the sound. P has मेदा also, and G. भेड़ा, and B. and O. मेडा as well as भेड़ा. By a similar absorption of the ह sound and the reduction of the nasal to a mere Anusvara we have H. P. भेस (masc. भेसा), G. भेस, B. भेड़ेंग, from Skr. महिची 'a female buffalo,' and G. M. भेळ from Pr. मिस्स, Skr. मिश्र with the suffix &, through an intermediate form मिहळ or मेहळ. We have a more ancient instance of the process in the Pr. संभई for Skr. संस्मर, through the intermediate form संस्हर, which has been preserved by the G. in सांभर 'to remember' or 'remind.'

Sometimes a mute is aspirated without any apparent reason in the Pråkrits, and these instances have come down to some of the vernaculars:—

88. Skr. कुडन humpbacked, Pr. खुडनो, M. खुडा; but P. कोझा, H. कुंजा, B. कुंजो, O. कुंजा, S. कुनो; M. कुनडा also.

Skr. कीलक: a nail, Pr. खीलओ, M. खिळा, G. खिळो, B. O. खील or खिला; the rest कील.

Skr. कर्षर potsherd, an earthen cup, Pr. खत्पर, M. खापर, H. P. खत्पर, O. खपरा, B. खावरा.

Later instances are P. ज़्हा, S. कूहा a well, Skr. कूएक:; P. ज़ुहणा to touch, H. ज़्ना, Skr. छुप; M. घागर, P. H. गागर, Skr. गगरिका; G. खोळो lap, Skr. कोड.

Of the instances in the Prâkrits in which the consonants contained in a word interchange places we have হুলু 'slow' in M., Pr. হুলুস and Skr. হুলুক, হাঁহা in H. and P. and হুলু and হুলুই in G.; M. মূল 'daughter-in-law,' Pr. মুবহা, Skr. হুলুগ; M. নিহাত 'forehead,' Pr. অহাত, Skr. হুলুগ, &c. There are a good many more modern instances:—

89. H. पहिचानना to recognize, Pr. पचहिआण, Skr. प्रत्यभिज्ञा-(जा) न, P. पछाणना.

H. पहिरना to dress, Pr. परिहाण, Skr. परिधान; P. पहिराउणा to cause to dress. In both the last two syllables are assimilated and we have पहिना also; G. पेरबुं by the loss of हू.

G. वहार्ल beloved, Pr. वहाह, Skr. वहाभ ; सेहर्ल easy, Pr. सुलह, Skr. सलभ ; निलाही a cat, Skr. विहाल ; उथलपायल topsy-turvy, the M. form being उलटापालट, Pr. उवहास्य-३ पहास्य-३, Skr. उपयस्त पर्यस्त

M. शेपूट or शेपटी tail, G. having पूछडुं or पूछडी, Skr. पुटळ, with the suffix z, the छ being changed to श by the usual M. rule and the palatal vowel being brought in by the palatal श; थोडके little, Pr. थोक, Skr. स्तोक, with the suffix s.

S. তুনী a bunch of flowers, Skr. যুহন্ত; দ্বিন্তিত্ব loose, Pr. নিত্তিন, Skr. দ্বিখিন,

H. M. दिक्ती, &c, from Skr. तिलक as in list 75.

We will now trace in the vernaculars the Sanrkrit conjunct consonants assimilated in the Pâli and the Prâkrits, in the order I have observed in treating of them in the lecture on the Pâli. And first I will give a few instances of those in which the second member being strong prevails over the first and is doubled.

90. Skr. कमें act, business, Pr. कस्म, P. कस्म, S. कम्, H. G. M. O. काम.

Skr. घमें heat of the sun, Pr. घम्म, H. घाम: M. G. B. O. घाम in the sense of 'sweat.'

Skr. चर्म skin, leather, Pr. चम्म, P. चम्म, S. चम्र, H. G. B. चाम, O. चम, M. चाम in चामडें.

Skr. कार्य, Pr. कजं, S. काज, M G. H. P. काज. The M. has, however, the dento-palatal ज here.

Skr. कर्ण ear, Pr. करण, P. कन, S. कन, H. G. M. B. O. कान.

Skr. पूर्ण a leaf, Pr. पूर्ण, P पन्ना (of a book), S. पृत्त, H. G. M. पान, G. पात्रं (of a book), P. S. O. पान in the sense of 'betel leaf.'

Skr. विज्ञप to solicit, supplicate, Pr विण्णव, H. G. M. विनव-[ना-बुं-णॅ]; H. also विनोना.

Skr राज्ञी a queen, Pr. रण्णी (१), G. P. S. M. B. O. राणी, H. राजी.

Skr. संज्ञा a signal, Pr. सण्णा, P. सन, H. G. सान; H. सेन also.

Skr. यशोपवीत the sacred thread, Pr. जण्णोवईअ(?), H. P. जनेऊ, S. जण्यो, G. जनोइ, M. जानवें.

Skr. siq to make over, Pr. sicq, G. siq-[g].

Skr. समर्प to consign, deliver, Pr. समप्प, H. P. S. सौंप-[ना-णा-छ], M. G. सोंप-[जें-हुं].

Skr. सर्व a serpent, Pr. सद्य, P. सद्य, S. सपु, H. G. M. B. O. साप.

Skr. दर्भ sacred grass, Pr. दब्भ, P. दब्भ, S. इसु, H. हाभ or हाब, G. हाभ or हाभो.

Skr. शब्द sound, call, Pr. सर, P. सर (subs. & verb), H. M. G. साद.

Skr. दर्दर a frog, Pr. दद्द, P. H. G. दादुर, S. हेदर.

Skr. भक boiled rice, Pr. भक्त, P. भक्त, S. भतु, H. M. G. B. O. भात.

Skr. रक्त red, Pr. रत, P. रता, S. रतो, H. G. रातो, M. राता in राताम्बा a red sour fruit of a certain tree.

Skr. un slept, Pr. un, P. un, S. G. un.

Skr. करम mud, Pr. करम, H. कादौ or कादा, G. कादव-

Skr. तर्क to look out for, gaze wistfully, Pr. तक, P. तकना, S. तकण, M. टकणें, O. टाकिश, H. G. ताक-[ना-हुं].

The following are instances in which though the second member of a conjunct prevails, some of the elements of the first sound are added to it:—

- (a) Conjuncts of a sibilant and a mute.
- 91. Skr. हस्त hand, Pr. हस्थ, P. हस्थ, S. हथु, H. G. हाथ, M. B. O. हात.

Skr. Hg fist, Pr. Hg, P. Hg, S. Hg, H. G. Hg-Hg, M. Hg, O. Hg, B. Hg.

Skr. प्रस्तर stone, Pr. प्रथर, P. प्रथर, S. प्थर, H. G. प्रथर or पाथर, M. पाथर, B. पाथर or पातर, O. पथर.

Skr. 98 back, Pr. 93-93, vernaculars as in Table 4.

Skr. प्रस्थापन sending, Pr. पद्मवण, S. पठणु, H. पठाना, Braj पठीनी, M. पाठवणें, B पाठाहवा

Skr. मुस्ता a kind of grass, Pr. मोत्था, vernaculars as in Table 5.

Skr. मस्तक head, Pr. मत्थअ, P. मध्या, S. मधो, H. M. B. माथा, G. माधं, M. माधं, O. मथा.

Skr. पुस्तक a book, Pr. पोरथभ, P. H. M., &c. as in Table 5.

Skr. उपविष्ट sat, Pr. उद्दूर, vernaculars as in Table 43.

Skr. दृष्टि or दृष्ट sight or seen, Pr. दिहि or दिह, P. स्ट्रिंग-स्टिंह, and the rest as in Table 2.

Skr. बच्च hot, Pr. वण्ड, G. उन्हुं, M. ऊन; M. G. S. उन्ह as in उन्हाळा-छो-रो for उच्चकाळ the hot season.

Skr. स्ना to bathe, Pr. ण्हा or नहा, P. H. M. G. न्हा-[उणा-ना-जें-दुं]; P.H. नहा also.

Skr. अङ्गृष्ट thumb, Pr. અંગુરૂ, P. અંગુરૂ, S. આક્ર્ટો, H. અંગુરૂા, G. અંગુરૂો, M. આંગરા

Skr. विष्कर to spread, Pr. विकिखर, P. विकखरना, S. विखेरणु, H. G. M. विखर-[ना-चुं-णे] to be scattered.

Skr. ग्रुब्क dry, Pr. सुक्ल, P.सुल्ला, S. सुक्रो, H. सूला, G. नूखो, M. सुक्रा, B. O. ग्रुका.

Skr. बाब्प vapour, Pr. बद्दूर, S. H. G. बाक, M. वाक, P. भाक, B. O. भाष.

Skr. स्कन्ध shoulder, Pr. खन्ध, H. M. खांदा, G. खांदो; but H. mostly has कंधा and कांधा, P. कन्धा, B. O. कांध.

Skr. पश्चात् behind, Pr. पच्छा, P. पिच्छे, H पीछे, पाछे, G. पाछं.

Skr. यीष्म hot season, Pr. गिह्म, M. dial. गीम.

Skr. कृष्ण proper name, Pr. कण्ड, vernaculars as in Table 1.

(b) Conjuncts of a dental and a heavy u.

92. Skr. सत्य true, Pr. सन्न, P. H. सन्न, S. सन्नु, H. M. सान, G. सानुं, B. सांचा.

Skr. नृत्य of नृत्यित to dance, Pr. नज्ञ, P. नज्ञ or नज-।णा], S. नज-[णु], H. M. G नाज-।ना-ण-तूं.], B. O नाजिया.

Skr. नृत्य a dance, Pr. नन, P. नाच, S. नाचु, H M. G. B. O. नाच.

Skr. मृत्यु death, Pr. मिच, II. मीच.

Skr. प्रस्यभिजान of प्रत्यभिजानाति to recognize, Pr. पञ्चहिआण, H. पहिचान, P पछाण, B. चिन or चिनिवा, by dropping the first two consonants.

Skr. उत्पद्म of उत्पद्मते to be produced, Pr. उप्पज्ज, P. S. H. M. G. पज -[णा-णु-ना-णे-वुं]

Skr. माय of मायति to be intoxicated, Pr. मज, M. माजणे.

Skr. विशुत् lightning, Pr. विजन, M. G. वीज, P. विज, S. विजु.

Skr. সহা to-day, Pr. সজ, P. সজ, S. সজু, H. M. G. B. সাজ, B O. সাজি.

Skr. स्विय of स्वियति to sweat, also to boil, Pr. सिज्ज, P. सिज्जणा to be soaked, S. सिझणु, II सिझना-सिजाना (causal), M. शिजणें, G. सिजवुं, O. सिजिवा.

Skr. वन्ध्या a barren woman, Pr. बंडझा or वंडझा, P. वंझा, S. वांझ, H. O. बांझ, G. बांझ-िणी, M. वांझ or वांज, B. बांझा

Skr. संध्या evening, Pr संज्ञा, P S. संज्ञा, S. संज्ञी, H. सांज्ञ, M. G. H. B. सांज, O. संज.

Skr बुध्य of बुध्यते to know, Pr. बुज्झ, P. बुज्झणा, H. S. बुझ-[ना-णु]; B. O. बुझिवा, M. G. बुज-[णें-बुं].

Skr. मध्य middle, Pr. मज्झ, P. मंज्झ or माज्झ, S. मंझु, H. मांझ or माझ, M. मार्जी, B. माझ.

Skr. युद्ध battle, Pr. जुज्झ of जुज्झइ for युध्यति, P. जुज्झ, H. ज्झा, B. झुंज (in झुंजार), M. झूज.

When ब्र. as the latter member of a conjunct, is heavily pronounced the double consonant that takes the place of the conjunct is त्य, इब्र, and दम according as the preceding member is a surd, a sonant, or an aspirated sonant. Sometimes when the preceding member is a dental the substitutes are ब्र. जा, and दश्र. The following are instances of these changes in the vernaculars:—

93. Skr. ह्व abstract termination, Apabhr. त्प, H. पा as in बुदापा old age, मोटापा fatness, S. पो as in माण्हिपो humanity, from माण्ह man.

Skr. त्वन abstract termination, Pr. त्तण, Apabhr. त्वण, H. पन or पना as in कुआरपन celibacy; S. पणु or पणो as in जालपणु womanhood, माण्डुअपणु humanity; M. पण or पणा as in माणुसपण or माणुसपणा humanity; P. पुण as in निआणपुण childhood, &c.

Skr. उद्वेग feeling of repulsion, Pr. उट्टेग, M. उदग.

Skr. git door, Pr. cit or sit, P. H. G. sit, P. ct, S. ct, M. cit.

Skr. सर्व all, Pr. सब्ब, H. सब, P. सभ, S. सभु, G. सहु for सव.

Skr. ज्वल to burn, Pr. जल or बल, S. बरण, P. जळना-बळणा, H. जलना-बलना, G. जळवुं-बळवुं, M. जळणे and पोळणे where ब seems to be hardened, B. O. पोड़ in पोडिया.

Skr. skå above, erect, Pr. उच्म, S. उमो, M. O. उमा, B. उतु.

Skr. जिह्न tongue, Pr. जिल्मा, M. H. P. G. जीम, B. O. S. जिम-

Skr. ध्वज banner, Pr. झअ: with the suffix डा or डो, S. झंडो, P. H. झंडा, M. झंडा.

Similarly आत्मन्, with the loss of the nasal sound of तम, becomes अल्पण in the Prakrits and आपण in M. O. G., आपन in B., पाण in S. and आप in H. P.

Dentals have a tendency to become palatals even when not followed by यू or बू, probably because they do so become when followed by those semi-vowels. Thus, from the Skr. वादयति 'to sound' or 'play on a musical instrument,' we have P. बजाउणा, H. बजाना, M. वाजविणें, &c. from Skr. निद्रा 'sleep,' M. नीज; P. H. ओछा 'triffing,' 'vain,' S. ओछा, from, very probably, Skr. दथा; M. सावज, H. साजा from Skr. इवापद which appears even in the Prâkrits to have assumed the form of सावज, though सावय is usually given; M. H. G. छी छी, M. छे छे, Pr. छि छि from Skr. चिक् चिक्, &c.

(c) The conjunct आ when the sibilant is pronounced like ज्, and हस, and हस.

94. Skr. क्षण ... {a moment, Pr. खण, P. छिण, S. खिण, H. छिन, G. खण, M. (dial.) खिण. a festival, Pr. छण, H. छिन, M. सण for छण.

Skr. धुरक-रिका a knife, a razor, Pr. ज़रअ-रिआ, P. ज़रा-री, S. ज़री, H. ज़रा-री, B. O. ज़ोरा-ज़री, M. सरी.

Skr. मक्षिका a fly, \Pr . $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \textbf{HFe}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H},$

Skr. कुक्षि a side of the abdomen, Pr. कुच्छि or कुक्सि, M. कूस ; the rest कुख or कोस as in Table 5.

Skr. ऋक्ष a bear, Pr. रिच्छ, P. रिच्छ, S. रिछ, H. G. रीछ, M. रीस.

Skr. तक्ष to shave, hew, Pr. तच्छ, P. तच्छणा, M. त्रासणें, G. तासवुं.

Skr. क्षेत्र a field, M. शेत from Pr. छेत्त; the rest खेत.

Skr. वल a calf, Pr. वच्छ, P. बच्छा, S. वछ, H. बाछा, बछा, बछ, G. वछ, वाछ-[रहुं], B. बाछु-[र], O. बाछु-[री], M. वास-[हं]; P. H. बचा, S. बचो, B. बाचा, M. वच-[हें], &c., in the sense of 'the young one of any animal,' from the same. And No Amakin वास्त.

Skr. मत्स्य a fish, Pr. मच्छ, P. मच्छ, S. मछ, II. मछ or माछ, B. O. माछ, M. मासा.

Skr. उत्मङ्ग lap, Pr. उच्छङ्ग, H. उछंग, M. ओसंग

The conjunct आ sometimes appears in the Prakrits and perhaps even in Sanskrit in the form of झ. The Sanskrit क्षर is उझर in the Prakrits, and this we have in the H. झरना, M. झरणें and पाझरणें in which last पा stands for the preposition प्र. क्षि is Pr. झिड्झ from which we have M. झिजणें 'to waste away,' and from क्षीण we have S. झीणों, 11. झीन, &c.

- (d) Instances in which a dental is changed to a cerebral through the influence of a previous \(\xi\).
- 95. Skr. वर्ष to increase, Pr वडू, 11 बदना or बादना, M. वादणें, B. बाडिवा, O. बदिवा. But P. S. G वथ or वथ-(णा-णु-वुं).

Skr. वर्ष to cut, Pr. बड़ु, P. बड़ुणा, or वडुणा, S. वदणु.

Skr. वर्धक-िक a carpenter, Pr. वहूद, P. वहूद, H. बर्दर, O. बदाद, B. बाइइ, S. G. वादो.

Skr. छुदै to let off, leave, Pr. छुडू, P. छुडूणा, S. छुड्ण, H. छाडना, B. O. छाडिवा. H. छाडना, M. सांडणें, perhaps from the same, as also H. छोडना, M. सोडणें.

Skr. कर्त to cut, Pr. कट्ट, P. कट्टणा, S. कटण, H. काटना, B. काटिवा, G. काट्युं, M. काटणे.

Skr. बहुत्तेन a cosmetic, Pr. बब्बहुन, S. बब्दणु, H. बब्दन, M. बटणं, G. बदणु.

Skr. कैवर्त a boatman, Pr. केवट, II. केवट.

Skr. सार्थ a half more, Pr. सहू, H. P. B. O. सादे, S. सादा-इ, H. M. साहे, G. साहा-

We will now proceed to instances in which the first member of a conjunct prevails over the second and is doubled:—

96. Skr. भग्न-[क:] fled away, Pr. भगा-[ओ], H. भागा, S. भगो.

Skr. लग्न adhered to, connected, Pr. खगा, P. लगा। or लगा in खगाणा, S. कम in लगणु, H. M. G. लाग-[ना-णे-चुं,] B. लागा, O. लागिवा.

Skr. नग्न-[क:] naked, Pr. नग्ग-[ओ], P. II. नंगा, S. नंगो, G. नागो, M. नाग-[डा], O. नागा.

Skr. रहिम accord, a rope, Pr. रहिस, P. H. रस्सी, S. B. G. O. रसी, H. G. रास in the sense of 'reins.'

Skr. योग्य fit, Pr. जोगा, P. जोग or जोगा, S. जोगु, H. G. जोग, H. M जोगा

Skr. शहर void, empty, Pr. सुण्ण, P. सन्न or सन्ना, S. संन, H. मृत or सन्ना, M. सन्। संन has acquired the sense of 'insensibility' also.

Skr. मन्य of मन्यते to regard, Pr. मण्ण, P. मन्नणा, S. मन्यु, M. G. H. मान-[णें-बुं-ना].

Skr. व्याख्यान to explain, Pr. वक्तवाण, P. व-नवाणना, II. नवानना explain or praise, S. वजाणणु praise, G. वजाण्युं, M. नावाणणें.

Skr. अरण्य desert, Pr. रण्ण, M. G. रान, H. रन, S रिणु.

Skr. व्याप्त a tiger, Pr व्यव, P. H. B. O. वाघ, S. वाघ, M. G. वाघ.

Skr अग्र front part, Pr. अग्र, S. अग्रो, H. आग्रा; P. आग्रु before, G. आग्रो, G. आग्रु before, B. आग्रे, O. आग्रु first of all.

Skr. বর a wheel, Pr. বর, P. বর, S. বরু (both) a potter's wheel, H. বার, বরী in the sense of a 'mill-stone,' G. M. বার, B. বারা.

Skr. पत्र a leaf, Pr. पत्त, P. पत्त, S. पतो a playing card, H. पात, पाता पत्ता, G. पातरं, M. पातें blade of a knife, M पातेरा a collection of dry leaves.

Skr. चन्द्र moon, Pr. चन्द्र, P. चंद्र, S. चंडु, H. G. M. B. O. चांद्र, H. चंद्र also.

Skr. 43 as in list 34.

Skr. সম a cloud, Pr. সহম, H. সমান্ত, M. সমান্ত or সামান্ত, (from সমান্তি), G. সাম.

Skr. তবন্ত, Pr. and other forms with ল as in list 93.

Skr. पार्श्व a side, Pr. पास, S. पासी, P. पास्सा, H. पास, G. पासे, M. पाशी near, i. e., by one's side.

When τ followed by τ is changed to τ this last sound prevails over the τ and the conjunct becomes τ in the Pråkrits. The following instances of this change have come down to the vernaculars:—

97. Skr. पर्याय rotation, turn, Pr. पहाअ, H. पाला, M. G. O. पाळी. Skr. पर्यस्त changed, Pr. पहाद or पहत्थ, P. H. पंतरा, P. पळरा, M. G. पालर.

Skr प्यंड्स a bedstead, Pr. प्रहास, P. प्रंच, S. प्रंगु, H. M. G. प्रंग, B. प्रारंक or प्रारंग, O. प्रंक.

The following are instances of other cases of the same change:-

Skr. मर्द to squeeze, rub, knead, Pr. मरु, P. M. G. मळ-[नाणं-बुं], H. S. मरु-[ना-णुं].

Skr. সার্থক ginger, Pr. সন্তুস, M. আউ. H. P. B. have আরা, G. সার্থ, from Pr. সন্থস.

Skr. आर्द्रक wet, Pr. अहअ, उहअ, ओहअ, M. ओलं, S. आलो, H. आला, H has आदा also from Pr अदअ.

P. H. M. মতা, G. S. মতা, B. মাত, from Skr. মর, through the change of হ to ত্ and of the conjunct to ह.

When at the first sound of a prevails and adds to itself the heavy aspiration of q, the conjunct becomes and. Of this change there are many instances in the vernaculars —

98. Skr zw a tree, Pr. स्कल, P. स्कल, H. रूल, Au 1

Skr रक्ष to keep, to protect, Pr. रक्ख, P. रक्खणा, S. रखण, H रखना also राखना, M. G. राख-[णॅ-खं], B. O राखिया.

Skr. उपलक्ष to mark, Pr. उनलक्ख, M. G. ओळख acquaintance.

Ski शिक्ष to learn, Pr. सिक्ख, P. सिखणा, S. सिखणु, H. सीखना, G. शिखवं, M. शिक्षणे, B. O. शिखिवा.

Skr. क्षेत्र a field, Pr. लंत, P. खंत, S खंतु or खंदु, H. B. O. खंत, G. खंत in खेती agriculture.

Skr. कुक्षि a side of the abdomen, Pr. कुक्ख, P. कुक्ख or कोख, S. किख, H. कोख, G कुख

Skr. बुभुक्षा hunger, Pr. बुहुक्खा, P. भुक्ख, S. बुख, H. G. B. भूख, M. B. भूक, O. भोक.

Skr. मुख्य to anoint, an unguent, Pr. मृक्यम, P. मृक्यम butter, S. मृत्यमु anoint, butter, H. मृक्यम or मृत्यम butter, B. O. मृत्यम butter, माखिया to anoint, M मान्यम to anoint, G. मृत्यम butter.

Skr. प्रीक्षा examination, Pr. परिकला, H. P. B (). प्रस, M. G. S. पारव.

Skr आश्व the eye, Pr. अक्लि, P. अक्ल, S. अलि, H. G. आंल, B. O. आंलि.

Skr. श्रीर milk, Pr. खीर, S. खीर, P. H M. G. खीर a dish of rice and milk, O खीरी.

Skr. मक्षिका and क्षण as in list 934

Skr. sa sugar-cane, Pr. sara or sara, II. sa or sa, P. saa.

Though according to Hemachandra and Vararuchi the জ of জ্বার, ক্রান্ত, মান্ত্রিকা, and হুল্ল is changed to হুল্ল in the Mahârâshtrî or principal Prâkrit, still we see that most of the vernaculars derive their forms of these words from such Prâkrit forms as had কৃষ্ণ for the

Sanskrit a. But with the exception of the and the which are mentioned as occurring in the Jaina sacred books, these forms are not given as existing in any of the dialects. This and other similar omissions show that the observation of the Prâkrit grammarians was by no means perfect. The Marâthî forms of these words, however, with the exception of sale which is unknown to the language and of the which is the representative of the Prâkrit and hence they are the same as those given by Hemachandra and Vararuchi. Here we have one of several indications that the modern Marâthî is the direct daughter of the old Mahârâshtrî of the grammarians

It will be seen from the lists given above that the Hindi, (fujarâti, Marathi and Bangali mostly drop one component of the doubled consonants and to make up for the quantity thus lost lengthen the preceding vowel. In other words, the speakers of those dialects take the same time to pronounce two syllables the latter of which contains a double consonant which the speakers of the Prakit did; but the preceding forcible vowel utterance and the subsequent strong contact and pressure being avoided, the effect is that one of the two consonants is dropped and the previous vowel lengthened. This then is essentially a case of softening The Sindhi drops one of the two consonants, but does not engthen the preceding vowel; while the Panjabi generally preserves the doubled consonants handed down to it by the old Prakits. Hinds contains more instances in which the original Prakrit pronunciation is preserved than the other three dialects. Hemachandra notices in the Prakrits the softened pronunciation we have been considering in the case of EA only, one A of which is according to him dropped and the preceding vowel is lengthened, as in पासइ for प्रसइ, Skr. प्रयति, मीसं for मिस्सं, Skr. मिश्रम, &c. This lengthening of the previous vowel is in the four dialects prevented as observed before* by the accent falling on a subsequent syllable.

In the Pâli and the Prâkrits, you will remember, the components of some conjuncts such as ফুঁ, ই, মু, ফ্, ফু, ফু, ফু, ফু, ফু, ফু, ৫০., are not assimilated but separated by the interposition of a vowel. The vernaculars have preserved some instances of this process.—

- 99. H. सराहना to praise, Pr. सळाइ, Skr. श्लाघ.
- H. अगनी, P. अगन, B. आगुन fire, Pr. आगणी, Skr. अग्नि.
- H. बरस, बरिस, M. वरीस a year, Pr. वरिस, Skr. वर्ष. So also H. P. वरस-[ना-णा], G. वरसतुं, Skr. वर्ष.
 - 11. गिलान tired, Pr. गिलाण, Skr. ग्लान.

[&]quot; See pp. 162-3.

M. किळस disgust, Pr. किलेस, Skr. केश distress.

M. शिलोक stanza, Skr. श्लोक; G. हरख joy, Skr. हवै; G. सळेखम, P. सिलेसम, Skr. श्लेब्स, are later instances of the same nature.

In modern times other conjuncts also are similarly dissolved, and we have पूर्वाप S. M., &c., 'exploit' for प्रताप, खेतर, P. G., &c., 'a field' for क्षेत्र, पदस S. 'a lotus' for पद्म, तियाग P. for त्याग, तसे S., others तरास 'vexation' for त्रास, घरम 'virtue,' H. M. G., &c., for धर्म, &c. But the books and dictionaries of some of these languages contain a good many Sanskrit words the conjuncts in which are not changed in any way. This is due to the fact that those languages are now the spoken languages of the educated as well as the uneducated portions of the different communities, and the former pronounce the words correctly and the forms given to them by the latter are not taken into account by the authors of those books. Again, even the latter, though they interpose a vowel between the components of some conjuncts as shown above, have to a certain extent become used to Sanskrit sounds and can pronounce others correctly. But in some of the provinces the old disability of the Prakrit speakers has been inherited in certain cases by their modern descendants, whether educated or uneducated, and it is very much to be regretted that the writers of books should in such cases write words in their Sanskrit forms which, when they are read by themselves or others, are read in quite a different way The people of Sindh,* whether belonging to the higher or lower classes, and the Bangalis pronounce the conjunct of invariably as and. Thus नक्षत्र is pronounced नक्खत्र, साक्षी as साखी, मोक्ष as मोक्ख or मोख. &c. The speakers of the Hindi more often pronounce & as == than as क्ल, नक्षत्र becoming नच्छंत्तर with them; साक्षी, साच्छी: मोक्ष, मोच्छ; लेक्ष्मण, लच्छमन; लक्षणा, लच्छना; क्षत्रिय, खत्री or छत्री: क्षय. उप; क्षम, छम; &c. The Panjabis pronounce the first three words as नच्छत्र, साखी, and मोच्छ, लक्षण as लच्छण, क्षत्रिय as खत्री, &c., so that they also agree with the Hindi people, but seem more inclined towards

^{*}While I was Head Master of the High School at Hyderabad in Sindh I introduced about the beginning of 1865 the study of Sanskrit, and the first thing I had to do was to teach correct pronunciation to my pupils. I had no great difficulty, so far as I can now remember, in making them pronounce the other conjuncts; but when I came to all my endeavours to teach its correct pronunciation failed. I got the most intelligent of my boys to pronounce first and then I. This he did very well, whereupon I told him to utter both the sounds one after another immediately; but instead of making I of them he invariably gave them the form of I then gave up the attempt in despair.

making क्ल of the conjunct. The Marâthâs, however, pronounce the आ properly, though ordinarily they make क्ल of it rather than क्ष् as remarked on a former occasion. Similarly, the Bangâlî assimilates conjuncts the latter member of which is व or य, इंचर becoming इस्तर and ब्याख्यान, बाक्लान in his mouth. Similarly he pronounces Skr. आत्मा as अन्ता just as his Prâkrit ancestors did though it is written correctly.

Some of the vernaculars assimilate the components of a conjunct made up of a nasal and a mute. Thus the Panjabi has En for ave 'penalty,' मनणा for मण्डना 'to shave,' सन्न for सुण्ड, Skr. ग्रुण्डा 'the trunk of an elephant,' कुन्हा for कन्या, Skr. स्कन्य 'shoulder,' बन्ह for बन्ध 'binding,' खन्न for खण्ड 'a piece,' भन्नणा 'to break' for भड़ना or भ्रञ्जना, थम्म for स्तम्भ 'a pillar,' &c. In the Bangâli खान 'a piece' one of the two a sounds of can is dropped, and the preceding vowel lengthened. Similarly, we have थाम 'to stop' from स्तम्भ. In Sindhî we have भन 'interruption' for भड़; चड़ी 'good' for चड़ी, M. चांगला; मनुठ 'madder' for मिलिष्ट; पित 'a ball' for पिण्ड; खन 'a piece of bread' for खण्ड; चुमणु 'to kiss' for चुम्ब, &c. Here the Sindhî, as is usual with him, drops one component of the doubled consonants. With this exception, the modern dialects have got no cases of the assimilation of the members of a conjunct unknown to the Prakrits, while as shown above the speakers of those dialects tenaciously retain the vocal habit of assimilation of their Prâkrit ancestors in a few cases.

And now I close this part of my inquiry. The results at which we have arrived are these: - The vernaculars of Northern India contain the instances and, in some cases, a large number of them, given by the Pråkrit grammarians or occurring in Pråkrit literature, of every one of the rules or processes of change grouped by me under the heads of softening, assimilation, dissimilation, interchange of places, accentuation, and peculiarities by which Sanskrit words become Pråkrit. Most of these processes have been continued and their range widened, to such an extent in some of the dialects as to render them distinctive characteristics of those dialects. Other processes scarcely or rarely observable in the Prakrits have come into operation, such as the original accent on the penultimate syllable and the final accent derived from it. the avoiding of the hiatus caused by the elision of consonants in the Pråkrits in different ways, the change of # to #, and the dropping of one component of a double consonant and the lengthening of a previous yowel; and these have further transformed the Prakrit vocables and

changed also those Sanskrit words that have been adopted in later times. Some of the vocal tendencies and habits of the Prakrit speakers are exhibited by the speakers of the vernaculars. Thus, like the former the ordinary Gujarati pronounces ए and ओ as ए and ओ, the Desastha Brahmans and other people of Eastern Mahârâshtra have a predilection for of to which they reduce 7 in most cases,* the Sindhis make क्ल of क्ष, the Bangalis do the same and also pronounce भू, रूप and त्म as इज़, क्ल and त and the Hindî people reduce क्ष to छ. These again and the Konkan lower classes pronounce of as a like the speakers of the Paisachi, and the Bangali reduces all the sibilants to a like the speakers of the Magadhi; while the speakers of the Hindi, Sindhi and the Panjabî exhibit the old Mahârashtrî and Saurasenî characteristic of reducing them to H. The tendency to pronounce dentals as cerebrals is common to all, though it appears in a very strong form in the mouth of the Sindhis, and next to them in that of the Panjabis and the Hindî people. The general or almost universal elision of certain uninitial consonants, which was a characteristic of the Prakrits, has disappeared except in isolated cases, and the assimilation of the components of a conjunct which was also very general has become restricted to the few cases I have mentioned, though the words changed in the Prakrits in accordance with these two processes have come down to us in large numbers. While, therefore, this fact shows that the speakers of the Pråkrits were in a condition which rendered the two phenomena very general in their speech, and that we are now free from it, the fact that we exhibit some of the same vocal peculiarities which they possessed leads to the conclusion that we are their successors or lineal descendants. And the fact that the vocables in ordinary use in our modern dialects are the same as those in the old Prakrits, whether of a Desya or Sanskrit origin, and in the latter case whether derived by the transformation of Sanskrit words in accordance with processes which have ceased or which have continued to operate in the vernaculars, affords strong evidence in favour of the hypothesis that these dialects are but a more developed form of the Prakrits. But to place this hypothesis beyond the reach of cavil we must examine the grammar of our vernaculars; and this I propose doing in the next two lectures.

I feel it necessary, for the present, thus to modify the assertion made in p. 186.

LECTURE V.

Remnants of the Older Grammatical Forms in the Northern Vernaculars.

I have already directed your attention to the accent on the penultimate syllable of words in the vernaculars of Northern India and the effect it has had in mutilating and transforming the Sanskrit and Prakrit words existing in their vocabularies. Through its influence, you will remember, the final vowel or the vowel of the last syllable is shortened in some cases and often entirely dropped and the word ends in a consonant or silent eq. When the consonant of the last syllable is dropped in consequence of the Prakrit law of the elision of uninitial consonants the final vowel and the vowel of the penultimate syllable are brought together and when they are in this condition it is possible for them to unite into one sound and thus the final vowel is dropped in some cases and combined with the preceding in others. The Sindhî, however, oftentimes resists the influence of this accent as I have already mentioned. Now on account of these processes the nominative singular termination of masculine Prakrit nouns ending in of is sometimes shortened to a and in this form we have it in the Sindhi and in old Marâthî and Hindî literature. In the other dialects including the modern Marâthî and Hindi it is dropped except in rare instances such as gizer M. and Sign 'command' H. The Prakrit nlural terminations of and to of this case are also dropped everywhere for the same reason and we have such forms as gry S., gry H., gra M. G. &c. for the nom. pl. and these are the sing, forms also except in the case of the Sindhi, which has zy. In the Prakrit the plurals of the nominative and accusative cases of all nouns are alike and so are they alike in the vernaculars. The analogy came to be extended to the singulars also in the Apabhramsa and accordingly the singulars of the two cases are the same in the vernaculars. An independent accusative case made up by affixing a termination to a noun is given in ordinary grammars; but it has the sense of the dative, or rather of the Sanskrit genitive which expresses relation in general. Thus we say if the करितों M. 'I do a work', हूं काम करूं छूं G., आंव कम थे। कर्या S., मे कम करवा P.. मै काम करता है H., आमि or सुद्द काम किर or करिते छि B., सु कर्म कर आछ O., in which instances काम etc. are really accusatives and we do not use कामास, कामने, कमखे, कमन्त, कामको, कामके, and कर्मक, which forms are generally referred to the accusative. The masculine nom, and acc.

sing, form in 3 was in the Apabhramsa extended by analogy to the neuter singular also and, though the peculiar neuter plural termination was preserved, the fact shows that in the vernacular speech of the times there was a tendency to forget the distinction between the two genders. Accordingly we now find that in the Sindhi, the Panjabi the Hindi, Bangalî and Oriya the distinction is entirely lost. In Guiaratí also nouns ending in 37 have retained none of the two neuter terminations but the Prakrit and Apabhramsa s plural of that gender exists in the Marathi combined with the preceding st into t as the fruit' sing., कुळ 'fruits' pl. This neuter pl. termination is applied in Hindî, Sindhî, and Panjâbî to the pl. of fem. nouns ending in a as in रातें 'nights' H., जालां 'women' S. and गहां 'words' P., though the इ is changed to set in the last two cases. The change of the final syllables अओ of Prakrit words resulting from such Sanskrit words as आमातक:-को. पारट:-दो. दीपक:-को etc. to आ by the dropping of the final and lengthening of the penultimate through the force of the general accent on the penultimate in some of the modern dialects such as the Marâth? and the Hindî and to sit into which the two vowels are combined in consequence of the weakness of the accent in such languages as the Gujarâtî and the Sindhî and in some of the minor dialects of the Marâthi, has already been noticed in the last lecture. Similarly the change of the Prakrit final syllables of neuter nouns said or said arising from such Sanskrit words as कदलकम, मस्तकम have been changed to tin the Marathi and to tin Gujarati and to sti in some of the dialects of the Marathi. This also has been explained. The pl. of such neuter nouns, most of which are formed by the addition of a and may be called augmented nouns, is formed by the addition of the Apabhranisa , which being rendered emphatic by the nasal sound absorbs the previous or light a and thus we have केळी for Ap. कअलअ+इ=Skr. कदलक+आनि. Fem. nouns in g or ई add आं as घोडी-घोडियां II., घोडिआं P. This यां or आं represents the combination of u or w with ; such nouns as viel being derived from घोटिका = घोडिआ. In Panjabi after fem. nouns in आ the sappears distinct, as बुद्धा a calamity, बुद्धाई nom. pl. In Sindhî the neuter termination is added to the original Prakrit fem. pl. 3, shortened from an as शोहने 'mares' nom. pl.; हचा 'murder, हचाऊं 'murders;' जाल a 'woman,' जालं 'women' nom. pl. This last has जाला also like the P. रातां. In Marath; also it is not very unusual to speak of women in the neuter pl. when respect is intended as गुणीसहित आहीं. This transference of neuter termination to the fem. must have taken place when genders began to be confused in the Apabhranisa period. The nom. pl. termination of masc. nouns, which in the Präkrit was M, is preserved by the class of nouns we have been considering, i. e. augmented nouns, in Sindhi and Gujarāti; while the v of the Präkrit acc. pl. is used for those nouns in the Marāthi and its dialects and the Hindi and the Panjābī. When the two cases were thoroughly confounded one can easily see how this came to pass. Other or unaugmented nouns have dropped both the terminations in all the languages as has been already noticed.

The Marâthî has preserved the Apabhranisa instr. sing. • which is used after nouns in sq and the plural fe reduced to f. In all the modern languages the g of the Apabhranisa forms is dropped. These terminations are appended to the old instrumental termination and we have # and # which are now ordinarily used. These you will see are double forms and of such we have many in the various languages. Goan., Mâl. and Chit. have a for the sing, and the last has t for the The loc. Apabhrazisa ff from the Pali ft and the Skr. समन is also used as वर्ष गेला; this is peculiar to nouns in अ, and in old Marathi it is reduced to आं after the augmented nouns in आ; as गळां, माथां etc. There are instances of the other locative in ए such as मध्ये and मते (माइया मते तम्ही जावे 'you should go in my opinion,' where मते is hardly an instrumental); but here evidently the termination vis confounded with the एं of the instrumental and we have माइया मतें, lit. "by my opinion." The dative # is generally considered a remnant of the Skr. स्य and Pr. स्त. But I have not found it in the Jñânesvarî and in its place we have si in the old poets, which is connected with a similar post-position in other languages which will be considered hereafter. None of the minor dialects of the language have anything like it. but still the derivation may be correct.

Gujarâtî has the old instrumental in v but it is confounded with the corresponding v of the fem. and with the locative v and we have one termination for both, with the nasal dropped. The shortened Apabhramsa form in v is found in old Gujarâtî. It is confounded with that in v the anusvârâ being dropped.

- (1) कान्हतणइ संपत्ति इसी जिसी इंदह घरि रिद्धि राजभवनि नवनिधि, 'The wealth or affluence of Kanha was as great as that of Indra.'

 From the chronicle of Kanhadade-prabandha given in the Gujarati School-paper.
- (2) पछि हुं माहरी गमि आब्धो। पछि बीजे दिनि.
 Panchopúkhyûn, the story of Hiranyaka.

In this latter, however, there occurs an anusvâra, should which be correct, the termination is a remnant of हिं. The Gujarâtî has also the double instrumental में in pronouns, as तेमें, जेमें, etc.

The Sindhi has got the ablative terminations आं, आं, ऊं, अड and आड. The first is from the pronominal instrumental हां of the Apabhramsa and महा of the Prâkrit, ओ and ऊं from the हुं of the former and अड and आड from the corresponding Prâkrit. The locative ए and its shortened form इ are also preserved, but they are used after nouns in अ as in the older dialects, as खंड or खहे पिओ 'he fell into a well.'

The Panjābî possesses the old abl. in हुं with the g dropped and the उ amalgamated with the preceding आ into ओं as चरों 'from a house', the locative pl. हिं as चरों 'in the house', the instrumental pl. हिं as उसदे हथीं 'by the hands' and the locative sing. in ए as उसदो दरगाहे 'in his court' The instr. in ने is also ordinarily used in the form of ने.

The Hindî has scattered instances of the loc. in \$\overline{\text{d}}\$, but the instrumental \$\overline{\text{s}}\$ is common. The Bangâlî has preserved the former. The instrumental also has \$\overline{\text{d}}\$, though the grammarians do not give it, as—

सरोवर मध्ये हंस देखि रूपवती। धरिवार मानसे चलिल शीघ्र गति॥ शुनिया भैमीर मन अनङ्गे पीडिल।

'The beautiful one having seen the swan in the lake went in haste with a desire to catch it.'

'Having heard (this) the heart of Bhaims was oppressed by love.'

गगनथाले केमन दीपरूपे अनुक्षण शोभि के शशीतपन

'How do the moon and the sun in the form of lamps shine in the plate of the sky!'

The Oriyâ also possesses the loc. in \mathbf{v} and as in the B. and G. it is confounded with the instr. in $\dot{\mathbf{v}}$, the nasal being lost, as \mathbf{v} in or by the hand.' This dialect has the Pr. abl. in \mathbf{v} also as \mathbf{v} are from the hand.'

The nom. pl. ओ or उ of fem. nouns in आ or है is preserved in the Mâl., Goan. and Chit. as जीभ, जिभो; साडी, साडी; and घोडी, घोडो; but the two latter are derived from the Skr. भाटिका and घोटिका which are nouns ending in आ. The final आ, which alone remains after the elision of the consonant क्, is dropped in consequence of the penultimate accent and we have साडी and घोडी. The ओ or उ of the plural of the original Prâkrit साडिआओ-उ is also dropped like the ओ or उ of घोडओ-उ in the ordinary Marâțhî साडी and घोडी and, just as the previous vowel in this

last is lengthened in consequence of the accent and the resulting form is घोडा. so the previous आ of साडिआओ-उ and घोडिआओ-उ being accented remains and we have साड्या and घाड्या for साहिआ and घोडिआ, but in the dialects of the Marathi in which the sit or s of Masc. nouns in the sing. is preserved we have घोडो, कांटो etc.; so also is the ओ-उ of the fem, preserved and we have साइचे, श्रांडपो etc. As to unaugmented fem. nouns in z or z the final is, of course, dropped by the penultimate accent, but in the plural it is preserved being accented and the termination of the plural ओ-उ which is unaccented is dropped as वेस sing., वेढी pl., Skr. बहि, 'creeper'; बहिण sing , बहिणी pl., Skr. भगिनी, 'sister'; कुस sing., कसी-श्री pl. 'sides; कळ sing., केळी pl.,Skr. कदली etc. As in the dialects of the Marathi the pl. Wi is preserved in Gujarati; but there it is transferred to the pl. of all nouns whatever and the process is going on even in our times, being extended to the masc. nouns ending in si also, which formed their nom, and acc. pl. formerly by adding आ, as घोडो sing., बोहा pl., but now often बोहाओ. The Sindhi also has preserved the fem. 3, as I have already observed, but the nasal of the neuter is combined with it to form the nom, and acc. pl.

The nom. sing. of the relative pronoun is sin M. H. P. S. and जे in G O. and B. O. has जेड़े also. The ए of the latter is another form of the nom. If to be traced perhaps to the old Magadhi nom. sing as in एसे पुलिसे for Skr. एव पुरुष: The correlative is तो in M. and H. and ते in G. and Hi in P. S. and H in B. and O. The Hindi has Hi also. The Hi is the old Pr. nom. sing.; but the oblique base in P. S B. & O. is the The base of the interrogative pronoun is a as in the older languages. The nom. sing. is कीन H., कीण P. and कीण G. M. and के O. and B.—also केडं O. The कोण and its varieties are to be traced to the Pr. कडण which stands for the Skr. क: पुन:. The near demonstrative is se or ve in H. P. nom. sing. which is also pronounced in other ways: and the nom. pl. is q. The first is to be referred to the Ap. vel. Pr. एसो, Skr. एव:; and the latter to आये Ap. The अय of the Skr. अयम is generalised in this language and is to be found in all the cases of the pronoun. Hence आये is the nom. pl. The G. has ए, M हा m., ही fem., ह n.,—dial. हो ही-झां for the nom. sing., and the regular ह m. ह्या fem. हो n. for the pl.; O has v, vie, sie nom. sing., and B. v all of which are from the Ap. एही-एह or एह. The general obl. base is q or ह in M., ए G., इ or हि S., and g in the rest, the origin of which is the same, M. q, however, being referred to the Ap. My which is the generalised form of 334. The distant demonstrative has 3 for its base. The nom. sing. in H. is 3. 37. बह, pl. वे; in P. बह, S. ह and हो and B. ओ for both. The S. has द also for the sing., G. has M for the sing. and its usual MM for the pl. All these are to be derived from the Ap. M nom. and acc. pl., M traced to M of the Skr. pronoun M. The M. and O. have lost this pronoun.

The nom. sing. of the first personal pronoun in M. is मी and Chit. में both of which are to be traced to the Ap. acc. instr. and loc. मई, Pr. मई, Skr. मया and मिंगे. The Goan. has हांत, the G. हुं, old H. dialects हों, हुं, the Dogar dialect of the P. हवं, which are all from the Ap. हवं to be traced to the Skr. अहमम, * the s of which is, you will remember, that of the nom. sing. which in that dialect was freely used as the G ओ is at present. The Sindhi आंदे and ओ are further corruptions of these. H. P. have also में like the Chit. में, the origin of both being the same. The B. & O. have शह and श which may be traced to the Ap. abl. and gen. sing. मह, but I should prefer considering the इ of the Ap. and M. forms to be changed to s in consequence of the usual fondness of the B. and O. for the s sound as noticed in the last lecture.

The pl. of this pronoun in M. is आही, dial. आमी, B. आमी, which is the same as the Ap. अहार traced by me to the Skr. अस्पके. The G. अमे and the O. अस्मे are from the usual Ap. and Pr. form अहो from अस्मे generalised from the usual Skr. base yen by false analogy. In yen is changed to ray as it often is. The H. gay is this was but the aspiration is transferred to the initial of and the of to which it is attached in the older and the other dialect is left free. The termination v is also dropped. The S. and P have NEW. Skr. EU is sometimes changed in the Pr. to FR as in the Magadhi and Mah. from locative; but in the written Prakrits that have been handed down to us the अस्म of अस्मद is not found corrupted to EH. It may, however, have been so in some part of the country. The second person sing, is in all the languages except B. which has as. The H. has a also. Of these a is the same as the Ap. as, the s of this dialect being as you know dropped throughout; and as and are transferred from the instrumental. The pl. is तुझी in M. from the Ap. तुझड़ similar to अहाड़ and the B. तुझि is softened from this. The G. has तमे softened from तत्तो the 3 being changed to 37 by a peculiarity of the Gujarâtî, which has been fully noticed in the last lecture. In the O. au the

^{*} Mr. Beames traces them to EE Ap., MENR Skr., but such forms do not exist-Prof. Lassen to whom he refers only conjectures MEN to account for MEN, but MEN is clearly derived from MENR which exists even in Skr. The reading EE in the passage from Kramadevara given by Lassen is questionable. Hemachandra has EE distinctly and the form occurs in many verses.

final ए is dropped and a softened form of this is the Hindi तुम. The P. has तुसी to be derived from तुष्म or युष्म in the same way as असी from अस्म. The S has तन्हीं, तदीं, तहीं, तहीं, अन्हीं, अवी, अहीं, आहें and अहें. In तन्हीं we have the अ to which द is reduced as in G. and the म of ह्यी analysed into its labial and nasal elements. The other three are softened forms of this same, and in the rest the initial त is dropped as we have seen it dropped in the Pr. in the bases दह्य for तुह्य, उद्ध्य for तुह्य, उद्ध्य for तुह्य both of which exist in that dialect.

The Skr. conjugational distinction is, you will remember, lost in the Pråkrits; but the increments that roots undergo in the several classes are preserved and made parts of the roots themselves Now these roots so augmented have descended to the vernaculars. Thus we have बिह old M. G. 'to fear' from the Skr. बिभी of the 3rd class; बुझ M. G. 'to understand', सिज M. 'to be cooked.' बिझ S 'to throw,' नाच M. G. H., etc. 'to dance,' उपज M. G. 'to be born', निपज M. G. 'to issue torth', जुझ M. 'to fight,' माज M. G. 'to be maddened and खिज old M. G. 'to be sad' from the Skr. बुध्य, स्विय, विध्य, नृत्य, उत्पय, निष्यय, पृथ्य, माय & खिद्य, all belonging to the 4th class; सुन or सुण H. S. B. P. O. from Skr. जूण of the 5th; जाण M. G. H. P. etc. 'to know' किण B. O. 'to buy' and गिण्ड S. 'to take' from the Skr. जाना, कीणा and यहा of the 9th class. We have roots also in the forms which they have in the second and sixth classes, as नहा and जा from स्ना and या of the 2nd and खिख, खिह, तुर, फुट, खिंप M. खिंब S., कांत, (Skr. कुन्त) also कार 'to cut,' सिंच from the 6th.

Of the three Pr. tenses and moods the Marathi has preserved two. the Present and the Imperative The third, viz. the Future, is not lost, but the form became by phonetic corruption similar to those of the Present and you will remember, that the difference between the two tenses consisted in the intercalatory = , afterwards dissolved into R, and thence softened to fs. which having dropped its s in the vernaculars melted away and another sign had to be added to the Present forms to indicate the Future. The Present was used in old Marâthî and is used even at present in poetry, but in ordinary speech it has acquired a past habitual sense. It has a potential signification in some cases as all di तथपर्यंत तेथे थांब. In the Gujarâtî it has acquired a potential sense in addition to the old and, to indicate present time distinctly, the forms of the present tense of the substantive verb are used in conjunction with it as हं करं है. The Brajbhasha agrees with the G. in this respect and adds its हों as में करों हो. In the H. P. and S. it signifies potentiality only; and in the last vi maso., vi fem. are added to the forms to denote present time. vi is the past part. of the root vivor from Skr. स्थित, Pr. चित्र, which means 'become' in Sindhî. The old Hindî or Braj poets use this tense in the present also. In all these languages, however, the old Present tense of the auxiliary अम् or आस् indicates present time alone.

B. O. preserve this tense in the old sense throughout. M. has two forms of this old Present:—

 1st
 करीं
 करं
 हसें
 हमं

 2nd
 करीस
 करा
 हसस
 हसा

 3rd
 करी
 करीत
 हसे
 हसत

In poetry we have ति and सि for त and स as— आह्रचिया काजाचे निर्पाह । देखती आपुर्ले जीवित्व धोकहें ॥

Jñán, I. 112

ऐशी सामग्री माझ्या आंगीं जाणसी तूं

Jñûn, III, 162.

The termination if of the first person sing is derived from the Pr. मि, म being simply converted into an anusvara, i. e., मि when pronounced without closing the lips becomes \$\displaystyle \text{In the same way the} Pr. 4 of the pl. becomes i The 2nd per. sing. A remains unaltered, but in ordinary usage the final g is dropped in consequence of the usual accent on the penultimate. The g of the Pr. pl. loses its aspiration as we have found it to have done in the cases and thus we have at + 3 = at The 3rd per. sing. termination is the same as in Pr. viz. 5. The pl. has lost its nasal and in current Marathi the 5 also on account of the penultimate accent In the other set the 3rd per. sing. termination is v, the same as in Pr., and to be traced to the Skr. a of the Âtm. In the Prakrit there is no Âtmanepada termination for the 1st pers. sing., but still we have there. This vowel is inserted before all the consonantal terminations of the present tense of the verb आहे. We have also ह in करीस and करीत, though it has no place in the Pr. This is probably due to the transference of the final ; in करनी and करती to the penultimate syllable. The first of the two, i. e., the Parasm. set of forms, is used for transitive verbs and the second or Âtmanepada for those that are intransitive and some that are transitive but denote a sort of reflexive action as पढ 'to read', शिक 'to learn', बोक 'to speak', सांग 'to tell', etc. The Chit. has both the padas and the ए of the Âtm. is confined to the 3rd pers. sing. in the root अस as तो से but में सां or सइं. In the Mal. we have आ and in the Goan. अ long for ए as आसा, यावा and set the final se being long. In these dialects the 2nd pers. pl. termination is a which is transferred from the corresponding 3rd or is a remnant of the Pr. sau and the vowels of the first pers. form vriddhi dipthongs with the preceding base in both the padas as मी करें 'I do', or 'I used to do', आम्ही करों 'we do' or 'used to do.'* The Goan. and Chit, however, have the first pers. sing. Âtm. in आ also. The Âtm. is not used for roots ending in other vowels than आ, though they may be intransitive as न्हा, आ, हो, दे, etc., and in this particular the Marâthî remarkably agrees with the old Pr.

O. & B. have the following forms for the present.

	0.	В.		
मु करइं	अम्भे करु	मुइ करि	आमि करि	
तु करु	तुम्भे कर	तुइ करिस	तुमि कर	
से करइ	से करन्ति	से करे	तिनि करेन	

These also are from the Pr. forms O. has lost the masal of the lst pers. pl. and B of the sing. also, i. e., it has one same form for both. O. has lost the Ξ of the 2nd pers. sing. and has Ξ in its place. The place of both is pronounced Ξ is being broad like that to which Ξ even of Skr. words is reduced in ordinary utterance by the speakers of these languages. This form Ξ is the same as the M Ξ from the Pr. Ξ O. has preserved the 3rd pers. pl. Ξ intact; B. has lost the Ξ and Ξ .

The other languages have:

	G.		И.
हुं करुं तुं करे ते करे	अमे करिये तमे करो तेओ करे	में करं तुं करे उद्द करे	हम करें तुम करो वै करें
	S. Tr.	•	
आंऊं मारि	ઞાં -યૌ		गरिऊं-र्यं
तूं मारिएं-रे	'-તાં	अहीं म	गरिओ-यों
हु मारे		ह्र मारी	नि-रिनि
S. I	ntr.		P. '
हलां	हन्त्रं	मे करां	अमीं करये
हर्ले	हला	तूं करें	तुसी करो
हले	हलनि	उह करे	उह करण

^{*} These vriddh forms appear to be due to the penultimate & being pronounced long through the accent according to the usual rule and by the combination of \$\frac{3}{5}\$ or \$\frac{3}{5}\$ with it; so that the combined sound is \$\frac{3}{5}\$ and \$\frac{3}{5}\$. When the lengthened & becomes \$\frac{3}{5}\$ it overpowers the final \$\frac{3}{5}\$ and this latter is dropped and we have \$\frac{3}{5}\$ and the final \$\frac{3}{5}\$ is a in \$\frac{3}{5}\$ is a horse the penultimate is lengthened into \$\frac{3}{5}\$ and the final \$\frac{3}{5}\$ is dropped and we have \$\frac{3}{5}\$ is the final \$\frac{3}{5}\$ is dropped and we have \$\frac{3}{5}\$ is the final \$\frac{3}{5}\$ is the fina

The Hindi 2nd and 3rd person sing. and pl. are derived directly from those in Ap. The g of करहि-ह-इ-हि being dropped as usual; the forms are करड-उ-इ- and when the vowels are combined we have करे-रो-7-7. The G. P. and S. forms are exactly similar, the only difference consisting in the nasal of the 3rd. pers. pl. which has been elided in the first as is done in the instrumental singular. The P. and S. w and A must be referred to far of the Pr. since the Ap. possessed the Pr. forms as well as the new ones. In old G. Mss. it is usual to write the g and g of these forms separately. The G. & H. first pers. sing. 75 is from the Ap कार्ड, while the आ of the P. करा and the S. हळा is to be traced rather to the $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ of the Pr. than to this $\hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}$ as that of the $\hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}$ in the Chit, and Goan. by the elision of and the lengthening of the preceding vowel. There are instances of this change given in the Pr. as we have seen. S. 3 of the 1st pers. pl. is the same as that in M. & O. and to be derived from the Ap. & and the Pr. g. The v of the Hindî 1st per. pl. is brought over from the 3rd person and this transference was facilitated by the nasal of the original termination; or, since in the Ap. both fit and s exist, when in a later stage of the language the letter was appropriated and fixed for the sing, the former was adopted for the pl. and changed to g which became to by amalgama-The G. and P. further dropped the nasal of this w and tion. interposed a vowel which is probably of the same nature as that in the S. wig. The S. like the M. inserts g before the terminations in the case of transitive verbs, as in the forms of any given above and does not when the verbs are intransitive or reflexive as शिक and पढ. The forms of the Ap. Present occur in the older Hindî poets. Thus in Tulsîdâs's Râmâyana we have:-3rd per. sing.

त्रंधु गिरा पुनि मृषा न होइ। शिव सर्वज्ञ जान सब कोइ। Rala_K

'But Sambhu's word is not false.
Everybody knows Siva to be omniscient'.
निशिचर एक सिंधु मह रहई। करि माया नभके खग गहई॥
Sundar-K.

'An evil spirit dwells in the sea and having recourse to deceit catches hold of the birds in the sky.'

3rd per. pl.

संत इंस ग्रुण गहाईं पे परिहारें वारि विकार। Bala-K.

'Good men like swans admit merit like milk leaving off the watery pollution'

नारद शिख जु छंनहिं नरनारी। अवश भवन तजि होंहिं भिखारी॥

Båla-K.

'Those men and women that listen to the advice of Nârada become beggars having abandoned their homes.'

2nd per. pl.:

करह कवन कारण तप भारी।

Bála-K

'For what reason do you go through excessive austerities?'

विपिन अकेलि फिरह केहि हेतू।

Bala-K.

'Why do you wander alone in the forest?' 1st pers. sing.:

नारद वचन न में परिहरऊं। बसी भवन उजरो नहिं हरऊं॥

BAla-K.

'I shall not neglect the advice of Nârada and shall not be afraid even if thereby the world stands or falls (becomes desolated).' Ist pers. pl.:

तात सनह सादर मन छाई। कहहं रामकी कथा सहाई॥

Bâla-K.

'Dear one, do hear with an attentive mind; [we] nerrate the pleasing story of Râma.'

Another reading is asi which is sing, and the sense is 'I narrate'.

The old 2nd pers. sing. in fer is met with thus:-

भरत सपथ तोहि सत्य कहु परि हरि कपट दुराइ। हरष समय विस्मय करिस कारण मोहि सनाइ॥

Λy.-K.

'I charge thee in the name of Bharata; tell me the truth laying aside all insincerity. On the occasion of joy thou art gloomy; explain to me the reason.'

There are also specimens of the Âtm.:

देखे जहं तहं रघपति

Bâla.-K.

' Sees Raghupati here and there.'

जो अब करों सती सन प्रीती । मिटै भक्ति पथ होइ अनीती॥

Bala.-K.

'If now you are amorous towards the Sati (Pârvati) the way of devotion will end and immorality (will) result.'

चळे बसह चढ़ि।

Båla.-K.

'He goes having sat on the bull.'

And it appears that the q of the Present is used after verbs of the same kind as in Marâthî. The vowel इ of the 3rd pers. sing. does not become q as in the modern Hindî and other, where the distinction between the two padas is lost, but retains its original form as in घरि पुछी (गइ समीप महेश तब इंसि पूछी कुशलात 'when she went near Maheśa smiling asked her how she fared'.)

The Marâthi, Oriya, and the Bangâlî have preserved the Imperative mood entire; the others, only the second person sing., the rest being taken over from the old present or the modern Potential.

	M.	•	Ğ	. 3.		В.
1st 2nd 3rd	करूं कर-रीं करो	करूं-रों करा कर्सेत ंते	करि कर कर	करुं कर करन्तु or करन्त	करि कर-करीस करुक्	करि कर करह करीओ करुन्

In the 1st per. sing. the g of the Pr. is changed to g in M. i. e. m has lost its labial element as in the Present. O. and B. have got the form of the Present for it, the nasal being dropped. The si of the pl. is similarly changed to an and is also shortened or resolved into \$. The B. has the same form as the sing. The 2nd pers. sing. in M. has the form without the termination as in the Pr., the other made up by adding & corresponds to that in the Ap. ending in that vowel. Intransitive and reflexive roots have it instead of i which form is also found in the Ap. as धावें, शिकें, सांगें. The O. and B. do not possess these terminations but the latter has # which is to be traced to the Pr. #. The pl. is the same as in the Pr. the g having left its trace in the lengthening of the vowel. The O. and B. कर is also really at pronounced broadly and the latter retains & unchanged also. The 3rd per. sing. termination 3 of the Pr. is amalgamated with the preceding vowel into si in M. and s in O. and B. M. has also sometimes इ for ओ. The क of the B. is a later addition and is probably to be traced to the Interrogative pronoun beginning with s. It is to be compared with such M. expressions as जाऊं दे कि. The O. preserves the 3rd per. pl. =d unchanged but in the optional form the final s is dropped. M. and B. have transferred the characteristic 3 to the preceding syllable and the former has dropped the nasal and the latter the a. In M. the z is as in the sing, changed to 3 but in some cases it is preserved and we have such forms as जाउत, देजत etc. We find instances in which the z preserves its place after z as in the O. Thus in Jñânes'varî:-

हे वरी भलतें करितु । आसांचि एथें मारितु । परी आपण मनें घातु । न चिंतावा ॥ T—225

'These on their part may do an unwelcome thing, just here and now kill us but we should not think of doing injary.'

H. P. and G. have at for the sing. as in the above; and and pl. the same as that of the Present which itself is from the Ap. at the characteristic Imper & being attached to the f of the Pr. and even in the Pr. and M. B and O. 2nd per pl. is the same as in the Present. The P has also the form in f The Sindhi Imperative 2nd per. sing. of intransitive and reflexive verbs ends in was to always a same as and of transitive verbs and a few others in was as and. The worders in the Ap. along with the f and walready noticed. Though Modern Hindi has lost the Imperative forms for other persons than the second, old Hindi poets use them; and for the second per. sing also they have the Ap. form in f preserved in S and M. Thus Tulsidâs —

2nd pers. sing प्रश्च हत्तमंतिह कहा बुझाइ। in इ धरी बदहप अर्वध पुर जाइ॥

'The lord said to Hanumanta, "Assume the form of a boy and go to the city of Ayodhyâ"'

The 2nd pers pl. is the same as that of Present which prevails in all the languages from the Pr downwards.

पारवती पहं जाइ तुम प्रेमपरीक्षा लेहु।

"Having gone to Pârvatî examıne her devotion."

The 3rd per. sing. ends in si or si as in the M & O.

मेरी भव बाधा हरौ राधा नागरि सोइ।

-Beharîlâla

"May that polished Rådhå remove my worldly distress."

करो अउपह सोइ।-Tuls. R.

"May he confer favours."

The 3rd pers pl. has ξ which is an Ap. form found in some of Hemachandra's quotations. The ξ has been introduced simply to distinguish it from the sing.

चरणकमल वंदी सबकेरे । पुरबहु सकल मनोरथ मेरे ॥-Tuls. R.

"I adore the lotus-like feet of all. May they fulfil all my desires."

The 1st per. sing. is the same as that of the Present and it is difficult to distinguish it; but in such a construction as the following the M. would have the imperative.

चली सती शिव आयसपाई। करहिं विचार करी का माई॥

"The Sati having got S'iva's permission went, and was thinking what deception to use."

The pl. also must be the same. Old G. has also the Imper. forms in 3 and 3 as:—

पूछड बात पातशाह इसी । गुनरातिते कहीइ किसी ॥

'The emperor asked this matter "how is (injarât?"

ग्रजरा स्यं मांडिसिं कलहू । माहारड साथइ कटक मोकलु ॥

"If you will declare war against (Jujarât send an army with me." The G. and S. have another form for the 2nd per. of the Imper.; the terminations of this are इति S, जे G. for the sing. and इतो and जो for the pl. The H. has जे and जो for roots ending in ई and ज and इये and इये for the rest. The P. and B. have इजो for the pl. and in the former the singular is confounded with the usual इ termination. In M. such form is not now ordinarily used. In addresses on letters, however, such expressions as प्रविष्ट कीजे, दीजे are used and these forms are found in the works of the old Marathi poets. Thus in Jñanes'var:—

परियामी चात कीजे। मग आपण राज्यसख भोगिजे। हें स्वर्पाहि मन मांबे। करूं न शके॥ 1—213

'This my mind can not think of doing even in a dream that I should kill them and enjoy afterwards the pleasures of sovereignty.'

तरि अवधान येकलें दीजे । मग सर्व सुलासीं पात्र होइजे ॥ IX-1.

'Give yours ttention alone and qualify yourself for all happiness.'

मुनि मांगे नुमनाथ । जनमेजया ॥ जे अद्वितीय उत्तम । पवित्रैक निरुपम । परम मंगळयाम । अवधारि जो ॥ 1-45-19.

"The Muni narrates this story to Janamejaya the king, saying (you should) attend to this which is unique, excellent, the hely thing, the unsurpassed and the highest abode of all auspicious things.'

आतां देइजो अवधान । तुद्धी बोलविला मी बोलेन ॥ I--- 8.

'Give attention now; I will speak what you make me speak.'

These forms have been traced by Dr. Trump in his Sindhi Grammar to the Pr. base in sm used in the Present, Imperative and Future and derived by Lassen from the Present and by me from the Potential; and so far as the form in the S. and G is concerned there is no objection. But these forms are in the vernaculars used when respect for the person spoken to is intended and the Potential as distinguished from the Imperative is by no means more respectful in Skr. The II. forms are not assigned each to each number but both of them are used in the sing, or pl. and its \mathbf{q} can by no means be derived from \mathbf{m} ; for though the contrary process the changing of \mathbf{q} to \mathbf{m} is common there is so far as 1 am aware no single well established instance of the other. In Skr. nothing is more usual than to use the Passive of

the 3rd pers, sing Imperative in respectful solicitation The second pers of the active Imper. is the least respectful since there is a direct reference in that voice to the agent and such a reference to great men is regarded as improper or in the case of others not courteous. 3rd pers. with wars as the nom. is more so; because the reference is indirect but the most respectful construction is the l'assive as it does not point to the agent at all but to the thing done by him. Thus the ज in these forms is from the य of the passive and the Hindî इंग from इंअ which is the other corruption of that termination in the Pr The M. देइजो corresponds to Skr दीयताम, the Imper. 3rd pers sing. termination in that language being उ दीजे corresponds to दीवते of the Present and the potential sense may be attributed to the fact that the whole tense has assumed that sense in the vernaculars The agent may, if the forms are passive, be any person and any number since it does not agree with the verb; and this explains the Hindi usage. But as generally in solicitation the person addressed is the second pers. though he is referred to indirectly and is, when cases are used, put in the instr. as in the Jnanes'vari -

द्रोणातें म्हणे पाहिजे तुम्हीं सकल।

"He said to Drona, everything should be looked after by you"

These forms become in the G & S identified with the second and easily because one of the terminations and happens to be the same as that of the Imper. 2nd pers pl in those languages and got confused with the other Pr Potential forms in an, wherefore we find in the S. the Imper. 2nd pers sing. terminations and applied to the base as fully become, and hear. This confusion extended to the Hindralso since to roots in so it appends and and and; for the Potential increment are given in the Pr. to roots ending in an, s, and etc. 1. c. any vowel except a In the progress of a language confusion is natural and frequently observable. This double etymology explains all the facts of the case; while if we confine ourselves to one some are not accounted for.

We have seen that there are two classes of verbs in M one of which takes the augment ξ in the Present before the consonantal terminations as करीस, करीत and the other takes v or v as भारें, धावत. The Imper. 2nd pers. sing. of the former is made up by adding v in old or poetic Marathi and of the latter by adding v. The former takes v in the Future necessarily in the 1st and 3rd pers. sing. as करील, करीन and optionally in some others as करतील or करितील, करशील or करितील. This option is extended even to the Present करिती or करती and the past par-

ticiple धरिहें or धरहें; while in the present participle these verbs necessarily take s as करित आहे. The latter class takes ए in the Future 1st and 3rd pers. sing. and the option in the last is not allowed, we being added in all, including the present part., as शिकल, शिकतील not शिकितील, शिकला not शिकिला, शिकतो and शिकत आहे; so that this class must have ए in some cases and wa in the rest. The Sindhi has a similar distinc-Those roots that take 5 before the termination of the Pres. as कर्याथों "I do" form their Imper. 2nd pers. sing. in इ and insert this in the present part. as क्रीन्दो; while the rest do not add this इ, as हलां 1st pers. sing., good pres. part., and take win the Imper. sing., go Imper. 2nd pers. sing. We thus see that we have z in the one case and opposed to it are the vowels v, or and of in the other. The former is a characteristic of the transitive or the non-reflexive nature of a root while the latter of the opposite. Why should it be so? The vowels z and v form the distinctive marks of the Parasm. and Atm. in Skr. and Pr. A consciousness of this and of the difference between Parasm, roots and Atm. roots has been preserved in the modern S. and M. and hence there is an attempt to insert those vowels in all possible cases; and the base itself of the roots is made to end in them. But in the Praktits and to a great extent even in Skr. the base of most of the roots (भण. EU, etc.) has an imperative signification. Hence the newly constituted bases करी and यावें also came to have an imper. sense. these are the forms of the Imper. not only in our languages but the old Apabhrams'a also; hence the process I mention must have been gone through even then. This is a better explanation of the Ap. forms than deriving them both from is, especially since this is a dialect so prone to bring in g even when it can not be had, that it is not likely to reject an already existing one. You will thus see how subtle the operation of the law of analogy is in the development of a language. Now as regards the Ap. form we see that we have it in Sindhi where the other languages have sq, so that it is the old inorganic 3 which prevails so much in the Ap. And we have seen that \(\xi\) is used in many more grammatical forms in M than v, whilst instead of it in other places and in the Sindhî in all but the Imper. 2nd pers. sing. we have si; so that g is made the distinctive mark of the Parasm. character of a root while its absence or the insertion of v indicates the Atm. character.

The third Skr. tense preserved by the Prâkrits is the Second Future. It has descended to the Gujaratî. Thus,

ŧ	करीस	अमे करिए
हुं तुं	करसे	तमे करसो
ते	करसे	तेओ करहे

The et of these forms is the old Skr et corrupted to en in the S'aur. and et in the Ap. From the Skr. downwards the terminations of the Present in each of the languages are appended, as formerly observed, to this tense. So in the G. we find in the 2nd and 3rd pers. the terminations et and end of the G. Present attached to et. But the consciousness of the etymology of these forms has been partially lost; wherefore in 1st pers. we have not extension for the sing corresponding to end attitude corresponding to extension. But G has derived its 1st pers. pl. extension aften which, you will remember, was an optional S'aur. form in addition to entending, the nasal being dropped according to the usual Guj rule. We have these forms in the Braj-Bhâshâ but the et is corrupted to eas it very often is. Thus,

करिहों	करिंहे
करिंहै	करिहो
करिहै	करिहै

Here in the 1st pers. sing. we have the si of the Pres. Braj as in sai, unlike the G. and in conformity with the ancient practice. You will also observe that the old augment ξ is preserved. It may be suspected that these forms are derived from those in the Pr which have ξ instead of ξ , but you will have observed that there we have ξ as in said and here ξ which represents the S'aur, and Aphr. ξ . The old Future occurs in Tulsidasa's Ramâ.

3rd pers sing. प्रिया सोच परिहरहु सब सुमिरहु श्री भगवान्। पारवती जिन निर्मयं सोइ करिहर्हि कल्यान्॥

"Dear, leave off all anxiety, remember the great God. He who created Parvati will do her good."

If the dot on it is a mistake this is 3rd pers, sing.

3rd pers. pl. छमिहहिं सज्जन मोरि हिटाई । सुनिहहिं बाख्यचन मन खाई॥

"Good men will pardon my temerity and hear the words of (this) child with attention."

2nd pers. pl. कहत मम मन अतिसंकुचाई । इंसिइहु स्नि हमारि जडताई ॥ "I am ashamed in my mind to tell the truth; for, hearing, at my stupidity you will laugh."

In these forms the terminations of the Apbr. Present are appended to the g derived from g; but the following are three instances of the Braj form occurring in the same work.

lst pers. pl. तब तब वदन पैतिहों आई ॥ सत्य कहों मोहि जान दे माई ॥
"Then I will enter thy mouth; I tell you the truth, let me go
mother."

3rd pers. pl. मम कृत सेतु जो दरशन करिहें। सो विद्यक्षम भवसागर तरिहें॥
"They who will visit this bridge constructed by me will cross the ocean of life without trouble."

In an essay published in the Bengal Asiatic Society's Journal. 1873. Mr. Beames gives the forms of the Future found in the earliest Hindî poet Chand, which are exactly similar to those in the Braj and. misled by the latter parts &, &, & etc. which resemble those of sen or sne so greatly, traces them to the Periphrastic Future of the Skr. चित्राहिम-सि etc. But this Future is in the first place rarely used in Skr. and altogether discarded by the Pâli and not a trace of it is to be found in any of the numerous Prâkrits. Besides if we derive these forms from the Periphrastic Future we must have in the 3rd pers. such forms as चलिआ-चलिया and चलिआर. And the old forms which Tulsidas uses of the type of चलिहाह, from which evidently the others are derived, will remain unexplained. There can be little doubt that this derivation is wrong. We have seen the several steps by which we gradually rise to चिंह from चलिन्यति and the chain is so well connected that this etymology must be admitted as correct. The resemblance to that form in Gujarati also must not be forgotten.

Past time is in all the vernaculars expressed by means of the past participle as in the Pr., all the Skr. past tenses being lost, as you will remember, except in isolated cases. This participle is in the older languages passive in the case of transitive verbs generally and active when the verb is intransitive and also in the cases of some transitive verbs such as शिकला, ट्याला etc. This rule is strictly observed in most of the modern dialects and hence in the past tense we have generally no active voice when the verb is transitive and the agent is, as in the older languages, put in the instr., thus in H. देखकर्ने पोथी दिखी, P. उसने कम कीता, S. हन फकीरखे धरुडिणो, G. जवेरिलाले मारीपासे माणस मोकल्यो, M. पारध्याने वाघ मारिला. In all these sentences the last words are past participles and they agree with the object in the Nom. case in number, gender and person. In the O. and B., however, all distinction between gender, number and person being lost the agreement with the object is not perceptible. In these languages and to some extent in Marâthî this participle is made the base of the regular verbal forms; wherefore I shall have to discuss this point again.

The Hindi participle ends in which of course is the same termination as in Pr., thus Skr. na, Pr. na the consonant being elided, and with the connecting यू, ग्रय. When augmented by the addition of क in the manner I have shown in the last lecture and also in this it is nul the st alone of the st being left. In the feminine the augmented form is गयी and where this य is not inserted it is गई. By adding this augmented form on to any root whatever the Hindî past participle is formed as दिखा, पदा etc. An enphonic य intervenes when the root ends in a vowel as cur, drar etc. Similar to this is the B. and O. past participle as देखा in देखाजाव B., लेखा, दिया O. The S. termination is इओ and the P. इआ as जागिओ from जागण 'to be awake,' पर्विओ from पर्लेण 'to remain,' गुरुआ 'gone', घलिआ 'sent;' the nom. termination 3 and the augment of for a being left out of consideration it is reduced to The G. has and the Braj and as in theal, theal 'kept' from the, कहा। कहा। 'told' from कह, where also the ओ being dropped the termination is q i.e. 337 hastily pronounced. These languages therefore preserve the old termination with the augment g prefixed to it as in the Pr., as हासिओ, पढिओ etc. Some of the vernaculars and notably the Sindhi have also inherited from the Pr. readymade Tadbhava forms of the past passive participles as,

S.	Pr.	Skr.	G. Pr. Skr.
बधो	बहो	बद्रः	रींठो दिट्टो हुष्टः
बुधो	बुद्धी	बुद्धः	लीघो लढी लब्धः
भगो	भग्गो	भग्र:	पेठो पइट्टो प्रविष्टः
पातो	पत्तो	प्राप्तः	कीघो किरो S'aur. कृतः
पुणी	पुण्णी	વૂર્णઃ	Hindi also possesses a few.
पीठो	पिट्ठो	पिष्टः	बैठा ^उ वदङ्गो उ पविष्टः
तपो	तत्तो	तप्तः	[3 being elided.]
तुठो	तुद्री	तुष्टः	पैठा पैठा प्रविद्य
छिनो	ত্তি ন্সী	তিন্ ব :	But these participles are
	दुद्धा	दुग्धः	made the bases of verbal
हुची दीठी	दिहो	हुष्टः	forms; the infinitives being
गठो	धट्टो	भृष्टः	बैटना and पैटना.
ल्यो	ळ हो	लंडचः	

The Sindhî seems to have made up the forms of a few roots on the analogy of these though they do not exist in the Pr. as झस्तो from झरू, Skr. धृत, Pr. घरिओ; पीतो drunk, कीतो done; (P. has this also); but some of these may be modern Tadbhavas. Marathî does not possess this form and past tense is expressed in that language by a form end-

ing in जा-ली-डे. This is adjectival in nature and passive in the case of transitive verbs and active in that of intransitive or reflexive verbs. It is in every respect then like the Skr. and Pr. past participle preserv. ed in the other dialects. But here we have & (%) for the of (of) of This s has been traced to the Skr. 7; but since in the Maharåshtri or principal Pråkrit that termination leaves its a only it must be derived from the S'aur. & which often becomes & and & is frequently confounded with \ and \ as we have seen. In Sindhi Skr. \ is always ह as है 'give' from दा, इयो from दग्ध and हिटो etc. Similarly even in the Prâkrit period such a change must have taken place in several words: for instance, the Skr. 33 in vaics and in some of the succeeding numerals becomes in the Pali दस but is changed to दह in the Pr. which shows that a must have become a before it assumed the form of a. a is interchanged with ळ even in Skr. as प्रोडाश or प्रोळाश; and in the Pr. and modern dialects we have कील for क्रीड, पीळ or पीछ for Skr. पीड, हाडिम for दाइम etc. So that this etymology is possible; but I shall presently show to you that it is not to the S'aur, that we should first look for the origin of M. words and forms; especially in cases when it stands alone. That the Marâthî only should exhibit these consonantal changes in the past participle and none of the other sister dialects and that no instance of the intermediate step between the S'aur. and the M. z should be found here or elsewhere as we find them in similar cases goes a great way against this derivation. The Marâthî past participle in छा. छी. छ is, I think, of the same nature as similar participles in the other cognate languages ending in that termination. We have in G. besides कहा, करों, कहालो and करेलो and in S. besides विश्वो and दितो. विश्वक and दितल. There is a little difference in the sense but both are promiscuously used in ordinary usage. B. and O. form their Preterite with this participle as the base as nivio आमाके कहिल B. 'Gopal told us:' अस्भे कलम देइ लेखिल O. 'I wrote with a pen.' It is also used as an adjective as मलादिन 'the past day', पहिला गरु 'the fallen tree.' Though it does not occur in high Hindî and is not given in the grammars of the languages the lower classes of the Hindî population use this participle very commonly as वेचल H. 'sold', गग्र 'gone'; and it is also found in the works of Kabira though I have not seen it in Tulsidâsa's Râmâyan which is accounted for by the fact that Kabîra was a S'ûdra himself and consequently belonged to those classes which use it at the present day. Thus:-

तब ब्रह्मा पूछल महतारी। को तोर पुरुष का करि तुम नारी॥

"Then Brahma asked his mother: 'Who is thy husband and whose wife art thou!'"

चहुजुग भगतन वांधल बाटी। सम्रक्षि न परे मोटरी फाटी॥

-Ramâyan V-7.

"In all the four ages holy men constructed ways but they did not know that the bundle they had tied had given way or was torn!"

Now in the Sindhi participles & is a termination appended to other or old participles; as fast and fast with the suffix & become विअल and दिहल. The Gujarâtî ones are of the same nature; in कहेलो. लो is the suffix and कह is the original participle in इअ or य or कहिआ or कहा which is changed to ए, as ए often is. Even in Marathi in the forms गेला, केला, आला, आयलो in the minor dialects, जाहला, मेला, भ्याला, प्याला etc. में represents गय (Pr. गअ. Skr. गत): के, कय (Pr. कअ, Skr. कृत); आय, आअ (Pr. आअअ, Skr. आगत); जाह, जाअ (Pr. जाअ, Skr. जात); मे, मय (Pr. मत); भ्या-भीआ, प्या-पीआ and so on. In the B. too we have केल. गेल. आइल which are just like the Marathi forms and are to be explained in the same way. In old Marathi we similarly find this & appended to real past participles. In an old inscription dated S'aka 1128=1206A.D., the latter portion of which is in the Marathi of the time and being so was difficult to decipher; there occurs the expression मदा दिन्हला 'given to a monastery' in which we see that ET is appended to Get which is the same as the old Pâli and Pr. participle faon of the root at. In Skr. a great many roots formed their participles by adding a instead of and we find several of these and others formed on the analogy of these in old Hindî and Marathî poetry. In the works of Tulsidas. Kabira, Chanda and others कीन्ह 'lone', छीन्ह 'taken,' चीन्ह 'known'. हीन्ह 'given' and such others occur.

नाना विधि मुनि पूजा कीन्ही। अस्तुति करि पुनि आशिष दीन्ही॥

'The sage worshipped him in several ways, praised him and gave him a blessing."

In the Marâthî poets we have of added to a as Jñân.:

ते दोनी शब्द अचाट। मिनले एकवट

'Both these dreadful noises mingled together.'

सनकादिकांचिया आशा । वादीनल्या बहवसा ।

"The hopes of Sanaka and others increased greatly."

Ekanâtha.

धर्माप्रति धावित्रला 'Ran towards Dharma or virtue.' ऐकोनि हासित्रला गोविंद 'Hearing this, Govinda smiled.'

Rukmini Svayamvara.

In the following of is applied to old Tadbhava participles:-जैं भांति सेजे सतला । ते स्वप्नसुख सतला । मग तो ज्ञानीदर्यी चेइला । ह्मणोनिया॥

-Jñân. V-47.

"Because when he slept on the bed of delusion he enjoyed the pleasures of a dream and then awoke when the sun of knowledge rose.

भीतरीं पातला उठा उठीं। जेथ जगजेठी श्रीकृष्ण॥

'Went in where the lord of the world S'rî Krishna was.'

Here सत, भूत and पात are from सत्त, भूत and पत Pr., सप्त, भूक and AIR Skr.; and to these of is added. There are other instances in these works in which of is added to past Tatsama or later Tadbhava participles such as दग्यला, लुब्यला, हाणितला, हाणितला; and in the language of the day we have सांगितलें, घेतलें, मागितलें. यतलें and one or two others. In the Jñanes'vari we have also such forms as विस्पेला 'excited', निदेला 'slept,' and आभारेला where the ए represents the अय or इअ of the old participle resembling in this respect the Gui, कहेला. When the अ or आ of the old participle became too weak to be expressive this form with the suffix & attached was oftener used and gradually supplanted the other; and scame in the course of time to be regarded as the past participle termination. Hence it is applied to roots directly without the intervention of the old u that we have in केल and गेला; and in low Hindî also it is applied in the same way. The original participle was mostly used predicatively as it is in classical Skr. also, and this & was attached to it to form an attributive expression to be used before nouns. But after a time this new form also came to be predicatively used; and it is now so used in M., G., S. and H. In M. however when it was put to this purpose it drove out the old one and its own attributive sense became faint, though even now it is not entirely lost as in such instances as झाल्या गोद्दीस उपाय नाहीं . There is no remedy against the thing that has already taken place. Then another & came to be attached to it to adapt it for attributive use and thus we have now in M. the form केलेला, गेलेला etc. with a double ত. This ত is to be traced to the Pr. sg or sg which according to Hemachandra is applied in the sense of Bhava i. e. being the thing signified by the base as उविद्धं, अप्पूर्वं. These two words are in M. वरील or वरला and आपूर्त or आपूर्त in which instances we see that the initial इ or इ of the suffix is optionally dropped. Or according to other writers

quoted by our grammarians आल is also used. And in works such as the Gaudavadha and in the Bhagavati we find these suffixes frequently used. These are generally applied to all bases; but उ is another termination which is restricted to certain nouns such as विद्युत, पीत, पत्र, अन्य from which we have विद्युत, पीतल etc. In Skr. also this suffix has a pretty wide range as चूड़ाल Pân. V. 2-96, सिध्मल, कृष्णल, पांसल, मोसल, स्नेहल etc. V. 2-97. तसल V. 2-98, फैनल V. 2-99.

The present participle of the Pr. has lost its न in M. as करित, पावत, in G. करत 'doing,' चढत 'ascending,' in H. as करता and in B. as करित, देखित, while the O. has preserved it as करन्त, देखन्त. The S. and P. have preserved the S'aur. form which has द instead of त and the former has preserved the nasal throughout while the latter has dropped it after roots in अ as S. मारींदो. इलन्दो, P. लिखदा 'writing' but जांदा 'going,' होंदा 'becoming;' this participle is used as in the Pr. in the sense of Skr.Conditional equivalent to the English Pluperfect Conditional, as M. तो करता तर होतें 'if he had done it, it would have become,' G. ते करत तो थात; B. से यदि तो माके कहित तवे तामि विशास करिता ना; 'if he had told you, you would not have believed;' O. जेवे सर्थ उदय हुअन्त तेवे अन्यकार न रहन्ता 'if the sun had arisen the darkness would not have remained'; H. जो में कहता तो मेरी बात न सनता 'if I had told he would not have listened to my words;' S. जेह पाणिअमे न तरंदी 'if he had not been swimming,' जे में घहुदा 'if I were to send.'

The absolutive in M. is formed by adding the termination 34 as करन the same as the Mahârâshtrî ऊज. Vararuchi, you will remember. gives this termination only, but Hemachandra adds more. The G. has g the same as the Ap. g and Pr. 334, Skr. q and 33 from the Ap. एविण or एरिपण the Vedic Skr. त्वीन; or the ने of इने may be the same as the particle & which means 'and' added to g. The Sindhi has g for intransitive and reflexive roots and v for transitive and another said or यो for both as मुणी 'having heard,' मारे 'having beaten' and सुण्यो or मार्गे. The first is the same as the G. but in the ए of the transitive roots the sq of the original gay seems to be preserved and this termination added to the root with the Parasm. sign. 3. Thus we have first and and then, इअ or इय being added, we have मारीय whereupon the य with the preceding & becomes v and we have मारे. The last vi is the same as the st of the Ap. traced to the infinitive which, you will remember, is confounded with the absolutive. The H. drops the 3 of this form and the original root as बोल, जा etc. is used in the sense of the Absol. but to make the sense distinct के or कर is added, as बोलक or बोलकर, जाके or जाकर. Of these के is a real absolutive derived from the S'aur. किआ and कर is the same as बोल i. e. the usual form of it. Now when one form is felt to be not distinct enough it is usual in our languages to add another to it of the same nature. You have instances of this process in the Pâli double genitive तैसानं, and in the instr. ने and नी of some of our vernaculars. P. agrees with the H. In H., however, the is pronounced by a great many people. It is also found in the old poets and in the Brajabhâshâ. The absolutive always ends in this vowel as करि, मारि, though often के the same as H. के is added as करिक, मारिक. etc. The O. also has इ as करि and the B. has इ or ए or इया as देखि, देखे or देखिया 'having seen'. In the last the sy of the original इअ is preserved and lengthened and the u is euphonic.

The infinitive of purpose is preserved in M. as तो अभ्यास करूं लागल। 'he began to study.' The form in the Pr. is करि इं, but the augment इ is lost in M. O. also has it, but its use is somewhat like that of the Absolutive विचार कर कहिले 'considering he spoke, ' खाउ खाउ तम होइला 'eating and eating he was satisfied.' But the original sense is somewhat preserved and it is in this sense made the basis of the present tense definite which will be considered hereafter. This infinitive and the absolutive are I have already observed confused in the Pr., and in some of the modern dialects the absolutive is used where we in Marathi use the infinitive as G. हं करी सई छुं 'I am able to do it,' H. में कर सकता हूं, बानी जाको नहीं कहि सके है 'whom speech can not express,' Br. में करि सको हो for M. मी करूं ककता. For this infinitive the modern vernaculars however have got a reconstructed form which will be mentioned in its proper place.

The potential participle in Skr. तन्य, Pr. अन्य is much used in the modern dialects and made the base of various verbal formations. In M. we have करावें 'should be done', G. करवं, S. पिसची from पस to see, Braj. करवें, B. & O. करिया. The H. has lost it, so also the P.

The Skr. verbal noun in अन appears as करणें in M., करणु S., करनें Braj, करना H. and P., कहन O. & B., but in these latter it is probably a modern Tatsama. G. does not possess it. These forms are called infinitives by the Vernacular grammarians. Prof. Hornle traces them to the Skr. potential participle in अनीय; but as before remarked the transition from नीय to नय and then to न is taken for granted; regularly नीय ought in the vernaculars to be changed to न as in पाणी. According to the observations I made before the ए of करणें and औं of करनों must be due to अकम अं अपन अरं Pr. and अकम अरं

Ap. as in M. केळ and G. केळ; so that the verbal noun in M. and H. is an augmented form of the corresponding one in Skr., while that of the Sindhî is from the unaugmented form. A great many other verbal derivatives have come down to the vernaculars but I must not go further.

The Pr. passive base in इंअ and इज has been preserved only in P. & S. Thus मारीए 'he may be beaten' and मारीअन 'they may be beaten' are forms of the 3rd pers. sing. and pl. of the passive old Pres. and modern Potential; while मारीदा the present part. is used as a base to form various tenses. This is the Pr. form in इअ, the अ being lost, Sindhî has ज as पीजण 'to be drunk,' धोइजण 'to be washed,' धोइजे sing. धोइजिन pl. 3rd pers. Pres. or Pot. The pres. part. is wanting; the potential participle from तन्य being used instead as धोइजो. The augment इ is introduced before this ज where euphony requires it. Old M. possessed these forms and they are very frequently to be met with in our poets as in Jñânes' varî.

आतां आमुचा दळीं नायक। जे रूढ वीर सैनिक। ते प्रसंगं आइक। सांगिजित ॥ 'Now incidentally will be mentioned, the leaders, proved warriors

and soldiers in our army.'

तयापरी तूं देवा । मज झकऊन न येसि माचवा । जरी पुण्याचा ओलावा । नाशिजैल ॥

'In that manner, O lord Mâdhava, you will abandon me and will not come to me if the moisture of virtue is dried up (lit. will be destroyed).'

विचारीं तूं अर्जुतु। कीं कास्म्यें कीजसी दीतु । सांग पां अंधकारें भातु । ग्रासिला आधी॥

'Think Arjuna, you are being made contemptible by sorrow; say, is the sun ever swallowed up by darkness.'

Here we have instances of the Present and Future. Old Guj. had the passive.

जिहां पूजिजइ सालियाम । जिहां जिप्श्जे हरित्रं नाम । स्मृति पुराण मानीई गायइ । जीणि दिसि कराय जाग्य । जिहां परदर्शन दीजइ त्याग । जिहां.....वेदपुराण धर्म बुझीइ ॥ नव खंडे अपकीरति रही । माधवि म्लैछ आणियासही ॥

'Where Saligrama is worshipped, where the name of Hari is muttered in meditation and Smritis, Puranas and the cows are respected, in which country sacrifices are performed and alms are given,

Lular There one to six plate of face

where Vedas, Purânas and duty are understood, there Mâdhava for certain brought the Mlechha and infamy rests (on his name) in the nine divisions (of the world)'.

Here we have instances of the forms in ज as पूजिज़ and in है (Pr. इंअ) बुझीइ; and also of the modern forms as कराय which will be presently considered. In old H. poets we meet with such forms now and then. Thus Tulsidâs:

महिमा जास जान गणराक । प्रथम पूजियत नामप्रभाक ॥

'The greatness of which (Râma's name) the lord of the Ganas (Ganapati) knows, he is first worshipped; this is the achievement of the name.'

चहियत युगल किशोर लखि । लोचन युगल अनेक ॥ Behårilåla

'Many couples of eyes are wanted to see the couple of young persons (Rådhå and Krishna).

Here पूजियत and चहियत are forms of the passive in इंग्र. The verb चाहिये in mod. H. is also a passive form as ज्योद्ये G. and पाहिजे M.

There are also, as in the Pr., Siddha Tadbhava forms of the passive as बुझणु S. 'to be heard,' अजणु S. 'to be broken' H. 'to flee away,' अजणु S. 'to be fried,' छिजणु S. 'to be plucked' from Pr. बुन्झ, अज्ञ, अज्ञ and छिज्ञ and Skr. बुध्य, अज्ञ्य, भृज्ञ्य and छिज्ञ; पच-णं-बुं-ना-णु-न from पच Pr.. पच्च Skr. 'to be cooked' in all, though the sense is somewhat altered. टाभणें M., टअणु S. from Pr. डाइस, Skr. डाइच 'to be obtained;' बझणु S., बझना H. from Pr. बुड्झ, Skr. बुध्य 'to be bound;' दिसणं-बुं-ना M. G. H. P. from Pr. दीस, Skr. दृद्य 'to be seen' also दीखना H.; सुज्ञणं-णु M. S., सूजना H. P. from Pr. सुज्ञ, Skr. स्य, root वि 'to be increased,' 'to swell;' कळणें M., Pr. कड़, Skr. कस्य 'to be known;' सुच्णं-चुं M. G. from; सुच Pr., Skr. सूच्य 'to occur to one' etc.

The causal is formed in M. by appending ह्व or अव as करीवण or करवणें, धात्रीवणें or धावनणें; you will remember, I have identified the हूं that non-reflexive transitive roots take in M. with the Parasm. ह, and ए or अ of the intransitive and reflexive with the Âtm. Now when a root becomes causative its reflexive character is lost, all verbs become transitive and hence we have अ or ह promiscuously used after all roots. For this reason even in Skr. all causal verbs are both Parasm. and Âtm. I do not derive the rule about M. verbs taking अ or इ from that in Skr., but attribute it to the same causes. In the Goan. and Chit. we have अय for अव, the य being pronounced as a consonant and often like the vowel इ as करयणां or करडणां. In the Savantvâlî and

Vengurla districts it is formed in the same way, but in the other portions of the area over which the Mâlvanî is spoken it is formed as in the principal Marâthî. The य stands for a; for this semi-vowel is often, by the vulgar, dropped leaving the vowel instead, as नआ for नवा like the H. नया for नवा, नाई for नावी etc. In G. the causal termination is आव as करावर्ड 'cause to do,' तपावर्ड 'make hot;' in S. आइ as वधाइण 'to cause to increase,' धाआइण 'to cause to wash;' in P. आउ or वाउ as कराउना or करवाउना; in H. आ as नचाना, पदाना, but नचावना and पदावना are also used; and the termination of is invariably added to the first causal to form a second or double causal as नचनाना पदनाना from नचाना and पदाना. The आव occurs in first causals in poetry, as Tulsidas: अब प्रश्चचरित सनावह मोहि 'Narrate (lit. make me hear) to me the history of the lord;' also

. यथा अनेक वेष धरि नृत्य करै नट कोइ । सोइ सोइ भाव दिखावें आपुन होड ना सोइ ॥

'As an actor assuming various masks dances; so he (the lord) shows this character and that but is not himself that (what he shows).'

The B. & O. causal is also similarly formed by adding आ as कराइ 'I cause to do,' देखाइ 'I show' etc. Here also as in the H. the व of आव is elided. The इ of the S. आइ must be the इ which we have observed is used after transitive and non-reflexive roots in Pot., the Pres. part. etc. as मार्रोदो. Thus then the causal termination in all the languages is आव or अव which is the same as one of the three in Pr. For, you will remember, the Pr. has u, आवे and, the u of the latter being dropped there is another आव. We have also a good many examples in the vernaculars of the Pr. causals mentioned by Hemachandra as formed by dropping all terminations and preserving the vowel changes, guna or yriddbi, which roots take before the Skr. आय or Pr. U. Thus—

M. G. 11.	मर्णे-वुं-ना	मारणे-बुं-नाः
Н.	खुलना	खोलनाः
M. G. H. S.	तुटणें-बुं -ना- णु	तोडणें-बुं-ना-णु
M. G. H.	फुटपें-बुं-ना	પો હળૅ- નું∙ના.
Н.	फटना	फाडमां.
G. H.	छूटबुं-ना	છો હવું-ના
М.	स्रटणें	सोडणै
M. G.	पहणें-बुं	पाडणें-बुं
М.	चरणे	चारणें
8.	बरणु	वारणु
M. S.	गळणॅ-गरणु	गाळणं-नार्णु
, s.	पदशु	पादग्र

I have already mentioned that the causal of some roots is formed in II. by inserting & before the causal termination on. G. has & and Sindhî T for &, wasse to eat' from we to eat, case to cause to give,' देखाइवं 'to show' from देख 'to see,' धवाइवं 'to cause to run,' डिअण 'to give,' डिआरण 'to cause to give,' मिखण-मेखारण, वेहण-वेहारण 'to cause to sit.' In these instances we have at or at before &; but in G. all these forms have आa optionally added to them when the previous आ is dropped as धवडाववं 'to cause to run,' खवडाववं 'to cause to eat' etc.; and in S. we have the causal Ms appended to T after roots ending in आ as खाराइण 'to cause to eat', घाराइण 'to cause to wound', धा-राहण 'to cause to suck' or 'to suckle;' so that the intervening आ is inter-changeable in both these languages with the causal termination and hence some way conveys the same sense. M. has a trace of these forms as भावण 'to turn,' भावडण 'to cause to turn.' धावण 'to run.' धावडण 'to cause to run.' भिणें-भेडावर्णे 'to threaten.' Hemachandra mentions भ-माहो as the causal base of भ्रम and gives चाड in the sense of pushing which is very likely a causal form of u. We have this us in the sense of sending in M. We have seen that z or z is sometimes changed to ₹ and thence to ₹ or ₺ in the Pr. and vernaculars; whence it appears that पिछाना is a denominative or nominal root from पिछा or पिडा or पीत by the application of the usual denominative termination squ, which is also the causal termination. In Skr. such a denominative has the sense of doing the thing expressed by the base as via atia-viava S'aur. पीदावेदि. We have a great many denominatives from past participles; first in the sense of being the thing expressed by the base, in which case the participle has simply the verbal terminations appended to it as लगाइ from लग, अधिमहड from अभ्येत, कहड़ from कह, पहुदड़, TERMS from The etc.; and secondly in the sense of doing when sma or said intervenes between the base and the terminations, as whereast in Malati-Mâdhava, from which we have in M. भेडावर्ण. The forms in which we have an before & as देवाडवं, खबाइबं etc. are denominatives from the past participles of original Pr. causals as sifas or calles, the causal termination sq being changed to sq and sq as in the H. in ordinary causals. In the vernaculars we have also the first class of denominatives expressive of being like the thing denoted by the base, as:

M. G. H.	काढणें-वुं-ना	${\bf to}{\bf draw}$	from 78	p. p. p.	of	कृष्.
Н.	स् तना	to sleep	स्रप्त			स्वप्
		to rain	त्रप्र			ब र्
	बैठना-वेठवुं		उपविध			विश् with उप
н. с.	पैठना-पेठवुं	to enter	प्रविष्ठ			विश्रेwith प्र

	н.	कुदना	to cry	ऋष	कुश्
	G.	रुठवुं	to be angry	रुष्ट	रुश्
	G.	तुठतुं	to be pleased	तुष्ट	तुष्
Н.	G.	घटना-दुं	to be diminished	घृष्ट	घृष्

We have another verbal base in M. which involves the idea of power and ability as करवतें 'can be done,' बसवतें 'can be sat.' These forms are passive when the original verb is transitive, and impersonal when it is intransitive. In old M. प is sometimes seen for व.

जरी वेदें बहुत बोलिलें। विविध भेद सृचिले। तरी आपण हित आपुलें। तेंचि घेपे॥

'Even if the Veda has told much and indicated a great many distinct things, still one should take that which is beneficial to himself.'

काय ह्यणिपे स्थितप्रज्ञ

'Who should be called feurum?'

Here the sense is simply passive and does not involve the notion of power. These forms are to be derived from the pass, of the causal, whence are is areas Pr. for arread, Skr. and Power is implied on account of the forms being derived in this manner; for, गाविन्देन कम कार्यते मया in Skr. means the work is caused to be done by me, and by Govinda; when the agent caused is taken to be the same as that who causes, the sense is 'the work is caused to be done by me by myself' i. e. 'I am the causer of myself to do it' i. e. 'I can do it.' In. G. this causal pass. was is transformed into we as the causal sag so often is and the sense is simply passive in some cases and passive and causative in others as आं छोकरो मूर्ख जणाय हे 'this boy is known to be a fool;' आकाम माराधी करातं नथी 'this work cannot be done from me.' There are traces of these forms in the other languages also, though the grammarians do not notice them as H. एकवचन बस्तर संख्या एक ब्रह्माय 'By the singulars the number of a thing is known to be one, ag arau agini & 'that is called a sentence' etc.*

Thus then you will see that we have nearly the whole of the grammar of the Prâkrits *i. e.* all their grammatical forms in our vernaculars. The cases in the forms they assumed in the Apabhrams'a have been preserved, some in this language and some in that, but not all in one and the same. And along with them there are sometimes newly constructed forms also. The pronouns are the same as in the

[&]quot;Mr. Beames traces the vernacular causal terminations 34, 34, 3, 31 etc. to the Skr. 34. But this is evidently a mistake. The Pr. 314 or 314 has escaped him somehow. The 5 of the Hindi causal forms he also derives from the 4 of 34. But this 4 is too weak to be changed to any other letter than the vowel 4, and 4 is never changed to a semivowel excepting in the doubtful instance 31 from 118.

Pr. and the Apabhrams'a. The two Prakrit tenses and one mood are preserved. All the vernaculars have the Present, though, except in the O. and B., it has acquired another sense and another word or expression is wanted to restrict it to the signification of the present time. G. S. P. and H. have lost the Imperative but it is preserved by the other three languages. The Future is retained by the G. and the Braj.; while this latter possesses the solitary form of the Aorist that the old Pr. had retained. These are the only really simple or uncompounded verbal forms existing in our languages; the rest are made up by compounding or by using Pres. participles as bases and appending the Pres, verbal terminations as will be hereafter shown. Past time is almost universally expressed by the past participle, and this retains the pass., in some cases the active, character it had in Skr. and Pr. in all those languages that have not thoroughly lost the distinction of gender and number. The several other Prakrit participles are preserved and we have no other. The passive forms are seen in Sindhî and Panjâbî; the former having one set and the latter the other. The Pr. causal termination is preserved almost unchanged by the M. and G. while in the other languages it is somewhat transformed. So that, as they are at present, our vernaculars have between them preserved all that the Prâkrits had and preserved nothing that the Prâkrits lost. And as we ascend higher up in the history of each of these languages we find in use such forms as it has now lost. All our vernaculars must at one time have been in the condition in which we find the Apabhrams'a, but the dialect of that name which is treated of by the grammarians, and specimens of which we find in the scraps of literature I have noticed resemble the G. and the Braj and in a less degree the S. P. and the ordinary H. The old literary dialect of the H. area was the Braj; and the works of Tulsidâs, Bihârilâl, Kabîra and others are in that dialect, though these are called old Hindî poets, instead of old Braj poets as they should be called and as they are called by natives. In this old Brai literature we find the Apabhrams'a forms of the Present tense unchanged; and from these has directly been derived the Pre-. sent tense of the modern S. G. P. Braj and H. The Apbhr. Future is found in the G. and in modern Braj and in the poets in an older and less corrupt form also, though the H is changed to z. Such Applir. forms as जैम, तेम are preserved in the G.; and the neuter sing. in in that dialect as well as the Braj where it occurs especially in the verbal nouns or infinitives. The first pers. sing. exists in G., old H. and a dialect of the Panjabi. The other dialects also possess, as I have observed, some Ap. peculiarities, but the four above named bear a closer connection to it. And since the Apabhrams'a is referred by the grammarians to the S'aurasenî type we may regard these four modern dialects viz. the G. S. P. and H. as the representatives of the old S'aurasenî, which from the name seems to have been the dialect of the country about Mathurâ. The distinction between this and the old Mahârâshtrî was as we have seen not very great and some of the peculiarities were such as could not last for many centuries. The change of a and u to z and u, for instance, instead of elision and is is of such a nature. The law of elision which operated in a great many other cases could not long be kept from extending itself to a. But still we do find in the modern G, such forms as किदं, पिदं, खादं etc. for कृतम्, पीतम् and खादितम्. This change was made in some cases in the S'annasenî as in Harrow, for Marrow, and in others not, as अय्यक्त for आर्यपत्र. And the change of नत to न्ह and ह we find in the S. and P. present participles. The S'aur. Future which had an intercalatory R instead of the Mahârâshtrî & is, as we have seen, preserved in the G. and the Braj with the slight modification it underwent in the Apabhrams'a. Then if we follow Vararuchi the S'aur. Absolutive termination was 334 and in the prose speeches in the dramatic plays it is this alone that we find. The absolutive in these four mod. languages is formed by adding this same termination with the sq dropped. Now all these peculiarities are absent from the M. In the old Mahârâshtrî verses occurring in the plays as well as according to Vararuchi the absolutive termination was son, which we find in the M. alone. The past passive participle of and w in old Mahr. was and and and we have these forms in the M. in and and are as we have seen, while कि instead of के, म instead of मे occur in the four S'anrasenic dialects and these we find in the plays. The Pres. and Imper. of the M. have been derived from the Pr. and not from the Apbhr. forms. Thus then the M. is the modern representative of the old Maharashtri; so that it hardly admits of any doubt that the two old languages derived their names from the provinces in which they prevailed. The B. and O. have a few peculiarities of the S'aur.; and they seem to occupy a middle position between M. on the one hand and the four Apbhr. or S'aur. languages on the other; while the consonantal changes, especially the reduction of all the sibilants to the palatal a, point to their being the descendants of the old Mâgadhî.

LECTURE VI.

New Grammatical Formations in the Northern Vernaculars.

We will now proceed to consider those forms in the grammar of our languages which are not derived from corresponding Sanskrit or Pråkrit forms, but which have been constructed in recent times. Such new forms owe their origin to various causes. The old ones lose their distinctive portions and become confused by phonetic decay; or, having been in long use, lose all freshness of meaning and cease to be as expressive as one would wish them to be or their sense is not exactly that which one desires to convey. Thus the old Present and Future got confused in our vernaculars and a new Future was wanted. The old Present itself acquired a potential sense in some and a past habitual sense in one, whence for the expression of present time another set of forms was required. In Sanskrit itself such a phrase as द्विजाय यवागः 'gruel for a Brahman' is not so expressive as द्विजार्था यवागः 'gruel for a Brahman's purpose'; which again is analysed into its separate elements for greater distinctness into द्विजस्यार्थे यवाग्रः 'gruel for the purpose of a Brahman.' When different words so brought together are kept distinct and consciously used there is no growth of new forms. But if by frequent use and the oblivion of etymology such an expression as tariff comes to be looked upon as an independent word meaning "for the sake of" and applied promisenously to all nouns including such as could not oiginally by the usage of Sanskrit have it, as in आतस्यार्थ, it becomes a termination and a new case form grows up and the old one often goes out of use. Then again phonetic decay sets in and by reducing this expression to स्सद्धे and ultimately to साठे (Mé. साठीं the original word being wester) irrevocably stamps it with the character of a case termination having no meaning by itself. Lastly, if one wishes to say of a book that it belongs to him and looks upon it as possessing that attribute and subordinates him to it, the expression तस्य प्रसादम् will not serve his purpose but he must have some such as तदीयं प्रस्तकम् . And if this way of looking at things becomes general तदीय supersedes तस्य. In this way our new forms have grown up.

The case terminations are in the majority of the languages applied not to the original noun itself but to a certain modified form of it called the oblique form or by the native Maratha grammarians the Samanya-rapa or the common form because it is used in all the

cases. There are two Sâmânya-rûpas for the two numbers. In the old languages plurality is expressed by independent terminations but the new terminations of the modern languages being the same for both numbers plurality is denoted by a distinctive oblique form. Some of the modern languages that have no Sâmânya-rûpa have recourse to a novel way of expressing plurality. Of all the vernaculars it is the Marâthî that is most particular in the formation of the oblique and has minute rules. Sindhî comes next, then the Hindî and the Panjâbî; after these the Gujarâtî and lastly the Bangâlî and the Oriyâ, which may be said to have no oblique form at all.

The Marâthî oblique forms are made up by adding these terminations:—

Sing. आ	Pl . આં
,, §	,, et
,, इ	,, આં
,, ज	., জা
,, ए	" આં

- (1) The following classes of nouns take the first-
- (a) Masc. and Neut. nouns in was gird 'hand'. gird obl., gird sing. 'to a hand,' gird pl. 'to hands.'
- (b) Masc. nouns in आ and neuter nouns in एं which combine the final and the termination into या, but to ए in the speech of the Konkaṇî Karhâdâs and in the Chitpâvanî and optionally to या or ए in adjectives, as आंवा 'a mango', आंड्या obl., आंड्यास sing. 'to a mango;' केळें 'a plantain', केळ्या obl., केळ्यास sing. 'to a plantain;' आंवेस 'to a mango' in the Konkaṇî Karhâdâs, पिवळा 'yellow', पिवळ्या or पिवळे obl., पिवळ्या आंड्यास or पिवळे आंड्यास sing. 'to a yellow mango' respectively.
- (c) A good many Masc. nouns in $\frac{1}{2}$, the $\frac{1}{2}$ of which is changed to **u** before $\frac{1}{2}$ as $\frac{1}{2}$ nom., $\frac{1}{2}$ field $\frac{1}{2}$ obl., $\frac{1}{2}$ field $\frac{1}{2}$ of which is changed to $\frac{1}{2}$ before $\frac{1}{2}$ as $\frac{1}{2}$ nom., $\frac{1}{2}$ field $\frac{1}{2}$ of which is changed to $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{2}$ of which is changed to $\frac{1}{2}$ of which is

All neuter nouns in हैं as मोतीं—मोत्या—मोत्यास—मोत्यांस.

- (d) Some masc. and nearly all neuter nouns in ऊ. Some of these change ऊ to वा and others drop it, since it is the ऊ of the nom. sing. Apbhr., as भाऊ 'a brother'—भावा obl., भावास sing. 'to a brother', भावांस pl. 'to brothers'; वाटसरः 'traveller', वाटसरा obl., वाटसरास sing., वाटसरांस pl.; गर्छ—गळवा—गळवास sing., गळवांस pl. केकरं—केकरा—केकरास sing., केकरांस pl. Some nouns of this class take आ optionally as विंचू—विंच्वास sing., विंच्वास pl.
 - (2) The following nouns take the second form \(\xi\), \(\xi\).

- (a) Tatsamas in short इ as कवि—कवी—कवीस.
- (b) Λ few masc. nouns in $\hat{\xi}$ as $\xi \hat{\eta}$ 'elephant', $\xi \hat{\eta}$ - $\xi \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta}$ sing., $\xi \hat{\eta}$ pl.
- (c) A good many feminine nouns in अ as रीत manner, रिती—रितीस sing., रितींस pl., केळ 'a plantain tree', केळी—केळीस sing., केळींस pl.
- (d) Some feminine nouns in ई as भुई 'ground', भुई- भुईस sing., भुईस pl.
- (3) A good many feminine nouns take the third form ई—आं, as घोडी 'a mare', घोडी sing., घोड्यां pl., घोडीस sing., घोड्यांस pl.; साडी 'a garment worn by females', साडी sing., साङ्या pl., साडीस sing., साङ्यांस pl. In the Goan, and Mál., however, this form does not exist and these nouns take the fifth form ए—आ, as घोड्ये—घोड्येक sing., घोड्यांक pl.; साडी—साड्येक sing., साड्यांक pl.
- (4) Some masc. and feminine nouns in क take the fourth form क-ऊं, as खाऊ—खाऊस sing., खाऊंस pl.; बाजू f. 'a side', बाजू—बाजूस sing., बाजूस pl.
- (5) The following classes take the fifth form:—A good many fem. nouns in अ as जीभ 'a tongue', जिमे sing., जिमां pl., जिमेस sing., जिमांस pl. A good many fem. nouns in ह in the Goan. and Mál. dialects; see instances given above. Some fem. nouns in ज, as जळ 'a leech', जळवे sing., जळवं pl., जळवेस sing., जळवंस pl.; जाऊ 'husband's brother's wife', जावे—जावं etc. Some nouns take this form optionally as सामस or सास्वेस; सामंस or सास्वांस. The usage in several cases is still unsettled as regards some of these forms. You will observe that there is an anusvâra in the pl. of all forms. Another fact should also be borne in mind that nouns in § and ऊ often take the आ—आं or ए—आं forms though these properly belong to nouns in अ.

Sindhi:-

- (1) The following classes of nouns take of for the obl. sing. and on, t and on for the pl.:
- (a) Masc. nouns, the nom. sing. of which ends in इ, as देहु 'a country', देह-देहिन obl., देहजो sing. 'of a country', देहनिजो pl. 'of countries', also देहां—देहें—जो.
- (b) Masc. nouns in ओ, which, however, combine the final and the termination into ए as in the Chit. Mar. as बादो 'a carpenter', obl. बादे sing., बादनि, बादां and बादें pl.
- (2) The following nouns take en for the obl. sing. and নি, end and বনি for the pl. in addition to en and ए.

- (a) Masc. nouns in ξ as $\xi(t)$ a peasant', $\xi(t)$ or $\xi(t)$ sing., $\xi(t)$, $\xi(t)$, $\xi(t)$, $\xi(t)$, $\xi(t)$ and $\xi(t)$ and $\xi(t)$ and $\xi(t)$
- (b) Fem. nouns in ξ as viel 'a mare', obl. viels $\sin \xi$ sing. viels, v
- (c) Masc. nouns in জ; as মাত্ত্ব 'a man', obl. মাত্ত্বুজ sing., মাত্ত্ব্-নি, মাত্ত্বজনি, মাত্ত্বজা and মাত্ত্ব্বট্ pl.
- (3) Nouns in short \(\xi\) have no separate form for the sing. of the obl., the nom. form being used; the pl. they form like the above class, as गाल्हि f. 'a story', obl. गाल्हि sing., गाल्हिआं, गालिहिआं, गाल्हिआं, गालिहिआं, गाल्हिआं, गाल्हिआं,
- (4) Fem. nouns in अ, आ and ৰ have no separate form for the sing. while in the pl. they take বনি as सघ 'wish', obl. सघ sing., सমুনি pl.; हचा 'a murder', obl. हचा sing., हचाउनि pl.; বিলু 'lightening', obl. বিলু sing., বিলুনি pl. The first has सমা and सघ also for the pl. Here there is one type for one class of nouns and not two, as is the case sometimes in the M. The form in বনি is taken by all feminine nouns in अ, आ and ই and also masc. nouns in ই. Masc. nouns in and fem. nouns in a have a in the original base itself and it does not belong to the termination.

Panjâbi:-

Panjâbî has the termination ए for the sing. obl. of nouns ending in आ. The sing. of all other nouns has no special form while आं is appended to all nouns to form the obl. pl., before which the final आ of nouns preceded by a consonant is changed to इ, as घोडा 'a horse', घोडे obl. sing. and घोडिआं pl. Final उ preceded by a vowel combines with this आं to form वां, as पिउ or पेउ 'father', पेवां obl. pl.; and final अ forms आं as महल 'man', महलां obl. pl. There are no other changes.

Hindi:-

Hindi like the Panjabi has an oblique sing. for nouns in आ only. It ends in u as that of the S. and Chit. M. nouns in ओ, Karh. M. and P. nouns in आ; as चोडे-घोडेका. The pl. obl. of all nouns whatever is formed by adding ओ which amalgamates with the preceding अ of all nouns and the आ of such as are mesculine and combines with to form इयों; as गांव 'a village', गांवोंका pl. 'of villages'; चोडा 'a horse', घोडांका pl. 'of horses'; पति 'a master', पतियोंका pl. 'of masters.' In other cases it is simply added to the noun as आह 'a jackal',

भालुओंका pl. 'of jackals.' In the Brajbhâshâ and in the old poets the obl. pl of all nouns has न or नि instead of ओं, as संस 'a good man', संतनका pl. 'of good men.' This termination is also added to the nom. sing. to form the nom. pl. of those nouns that have no distinctive form for it; as लोग 'people' sing., लोगन pl.; भगत 'devotee', भगतन pl. (चहु जुग भगतन बांचल वाही (Kabîr)).

Gujarâtî:-

In G. the obl. form of masc and neuter nouns ending in 37 and \$ is formed by substituting of for those vowels. The latter take an anusvåra in the pl., and the forms of the former for both numbers are alike as घोडो-घोडा-घोडाने sing, and pl. 'to a horse or horses'; केळ 'a plantain', केळा-केळाने sing. 'to a plantain', केळाने pl. 'to plantains'. But the nom, pl. forms of these are चोडा and केळां, whence it appears that in the pl. the obl. cases are formed by simply adding the terminations to the nom. pl. and there is no such thing as a common oblique form. And this is the case with the sing, as well as the pl. of all other nouns; as HIVH 'a man' nom. sing., HIVHI nom. pl., HIVHH sing. माणसीने pl. The plural termination is ओ and is thus used in all cases. It is added in these days even to the final an and air of nouns in 3 and i to distinguish the pl. forms from the sing, as vier or घोडाओ nom. pl., घोडाने or घोडाओने dat. pl. But the practice has not vet established itself and mostly the forms without an are used. Masc, and neut, nouns not ending in si or i are often used in the pl. without it in such instances as तिहा घणा माणस है in the nom. and other And this is the usual practice in old G. literature; as - होकना प्रजना विवाह होईछी (Panch.) 'The marriages of the sons of other people are performed;' सर्व शास्त्रनो परिचय छ 'He has a knowledge of all the S'astras.

> कल्जुगना ब्राह्मण जेटला धर्महीन थासे तेटला तां घर करसे पोता तणां स्वर्गारोहणनो कीधो प्रथ जेहमा सकल धर्मनो पंथ

> > Svargårohana by Sundarabhatta.

'All the Brahmans of Kaliyuga will be without virtue. They will build their homes there. The work Svargârohana was composed in which there is the way of all virtues.'

अनेक पंस्ती करे पोकार। गिरिजंगल बहु डुंगरा बळी वांदरना ठाठ Kâvyadohana, Tulasî, 'Many birds raise a noise. There are mountains, forests, many hills; over these is a crowd of monkeys.'

The si however is seen in fem. nouns ending in §,

as—समुद्र गांमनी नदीयों जे इसे । तेमांथी जल सकी जसे ॥

Svargårohana.

'The water of the sea and of the rivers on land (in villages) will be dried up.'

मोठा पर्वत निदयो जेह । तेह तणो निह लाधे छेह ॥

Kâvyad. Tulasî.

'There is no end of great mountains and rivers that exist.'

It therefore appears that in masc. and neut. nouns the si is a modern innovation and that there was no distinction between the sing, and pl. cases except the nom, of nouns in 3 and 3. The true explanation therefore of the modern si of the pl. is that fem. nouns in an and & preserved this termination, since they had it in the Prâkrits, as the minor M. dialects have done, and, the sing, and pl. forms of masc, nouns being alike, the fem. 3) was transferred to them afterwards to distinguish the pl. as is now being done to nouns in 3 and \$. Thus then the G. noun may be said to have no real Sâmânyarûpa or oblique form. Adjectives in si however have a form ending in when they are used to qualify a substantive in the instr. or old loc. case in ए as आ काम सारे माणसे कीधं 'this thing was done by a good man', तलावने काटे छोकरा गिया 'boys went to the margin of a tank '; but this may be explained as a remnant of the old agreement between the noun and its adjective and are may be regarded as an instr. form and तलावने a loc.

The Bangâlî and Oriya apply the case terminations directly to the nom. and there is no oblique form. The new terminations being, as in all the modern languages, the same for both numbers and there being no oblique form to express the distinction between them as in M. S. B. etc., these languages, like the Guj., were under the necessity of deriving some expression for denoting plurality. The G. found its fem. In and employed it for this purpose, but the B. and O. had no such distinctively pl. termination left to fall back upon and so they have recourse to the use of a word signifying collection such as an originally 'direction, row, line', not, the same etc. after the noun, the plurality of which is to be expressed. Thus the control of the case termination is to be expressed. Thus the case the case termination of the case termination is to be expressed. Thus the case termination of the case termination directions direction.

' of kings' lit. ' of the direction row or line of a king.' Sometimes the word दिग is attached to the gen. sing. as राजारिंगर to express the gen. relation between राजा and दिग, which is understood in the first expression. To nouns denoting inferior animals समृद्ध and such words are appended and such others as सकल to those signifying inanimate creatures as कुक्करर ' of a dog' कुक्करसमृद्धर ' of dogs.' The Oriya sign of the plural is मान for rational and animate creatures and सकल, समस्त etc. for irrational or inanimate, as पुग्न ' a beast', पुग्नर sing. ' of a beast', पुग्नसानकर' of beasts.' The B. adds रा to the nom. sing. of names of rational creatures to form the nom. pl., while समृद्ध, सकल, etc. are applied to the rest. राजा 'a king', राजारा 'kings'; कुक्कर 'a dog', कुक्करसमृद्ध 'dogs.' The O. adds ए to मान to form the nom. pl. as प्रामान. This ए is the same as that of चोडे in M. and H.

Now the question is what is the nature of this Samanya-rûpa or oblique form, why does a noun undergo a certain modification before it becomes fit to receive the termination and what is the signification of the modified form and of the nasal that appears in the plural. Let us see how we at the present day join certain words expressive of relation such as संनिध or पाशों with a noun. We say त्याच्या संनिध or त्याच्या पार्शी i. e. put the noun into the gen. case. Now this त्याच्यापार्शी is convertible with त्याजपाशी, in which त्याज is the oblique form of तो the demonstrative. Similarly we append even some of the case terminations to the gen. as माझ्याने काम करवत नाहीं 'I can not do the work;' त्याच्यांत कांहीं पाणी नाहीं 'there is no spirit (lit. water) in him'. May the Sâmânvarûpa then be an original genitive? The gen, is used in the Prâkrits and even in Pâli not only with independent words but is made the base of some cases also as we have seen and the case terminations attached to it, thus ## is acc. sing. of SEH 'I' in the Pali and the Pr., ममाई instr., ममादी, ममाहि abl., ममस्मि loc. in the latter, in which instances the usual terminations of those cases are added to the original gen. form uv. We find everywhere in the history of our languages that after a time the terminations in some cases come to have an independent sense and then they are detached from the original base and used like ordinary post-positions. Thus, before the loc. Fr was appended to un it must have acquired some such sense as 'in the interior of' and that the whole expression units might mean 'in the interior of me.' Similarly in the Apabhranis'a some of the postpositions which came into use and which as'I shall hereafter show have grown into our case terminations are used with the gen. of the noun to which they are attached. Thus:-

हुं झिजां तह केहि पिश्र तहुं पुण अनह रेसि 'I pine away for thee, of beloved, but thou for another', in which we have the postpositions केहिं and रेसि having the sense of 'for' used with the genitives तहं 'thy' and अनह 'of another'. And if we examine the oblique forms themselves we shall find that they are derived from the Apbhr. and Pr. genitives. Thus the form in आ sing. and आ pl. which nouns in अ, आ etc. take is derived from the Apbhr. ह sing. and ह or आ pl. derived from Pr. स and जं. The grammarians give the Apbhr. sing. termination as हो but ह is also found, as in अनह in the above quotation and in the following occurring in an old work:—

कान्ह तणइ संपत्ति इसी । जिसी इंद्रह घरि रिद्धि ॥

'The wealth of Kanha was like the affluence in the house of Indra' where see is gen. sing. The s of seve for instance is reduced to se, the aspirate being lost, as is often the case in the vernaculars, and we have हत्था or हाता. Similarly si of the pl. combines with the preceding si into wi and we have sidi. The second form and is derived from the gen. of nouns in g or masc. or fem. Thus and is the Pr. gen. sing. from which we have and the sing. obl., and after pl. the of of, which is reduced to अं as in the other case and we have केलीअं-अ as proved in the last lecture generally goes out in the vernaculars after a long vowel even when medial and after a short dissimilar vowel when final and hence we have how the obl. pl.; or the sing. I may be derived from another fem. gen. termination or the masc. or for g, which before the preceding short goes out since it is final, as कविह-कविअ-कवी. The fourth form s and s is similarly derived from masc. and fem. nouns in 3 or 3 as साहस्स-साहह-HIEN-HIE obl. M. though we have not this word in this form in M. अश्र-सस्स-सस्स gen., सास obl. sing. M.; सस्सूणं-सस्सअं-सास् obl. pl. M. The fifth form in v sing, and mi pl. is from fem. nouns in m; Pr. Game or जिल्लाए-जिसे M. obl. sing. and जिल्लाणं-जिल्लाअं-जिसां M. obl. pl. The third form sing, and si pl. is a mixture of the second and the fifth and the nouns that take it form their oblique on the type of the fifth in the Goan, and Mal. The Sindhi in its of of the sing, of its first and second forms shortens the sq of the M. i. e. the two sq form one strong or; while in its third or fourth it either dispenses with the special oblique or this is the same as the nom., as in the case of the M. हती, भई, सास etc. The Sindhi plural oblique has one form आं, like the corresponding M. v., which appears to be from the Apphr. of the gen. pl. of nouns in short g or g given by Kramadis' vara and the rest present the gen. न in an uncorrupted form. Of these इनि which all fem.

nouns and one set of masc. nouns take appears to be a combination of the fem. nom. pl. 3 and the gen. $\widehat{\mathbf{h}}$; i. e. to the nom. pl. of these nouns the obl. $\widehat{\mathbf{h}}$ was added instead of to the original base. The P. pl. $\widehat{\mathbf{m}}$ is the same as the M. and the H. $\widehat{\mathbf{m}}$ is from the Apbhr. $\widehat{\mathbf{g}}$ of nouns in $\widehat{\mathbf{g}}$ or $\widehat{\mathbf{g}}$. Or it may be explained as a combination of the fem. $\widehat{\mathbf{m}}$ with the nasal of the gen. as the Sindhi $\widehat{\mathbf{g}}$ is. The Braj $\widehat{\mathbf{g}}$ also presents the gen. termination in an unchanged form. This then is the way in which the oblique forms are derived, but as usual the law of false analogy and simplification has been in operation even here and thus while the M. represents them in a pristine condition, the Sindhi has reduced them to fewer types and the P. and H. and perhaps the G. to one and the B. and O. have dropped them altogether.

It now remains to show why nouns with various endings inflect the obl. in M. and S. according to the same type. I have already shown that the oblique terminations of and of properly belong to nouns in 34. Sindhi masc, nouns in 3 are as observed on a former occasion really nouns in st. s being the nom. sing. termination. whence they take in the sing. My shortened to My. Nouns in Mar. and P. and in S. also form their oblique according to this type. but the sing, base ends in v or v in M. and v in others. These nouns, as shown in the last but one lecture, were originally nouns augmented by the addition of क. Thus Skr. घोटक is Pr. घोडअ or घो-Eu. since w is pronounced u, and with g or w the gen. termination becomes चोहर +अ=घोहरा which by hasty pronunciation becomes घोड्या; but in the older M. poets we find घोड्या also. Now in the state of view it may become, as I have once stated, vie as ave for acc becomes and of the a long vowel the following of is elided. whence we have the form of the M. dialects and S. and P. and H. Similarly we have the plural चोड्यां. Neuter nouns in ए are also nouns with a final a, as has been shown, hence their oblique is like that of the Masc. nouns in आ. कदलकम्-कयलअं-केळें and केल्य + अ of the gen. = केळया Similarly सोनें = सवण्णअ=सवर्णक etc. Masc. nouns in है also take this form. If they do so they must be nouns in originally and as a matter of fact we do find some of them at least to be so. Thus न्हावी is न्हाविश्र Pr., नापित Sk.; wherefore we have न्हाविश्र + आ= न्हाट्या: तास्त्रोलिक is Pr. तस्त्रोलिअ and with the gen. ह or अ the obl. ताम्बोळ्या; वाणिज Pr. वाणिअ and with अ for ह वाण्या obl. etc. Similarly मौक्तिक is मोत्तिअ where with gen. अ we have M. मोत्या: पाणी is पानीअ and thence quan. S. sift is Skr. sifes, Pr. sifes which is the S. obl. form, since the effect of two or coming together is in this language

to prevent the usual elision and form one strong sq. We thus see that most Mar. & S. masc. nouns in & & M. neuter nouns in gare derived from Pr. nouns having a final a representing Skr. s or any other syllable. In the same way Skr. Esq is Pr. Esq thence Esq and with the gen. M for g organ the M. obl.; so also M. ME must be Pr. भाउभ, Skr. भातक; M. नात, Pr. नत्तअ, Skr. नत्त्रक, in order that with the gen. or they may yield man or andar. Neuter no is now, Skr. गडक and hence by the combination of गडअ + आ we have गडवा or गळवा. S. How must have been High from High and the aspiration being transferred to we have we which is the obl. form. Thus then those M. & S. masc. nouns in 3 and M. neuter nouns in 3 which take this oblique form were Pr. nouns in 37 representing a Skr. syllable ending in M, mostly T. Now these vernacular nouns in g or g and g or st which in Skr. have not a syllable like at or at the end must have the a attached to them in the Pr. and Apbhr., as we have seen it was, to nouns in sy which on that account have become nouns in si or su in the modern languages. Those fem. nouns in su that have s and s for their sâmânyarûpa terminations must be Skr. & Pr. nouns in s. as they are as a matter of fact; as केळ is Pr. केली or कराती, Skr. करली; भिंत is Pr. and Skr. भित्ति; पारथ, Skr. पापर्दि, Pr. पारथी; बहिण Skr. भगिनी, Pr. बहिणी; बांव, Skr. वापी, Pr. बावी; and a good many modern Tadbhavas such as रीत, गत, विभत from रीति, गति, विभति etc.: others that end in s and take these terminations were also originally nouns in इ or ई as भूई, Skr. भूमि and others. Some masc. nouns in इ which form their obl. in this way must also be derived from corresponding nouns in § as sett is from the Skr. and Pr. Nom. sing. sett. And modern Tatsamas in g or g of course can only take this form. Similarly those nouns that form their oblique by taking and and must be originally nouns in 3 or 3. Modern Tatsamas ending in these vowels also take these forms.

The fifth form has already been traced to the Pr. gen. of fem. nouns in आ whence those nouns that take the form in M. must have been originally nouns ending in that vowel. Thus जीम, Pr. जिंच्या, Skr. जिंच्या; भाक — Skr. भाषा (mod. Tad.); माळ — माला — माला; भीक — भिक्सा— भिक्षा; नीज or नीद — निदाः लांज — संज्ञा— संज्या — संज्

the same as the nominative is not formed as it should be according to our theory. But, as observed before, the Goan, and Mâl, have faithfully preserved the old tradition here though the ordinary M. has lost it and in this instance applies the case terminations to the Nom, and not to special obl. form as the other languages do to a much greater extent. The Goan, and Mâl. samânyarûpa of चोडी is घोडपे from Pr. gen. घोडिआइ the last two vowels combining into ए and the g and v into v. Other instances are साडी Skr. शाटिका, बाडी-वाटिका, नळी-निलका, कळी-कलिका, मात्री-मक्षिका, माती-मृतिका, मुदी-मु-दिका, the sing, obl. of which in the Goan, and Mâl, are साइचे, वाडचे. नळवे etc. Similarly those fem. nouns that take this type of the obl. must have been nouns in off representing such a syllable as off. Thus M. are is Pr. area, Skr. area, and area with the gor wof the gen. sing. is वाळवे and with आं of the pl. वाळवांस ; also जळ-जळोआ or जलुआ-जलीका; ज a louse Skr. युका, सामू -अश्रका. The last and also बालू have also the obl. form in st and st. Several other nouns also masc. and fem. ending in § and 5 have the § and 5 form of the obl. as well as the sq or v and sq form. This arises from the practice of adding क or an optionally in the Pr., just as there are some nouns which, as we have seen, end both in a and a. In this way the several types of the M. samanvarûpa arose from different sets of Pr. nouns, but it is not meant that all those nouns that in the present state of the languages take one or other of those types were derived from the corresponding Prâkrit set. After the forms came into use words from foreign languages for instance were introduced which can not of course be traced to the Prâkrit and those were assigned to some one form according to the gender in the first place and then to fancy or accident; there is no reason why also should be also for its oblique and not दोलते or फोज फोज and not फोजी; the tradition with regard to some Pr. nouns also must have been forgotten and then they also have been in the condition of these foreign nouns and must have been treated likewise and to a certain extent oblivion is the reason why we have two forms in the case of some nouns and no other.

wanted to connect the here or this with the shining being i. c. in the place of the shining being. But when a language has got the means of expressing the relation denoted by of it is necessary that it should be used in connection with the new post-position otherwise the sense would be incomplete. And thus in Skr., Pr. and the modern languages all such postpositions are appended to the gen. case. The gen. forms of nouns as they were in the Prâkrits and the Apabhrams'a have descended to the Marathi only somewhat phonetically altered, while the principal change that the nouns or crude forms have undergone is the loss of final or or an answering to such a Skr. syllable as 7, 4 or 47 when preceded by a dissimilar vowel i e. 5 or 3; while the other languages have in most instances taken this mutilated crude or nom. form as the base for all oblique cases, the M. confines it to its own place and uses the old gen. everywhere and without exception if we take the minor dialects also into consideration. The other languages have of course, as has been shown, preserved this gen, in some cases and of them all the S. in the largest number of instances. Some such as the H, and P, have generalized the pl. form of one set of nouns and use it for all. The M. cases are thus more difficult to the learner than those of the other languages but that is a matter with which we are not concerned here.

We will now proceed to the consideration of the new terminations. These have not necessarily sprung up from independent words. We have seen that the old terminations such as the न of the instr. have been detached and consitituted into postpositions. But the most common method the vernaculars have resorted to is to attach the case forms of certain words to the gen. of the nouns. The acc. and dat. terminations in the verious dialects are these: II. सइं. कॉ. Braj कॉ. B. के-तं. O. इ. S. खे-ते, P. नं. ताइं. G. ने, M. स—ल and तं is used in poetry. Of these स has been discussed. The Mâl. and Goan. have का for pronouns and the former क for nouns and the latter कना. Khândes'i has &, P. has ताई also for न. Old Bengali has also a dative in ?.

वैदर्भीरे हंस कहे मतुष्यवचने

Mah. Nalop. I.

'The swan said to Vaidarbhî with human speech.'

Here we see a good many languages have the termination with an initial 本. What is its origin? Dr. Caldwell traces it to the Dravidian 囊. But in our whole investigation we have not yet met a Dravidian influence in the grammar of our languages. Dr. Trump

derives the S. बे and B. के from कते. The last may not unlikely have been derived from it but the aspiration of the S. d is not accounted for. Trump attributes it to the yowel **: but we have seen that in the old Pr. this goes out leaving nothing but st. g. or si. e. the consonantal portion of it which is subordinated to the vowel portion leaves no trace. There is no other instance in which a is known to exert such an influence. The Hindî ar he also derives from कत and not कते. But here the anusvara is not accounted for and is regarded as inorganic. Besides, as Mr. Beames remarks, and is not a word likely to yield the sense of a dative. It is never used in Skr. or Pr. as equivalent to sa. To be able to arrive at the probable or correct etymology of any of these terminations it is necessary to collect and compare any more ancient forms of it that may possibly be found. Now there are such forms in the case of the H. and the Braj कों. The old H. poets often use कहं, कहं and even काहं: for instance in Tulsidas's Râmâyan we have

भारत कशान सर्व रस खाहीं। तिन कहं मंद कहत कोड नाहीं॥

'The sun and fire swallow all liquids. Nobody calls them stupid.'

काम जारि रतिकहं वर दीन्हा । कृपासिंधु यह अतिभल कीन्हा ॥

'He burned Kâma but gave a boon to Rati; this was an excellent act done by the ocean of mercy.'

कपिन्ह सहित विप्रन कहुं दान विविध विधि दीन्हा॥

'He gave various gifts to the monkeys and the Brahmans'.

आसन उचित दये सब काह़। कहीं कहा मुख एक उच्छाह़ ॥

'Proper seats were given to all; my mouth is one, how shall I relate the festivities?'

कैकई कहुं पुनि मिले मनकर छोभ न जाइ।

'Met Kaikayî again, but the agitation of the heart did not subside!'

Now we have seen that often in the modern languages the ξ of older words is dropped; and thus from $\eta \xi$ we have $\eta \xi$ and then η or η by the usual rule that we have noticed in going over the vowel changes. From $\eta \xi$ the Mâlvanî and Goan. η is derived. The $\eta \xi$ and $\eta \xi$ are also traced to $\eta \eta$ by Dr. Trump. But Mr. Beames derives them from $\eta \eta \xi$ 'armpit, side' which becomes $\eta \eta \xi$ and then $\eta \eta \xi$ and the anusvâra he traces to the accusative and thus supposes $\eta \xi$ to be the original of $\eta \xi$. $\eta \xi$ is preserved in the modern languages in the form of $\eta \eta \xi$ but the further change of ξ to ξ in the suffix he ac-

counts for by considering it to be a later Tadbhava. And corresponding to these two forms he says we have the two forms of the in the ordinary word un H. 'a place' and in the g of agi, sigi. I have no hesitation in saying that Mr. Beames is altogether wrong in deriving कहां, जहां etc. and further on तर्ड or तार्ड from किस्थान, यत्स्थान, तत्स्थान etc. Such new expressions in the face of the many good old words existing in Skr. and Pr. could not have come in ordinary vernacular use. The B. एथाय, ओथाय, कोथाय etc., which have led.Mr. Beames to this etymology are manifestly new combinations of q and थाय, ओ and थाय, को and थाय like the barbarous compounds एखाई. सेखादे of Skr. and foreign words derived by the Bengali in its poverty of the old Tadbhava element. Neither should we derive the M. w and later or from vareum in the face of the Pr. ver from Skr. sta or ga which we find everywhere in Pr. works. Now as regards and, in addition to the objection which Mr. Beames has not succeeded in answering, it is to be observed that the word is found nowhere used in that sense in old H., G. or M. literature and, that it might be the original of the dat. case-affix in nearly all the languages, it is necessary that it should have been used extensively in Skr. or Pr. or in old literature of the modern languages.

One chief and important source of the modern case affixes has been overlooked by all those who have written on vernacular philology. The words most fitted to express case relations are the pronouns and the old Aryan case endings have properly been traced by comparative philologists to pronominal roots. Even in the vernacular speech of the day we use pronominal expressions when a simply general sense is wanted as मी गोविंदाचे एथं गेलां होतों 'I went to (lit.) the here of Govinda' i. e. to Govind's place. मैने आपके यहां सेवकको भेजा था 'I sent my servant to your here' i. e. your place. हु अर्छीथरने तहां गयो हतो 'I went to Murlidhar's there' i. e. place. These vi, usi and asi are really remnants of the old Pr. locatives of sau (in the forms for a) and a. If these were more extensively used they would in the course of time become terminations; and as for als the Pr. and Apbhr. loc. of at has become a termination in H. as में शहरके तहे गया 'I went to the there of the town'; उसके तहें 'to him or for him.' In the Apabhrams'a according to Hemachandra केंद्र and लेकि were used as postpositions in the sense of 'for', ' on account of' as in the verses formerly given si has as at they are. appear like instrumental plurals of the pronouns and and and they may be so really. The instr. and abl. may pass into the dat. and

this into either. For of the several relations denoted by the first two cases one is that between a cause and its effect or reason and conclusion and a confusion between an antecedent cause or reason and the final cause or purpose is natural. This last is generally indicated by the dat. case. Even the English preposition for has these two senses. In the sentence, 'I bought the book for my own use', it signifies the purpose; and in such a one as 'for this, let people beware' it denotes an antecedent reason. In the same way साठी or करितां are in M. used in both these senses. In त्याच्यासाठी भी हतका अस केला 'I took so much trouble for him' it has a dative sense and in ह्यासाठीं अभी गोष्ट कथीं कहं नये 'for this, such a thing should never be done' it has an ablative sense. This fact must be borne in mind as we go on discussing the origin of the case forms. 36 and die therefore may be instrumentals. This explanation is supported by the fact that another Apbhr, postposition in the sense of ' for 'or 'on account of ' given by Hemachandra is तरोण which is evidently the sing, instr. of an a possessive suffix to be hereafter mentioned. The pl. case forms may become adverbs or postpositions as the Skr. शने: 'slowly,' नीचै: and उचे: 'low' and 'high' which must originally have been instr. plurals. Another explanation of these forms is that they are derived from the Pr. gen. plurals after and the the being changed to g. The gen. has all along been used in the sense of the dat. In Skr. itself it is often so and in the Pr. it drove out the old dat. altogether and was used instead. In old M. poetry the obl. form, which we have traced to the Pr. gen., is often used for this case or, since in the Apbhr. nouns the termination it was used for loc. pl. also, the forms and aft are not unlikely to be referred to that case. The loc, is another case which can readily be adapted to express a dat. acc. sense. Tulsidasa frequently adds & to nouns to form this case. Thus-

रुद्रहिं देखि मदन भयमाना।

'The god of love was afraid when he saw Rudra.'

रामाईं सौपिय जानकी नाइ कमल पदमाथ । स्रत कहुं राज समार्प वन जाइ भजिय रघुनाथ ॥

'Having restored Jânakî to Râma and bowing your head at his lotus feet and giving the kingdom to your son go to a forest and adore Raghunâtha.'

That these several cases may pass into the dat. acc. is also proved by the fact that the forms of the Apabhrams'a gerund or infinitive of purpose, which has essentially a dative sense, are

derived from them. Thus Hemachandra gives these terminations of the infinitive: vah as in देवम 'for giving' which is an acc. of the pot. part. देशक्यम, अण as in करण 'for doing' in which the case termination is dropped., अणहम as in भंजणहें 'for breaking' which is the gen. pl. of भञ्जन and अणहें as in भंजणहें 'for enjoying' which is loc. sing. or pl. of भुक्जण Pr. for Skr. भोजन. Thus then in the Apabhrams'a pronominal forms had begun to be used as postpositions for expressing case relations. It is not unreasonable, therefore, to expect that we should find traces of the practice in our modern case forms and the forms themselves that were used in that dialect.

The Apabhrams'a dat. postposition and survives in the S. a the aspiration is thrown on a so as to make it and the following vowel is dropped. We have already seen several instances of this process but I will add one or two more to the point. The Pr. कह ' where ' is in the Goan. and Mal. खंग, तहिं 'there' शंग, आहें 'here' it. Often the old is dropped in the moderns and thus we have from कहिं the B. क. The old H. termination कहे is the Pr. कहिं 'where,' so that originally the sense of TH as was 'Râma's somewhere.' 'Send to Râma's somewhere ' and 'give it to Râma's somewhere ' came afterwards to mean 'send it to Râma' and 'give it to Râma.' The g of the loc. The is here dropped as is mostly the case in the pronominal locatives which in the modern languages as well as the Prâkrit have become adverbs of place; such are sig relative 'where', नहिं 'there', अहिं 'here', and किं 'where' interrogative which have become जहां, तहां, यहां and कहां and in Tulsidas जहं तहं and कहं. Sometimes the g is preserved in the current speech as in agi or agi H., अही, यहां G. The other dat. termination कह, the origin of को or को. is either the ablative or genitive form of किम since हं was in Apbhr. the termination of both these cases. The O. at is another form of this कह. The M. त is from the Apabhr. तह as के from कह. The B. has this affix also used principally in the case of inanimate creatures.

धुयां ते गम्ध आछे ओ ताहाते चश्चते वेदना होय ।

'There is smell to smoke and from that harm to the eye results.'

तहाते सिंह कहिल।

'The lion said to him.'

The S. has it also.

सोहल्यो निकारते 'He went for hunting.' विश्रो सुस फरिअते 'went on a travel.'

The P. ताइ like the H. ताई is from ताई 'there.'

The M. ex is to be connected with similar terminations in its own dialects and the other languages. Khândeshî has & H. possesses a postposition छाए or लिए, P. उर्दे, S. लाइ all in the sense of 'for', Braja or of 'up to.' The old H. poets have also or or or in the sense of the M. of i. e. 'to' or 'at:' बारहिं बार पांचले पदहीं 'again and again they fall at her feet;' हर्बि उठाय किये उरलाई 'joyfully he arose and pressed him to his bosom.' Then there are other forms in all the languages from En. Goan. has द्वार्गी in the sense of 'to' or 'with,' as माझे लागी उल्प 'speak to or with me.' H. डम 'up to'; P. डम 'near, to;' डामों 'from contact with;' S. ह्या-ह्यो 'on account of;' B. ह्यामें-लागिया-छागे 'for, on account of.' In G. and H. poetry enfi. coff or coff are used in these senses and M. has खावन or लागाने also. All these forms are derived from the old Skr. root on to adhere or stick. The past pass, part, of this is on, Pr. on. This, like many similar participles, is made the base of verbal forms in Pr. and we have लगाइ = लग्ना भवति 'adheres, sticks.' From this we have हागण-वं-व M. G. B. by the usual rules, but S. generally and H. sometimes do not lengthen the preceding yowel when one of the two consonants is dropped and we have carry and caren, though corrections is also used in the latter. There is another form onvald of this root which is made up according to the 10th Conjugation or which is properly the causal and, when the termination is dropped as is often the case, we have out and by the elision of my the root becomes ers and thence, by the usual introduction of a or a, sau or era. Now खायणा exists in the Goan. and खादुण in the S. while M. has खादणें. These mean 'to make to adhere,' 'apply,' 'bring in contact.' From onto हारणा or हार्ग all the forms we have above noticed have originated. कार्ड, है, लागी, लागिया are absolutives as also M. लागोनि, while the other M. form soff is the loc. sing. of the noun son contact' or 'nearness.' The Brai. of must be from the old Apbhr. absol. of लाअ. The M. dat. ला must be from such a form as लाउं 'having applied or 'for applying,' since the final s of terminations is dropped in this language as in जिमा 'tongues' from जिमार. The dative pl. termination ai represents an euphonic change of a necessitated by the preceding anusvara. Instances of this change of to a have been given in a previous lecture. Chit. has both an and and. This was is by some traced to the vi of the Pr. gen. pl. as was to the of the gen. sing. The Chit. however has a or as in the pl. while to alone is the sing, termination and the sameness of the sing, and pl. terminations is the great peculiarity of the modern dialect, whence the Chit. I is a form of I and if so then it must be so in the standard Marathi also. Mr. Beames thinks the G. a to be originally & and thus to belong to the class of terminations we have just examined, the sbeing changed to 7. I am not satisfied with this derivation as there is no corroborative evidence, though the change of to a is not impossible or unexampled. The gen. termination नो-नी-तं in this language is derived from the old Apabhrams'a termination au, by the elision of the initial 7, as will be hereafter shown. In the same manner the 7 of the acc. dat. must have been derived from the Apabhr. dat. postposition त्रणेण, which, as I have already observed, is the instr. of त्रण. The last of of this is changed to an anusvâra, as that of देवेण is, and, just as this becomes देवें. तणेण becomes तणें and by the loss of त. मे. Or the process which in the Apabhr. led to the formation of त्रणेष from Au may have been resorted to when Au became a in the Gr. and thus # is the instrumental or locative of the gen. # or its abl. form. But the anusvara of i which is lost in the G. instr. would show that it is derived directly from त्रणेण. P. इं may likewise be referred to a form तणहं the obl. of तण. In H. the termination in is applied in a dative sense to nouns governed by verbs denoting speaking राजानें बाह्मणसे कहा 'The king spoke to the Brahman.' is used in the sense of the instr.: तरवारसे सीर काटा 'He cut off his head with a sword,' रुक्मिनीका विवाह श्रीकृष्णचन्दसे हुआ 'Rukmini's marriage with S'ri Krishnachanda took place;' and in that of the abl. इतना वचन ब्राह्मणके सुखसे निकला 'these words fell from the mouth of the Brahman.' In Braja we have सो for से as कवीरजी आयके मोसों कहा। 'Kabir came and said to me'; uself yes es 'He should get it explained from the guru.' In old H. also it is used in the form of Hi. In old M., as I have already remarked, we have the in the sense of the modern dat. acc. # as:--

सारथी शार्क्षघर । अर्जुनेसी॥

Jñân, 1-141.

'S'arngadhara was charioteer to Arjuna.'

पंवाडा तुवां केला गंधवीसी॥

II-10.

'Thou hast made a song for Gandharvas.'

जे नेदी देवकी यशोदेली । ते गति दीवकी पूतनेसी ॥

समान देणें अरिमित्रांसी । उदारतेसीं काय वर्णे ॥

Rukmiņî Svayamvara I—82.

"He gave that salvation to Pûtanâ which he did not give to Yas'odâ and Devakî. His gifts to friends and foes are alike. How shall I describe his bounty?"

'It is necessary in the battlefield to see with whom I should fight.'

अर्जुन असे पाहत । तो दळभार समस्त । संभमेशी ॥

Jñân. I—176.

'Arjuna was looking at the whole force with an agitated heart.'

आणि परलोकही अंतरेल। ऐहिकेसीं॥

Jñan. II-27.

'And the interests of the future life will be lost along with those of this!'

In these three examples सी has the sense of an instrumental. We do not now use it in the sense in which it is used in the last two passages and say संज्ञाने पहतो and ऐहिकासहित अंतरेड. In the Salsette dialect, however, सी has these senses and also that of an abl. as गांवसी आवड़ो 'He came from the village.'

Now we see that the old M. poets do not make a distinction between the ti, which corresponds to our modern t, and that which even now we use in the sense of with. They also use it in other senses in which it is used in H., but not in the M. of the day. The Salsette dialect, however, agrees with the H. in its use of this affix. H. uses to in one case that at in which we use the as the the origin of the M. dative affix t is the same as that of H. than M. the G. poetry has this in the form of to ret as untilities and M. the G. poetry has this in the form of to ret as untilities and the full than the sense of with.

All these are derived from the word सम. As is usual, H is in the modern vernaculars changed to H and thus we have सन and with the instr. affix we have the सन of the M. poets. I have in a former lecture given a great many instances in which H is dissolved into H,

The instr. termination $\vec{\tau}$ sing. $\vec{\tau}$ pl. M., $\vec{\tau}$ P. have been traced by Mr. Beames to $\vec{\tau}$ or $\vec{\tau}$, which are forms of $\vec{\tau}$ viii or $\vec{\tau}$ etc. derived from the root $\vec{\tau}$. One great objection is that this derivation does not account for the anusvâra of $\vec{\tau}$ or $\vec{\tau}$. His argument is that the old $\vec{\tau}$ of the instr. having been reduced to $\vec{\tau}$ there was no other instrumental case to furnish the $\vec{\tau}$ of the modern instr. $\vec{\tau}$ — $\vec{\tau}$, but in the Apabhr. according to Hemachandra both these forms existed and the instance he gives is

जे महु दिण्णा दिअहडा दइएं पवसन्तेण । ताण गणन्ति ए अंग्रुलिंड जज्जरि आड नहेण ॥

'By counting (again and again) [the number of] days which were mentioned to me by my beloved when he set out on his travels [the skin of] my fingers has worn away by the nail.' Here द्र्ष, प्राप्त-तेण and नहेण are instances of the instrumental. The first two expressive of the agent in the passive construction and the third of the simple instrument; so that the ven or of of the instrumental must have descended to the vernaculars. Again Mr. Beames says that the old H. poets do not use the instr. in \exists ; but the oldest M. poet Jñânes'vara does use it in some cases. His agent instrumental is very often that which ends in t, but instances of the use of n, which is another form of n, also occur.

As:-

कीं वारेनि जात आहे।

Jñân. 13-197.

Or whether he is going away by the wind.

दिसे वारेनि जैसे जाइल ।

Jñan. 13-214.

'Appears as if it will go away by the wind.

सकेनि घेतले मीन जैसे ।

Jñân. 13-280.

'As the dumb may be said to have assumed silence deliberately.

उन्हाळिनि तापे । स्त्री । हिमवंती न कांपे ॥ कायसेनिही न वासि में । पातलेया ॥ Jñân, 13—347,

'He is not oppressed by heat or does not shiver by cold and is not terrified by anything that takes place.'

Here are instances of the instr. both indicative of the agent and of the simple instrument, but the termination is न instead of न. Besides these there are instances of the instrumental in ज of pronouns as यूज माने Jñân. 13—200 'By this standard,' जेजें तोचं 13—244 'By which satisfaction,' तेजें प्रसाद 18—1147 'By that grace.' Now I have stated my view in the last lecture that न is a double instrumental, the first part being न of the old instrumental in एन or एण and the second part ए, to which that एण is reduced. Now instead of ए, the instr. इ is added here. The instr. इ represents the इज of the Apabhr. instr. in इज, which we have in such forms as पुत्तिण mentioned by Pischel. Hindi poets as a rule indicate the agent instrumental by the oblique form but Sûrdâs uses the form ending in न or न also.

कान्ह कहा। गिरि गोवर्षनतं और देव नहिं दूजा। गोपनि सत्य मानि यह र्लानो बडो देव गिरिराज॥

Sursågar, pada 12, page 252.*

'Kanha said, "There is no other God besides the mountain Govardhan." Taking it to be the truth the king of mountains was regarded by the cowherds as a great God.'

Here गोपनि is agent instrumental in नि.

सबनि द्रेख्यी प्रकट मुरति सहस्रश्चजा पसारि॥ इचि सहित गिरि सबनि आगे करनि छेले खाय॥

Sursâgar, pada 24, page 254.

[#] Lucknow Edn., 1874.

'The manifested form with the thousand arms stretched out was seen by all; with taste the mountain taking hold of everything by all his hands etc.'

Here atfa is the simple instrumental.

अहिरनि करी अवज्ञा प्रभुकी सो फल उनकों तुरत दिखावहिं।

Sursågar, pada 44, page 257.

'Disrespect of the lord has been shown by the cowherds, show to them the fruit of it.'

एक पुरुषने आजु मोहि सपनान्तर दीनों।

Sursågar, pada 29, page 255.

'Today a certain dream was shown me by a Being.'

Here पुरुषने is agent instrumental.

The Ablative termination in M. is हन, Mâl. सून, Chit. की or पार्श (from पास and थीं), Sals. सिं, G. थी, S. खां-खं-खं तों तां, P. तें-थीं-थों, H. से, Braj. ते, H. dial. थीं, B. होडते तें. The Sindhi खां is the Pr. and Apabhr. abl. कहां of किन, the aspiration being thrown on क, as in the case of the dat. वे and in the other instances formerly given; so that the S. abl. etymologically means 'from his somewhere.' खाउं and खों are from the Ap. कहं abl. pl. In the same way तां is the old abl. तहां and तो the abl. pl. तह of तद, in both of which the aspirate is elided but in the P. vi it is compounded with the preceding त. The form भी भी of the G. and P., and H. dial. and the Chit. डी are derived from as the loc. sing. Pr. and Ap. of as with the aspiration thrown on a. The differences between some of the significations of the different cases are, as I have already observed, so minute that they frequently run into one another and when, on account of this resemblance, one case form becomes identified with another case, it afterwards acquires senses more widely different from its original. Thus तें प्रस्तक महा रामापाशीं मिळाडें i. e. (lit.) 'The book met me in the vicinity of Râmâ,' easily passes into रामापासन मिळाडे 'met me from Râmâ' i. e. 'got from Râmâ.' And the B. आपने प्रभर काछ ताहा पाइलाम is generally considered equivalent to 'I got it from the master,' though the is like will a loc. form meaning 'in the vicinity of.' Thus the old loc. Ti meaning 'in that' was used as a postposition and thence came to mean 'from that.' Or the transition is first from the loc. to the dat., which I have spoken of, and thence to the causal abl. and afterwards to the local abl. The Braj. and P. 7 is from the Apabhr. affix 76 (= for) mentioned before in which we have an instance of this passage of the dat. into the abl., as illustrated before in the M. post-position endi. In M., S. and B. A has preserved the dative sense. B. has the abl. A also, though some grammarians do not give it, but its sense is that of causal abl. or instr. as:—

गृष्गेर दीर्घताते आनंदित हइछ ।

'Became glad on account of the length of its horns,'

ताहाते चक्षते वेदना होय।

'From that there is harm to the eye.'

Of a different origin are the M. gq and qq and the B. होइते. The first हुन Mr. Beames, following Lassen, traces to the हिन्ती and सन्तो of the Pr. from a fusion, as it is called, of both, out of which arose seal, and, the all being lost, the form is हुन. In a similar way मन may be traced to सुन्तो, though its independent existence would make the supposed fusion a fiction. M. however has no instance of the loss of final and the preservation of a; but the chief objection to this derivation is the want of corroborative evidence. It is possible, but there is nothing to make it certain or even probable. Mr. Beames quotes passages from Chanda in which हन्त and हन्तो occur in the sense of 'from.' But they may be derived from the present participle. The B. abl. affix sigd is the loc. of the present participle of a, corresponding to M. slai, which has the sense of the Skr. loc. absolute as अमतां in मी करीत अमतां तो गेला ' while I was doing he went away.' In B, too this loc. has the same sense as दिन धाकिते कमें कर ' work while it is day. ' In H. also it is used in the same sense: द्वारपर देखते क्या है कि सिंह मरा पड़ा है 'looking at the door what did he find? he found that a lion was lying dead. (lit. what is there,-a lion lying dead);' भोर होतेही उसने सखीसे कहा 'She told it to her friend immediately after dawn (ht. after it had dawned).'

In this sense as in that of the Skr. loc. absolute the action denoted by the present participle is made the starting point of another action. 'My doing' in the first instance was the starting point of his going. And herein is the germ of an ablative. In H. होते is sometimes used in this sense as महानसे होते 'outside of the house, from the house.'

In the B. EMERIA WAS PRESS the original sense is 'the tree being there a fruit fell.' The M. Fr is the absolutive of fi and equivalent to fixe 'having become' which yields an ablative sense more directly. The F is sometimes dropped and the termination see itself is attached in this sense to si 'in', at 'above' and que 'side' to signify 'from in', 'from above', 'from the side' as singe, as and que which

are the ablative affixes used in cases when हुन can not be applied; and जन is sometimes affixed to nouns in अ directly and not to the oblique form as चर्न आहा. Thus गांवाहुन आहा means lit. 'the village having been he came.' The Mâl. सून is the absolutive of अस instead of हो. The H. से has been, explained.

We have seen that in the course of the development of our modern languages the genitive which even in Skr. expressed relation in general was used for the dative and sometimes for other cases also. The dative thus went out of use and to express the relations which it indicated various new suffixes, given and explained above, were brought into use and the old genitive being attenuated was felt to be too weak to express the usual genitive relation and was adapted to serve as the base of all oblique cases to which the newly constituted terminations were appended. The relation of possession as well as other genitive relations, such as that of a thing and the material of which it is made, had thus no special expression left for them. In Skr. such relations are indicated, besides the genitive case, by adjectives formed from the name of the possessor, material etc. by the addition of specific terminations and from these mostly the genitive terminations in the modern languages are derived and consequently are adjectival in their nature and agree with the gender of the thing possessed or made. The genitive terminations are as follows :--

चा—ची—चें	P.	दा—दी
मो—मी—हुं जो—जी	В.	इर or एर
का—की	0.	₹

In the first lecture I have remarked that in the third stage of the development of Sanskrit the nominal style came into use instead of the verbal style or fluent style. When the language was in this condition adjectival forms expressive of possession or other relations came into use instead of the genitive case and such forms, as:

तदीयम् गृहम् 'the house belonging to him,' महीय: छात्रः 'the pupil belonging to me,'

स्वरीया सखी 'the female friend belonging to thee,'
came into use instead of तस्य गृहम् 'his home,' मम छात्र: 'my pupil,'
तव सखी 'thy female friend.' When the use of such adjectives
became general the real genitive case, so far as it expressed possession and such other relations between nouns, was driven out

and adapted to express the various other relations mentioned Thus then we find that the case forms expressive of possession and other relations mentioned in the vernaculars are of an adjectival character. It is, however, curious to find that in our seven modern languages they are derived from seven different forms in Sanskrit and Prakrit. The M. ar a must be traced to the स्य which cortain indeclinables take in Sanskrit as इहस्य or अवस्य ' of this place,' तत्रस्य 'of that place,' क्रस्य or क्रत्रस्य 'of what place.' इहत्य or अत्रत्य must by the usual rules be changed to इहस, एथन, तत्रत्य to तत्थन, क्रत्य or कत्रत्य to कम or क्रत्थम or क्रीत्थन्म, तत्थन्म is तथना, एत्यच्च is एथचा, कोत्यच्च is कोठचा. This च्च was generalised and gradually came to be applied to all nouns to indicate possession and other relations. The G. जो-जी-जं are derived from the Sanskrit termination तन which is applied in the sense of possession to indeclinables expressive of time, such as अव्यतन 'of this day,' इदानींतन 'of the present time, 'Huina 'of evening time,' Eina 'of the olden time' This was generalised and Hemachandra gives are as a noun expressive of 'something related to' or 'belonging to' in his grammar of the Apbhr. dialect. In the line of the verse of Premananda and विरह्मणी वेदना 'the agony of separation afflicts' and in the expressions previously given, such as पोतासण, and तहतणो नहि लाध छह etc. this तण remains, it will be seen, unchanged and in this form it is often used in G. poetry. By the dropping of the consonant a it is reduced to अण or अन and thence to न which with masc., fem., neut. terminations ओ-ई-डं becomes नो-नी-तं. The S. जो-जी are derived from the Sanskrit possessive termination in applied to a great many nouns and all pronouns as तदीय belonging to him, शासीय belonging to the house, गोनदीय belonging to or living in Gonarda. Dr. Trump assigns a different origin to this Sindhî जो-जी, which, as well as the etymologies of the corresponding possessive terminations existing in other cognate dialects, hardly bears examination. Another way of expressing possession is furnished by derivatives of the root & 'to do or make. The roar of a lion is his and or act. The roar of a lion is a सिंहकार्य or lion act i. e. it is something which belongs to the lion. The word कार्य therefore is adapted to express 'something belonging to one.' Now this आर्थ is in the Pr. reduced to केर as the पर्य of पर्यन्त to पेर and the अर्थ of आश्रय to छेर. From this at we have at all which means 'something belonging to one' or 'his property.' Madayantikâ in the Mâlatîmâdhava calls her body Makaranda's कर् or करक, since he had saved it from the mouth of a lion. Hemachandra gives at as a noun in the Apabhr. dialect expressive of the thing connected with another. The instance he gives is sugarty graft uses quark i 'From whose mouth grass falls down in consequence of the roar which is the atom of the lion.' He also gives in his grammar of the principal Prakrit as a termination having the sense of possession as in graft 'yours' like and. Thus then at which was first a noun came to be used as a postposition expressive of possession and was used as such in the principal Prakrit and by the G. and H. poets:

अंधाकेरि लाकडी हूं दुबळीत धन।

Tulasi.

'I am the stick of the blind [women] and the wealth of a weak [woman].'

हुकुम होय हजोरि केरो शोषी नाखो बाधो सागर॥

Sâmaladâs.

'If the command of the lord is received I will dry up the whole ocean.'

One of Kabîra's Sâkhî is-

दर्पण केरी जो गुफा सोण्हा पैठा धाय । हेखत प्रतिमा आपनि भंखि भंखि मरि जाय ॥

'A dog enters a room [made up] of mirrors and runs about and, seeing his own image, he barks and barks until he dies.'

There is another instance:

अमृतकेरी मोटरी सिरसे घरी उतारि । जाहि कहो में येक हो मोहिंक है देवारि ॥

'I put down from head the parcel containing nectar. To whomsoever I say that I am one, to me he speaks in return two or four.'

Here we have केरी as a possessive postposition. Similarly in Tulasidas's Ramayan we have कहु स्वर विभीषण केरी 'give us news about Bibhîshana,' where also we have the same postposition. When the initial क is dropped we have ut which we find in the Hindî तेरा and मेरा as in Kabîr's Sâkhî.

जो तू चाही सुझको छोडु सकलकी आस। मेरा ऐसा के रहे सब किछ तेरे पास॥

'If you want me give up the desire for everything else; become mine; and you will have everything else with you.' or is the gene-

. 1

ral postposition in the B. as पापेर 'of sin,' इंथरेर 'of God,' घरेर 'of a house.' Prof. Hörnle and Mr. Beames derive the suffix at from the Skr. past part. An which they say is reduced to and and thence to कर. But I have not met with a trace of करिश्न as the Pr. form of कृत. The usual form of it is किअ or कअ. Besides, the s of करिअ is inorganic, being simply an euphonic augment. Such an euphonic augment can not persist throughout centuries as the v of at has done until it was perpetuated in the form of ut in the B. Besides, करक is, as we have seen, used as a noun in the sense of property. There is no indication that a past pass, part, can be so used. It may be used as an abstract noun, as may in the sense of 'going.' So कतम may at the best acquire the sense of 'doing.' but the transition of 'doing' to 'property' is hardly possible. Another derivative of the root & has also supplied the vernaculars with a termination expressive of the genitive sense; and that is at. A good many words in Sanskrit have this are appended to them such as unext 'bringing fame,' वचनकर 'obedient,' किंकर 'servant,' प्रभाकर 'the sun,' ि पिकर 'a writer' etc. The original sense is 'one who does the thing' expressed by the nouns to which at is appended, but the derived senses are many, such as cause, habit, obedience and any other relation into which the doing of a thing brings one with another. When the particular nature of this relation is left out of sight the general sense is 'related to' or 'belonging to.' Thus ANTAT originally meaning 'the maker of light' comes to denote 'belonging to light;' a certain person who is लिपिकर or the doer of the writing is a person related or belonging to the writing. Thus कर came gradually to signify a genitive relation like the terminations \$4, 74, 77 etc. noticed above. In the Marathi at is applied in ordinary use to the name of a place to denote 'one residing in it' or generally 'belonging to it' as anima 'belonging to Kas'i', going 'belonging to Poona.' Instances of this at occur in the old Hindî poets; thus in Tulsidas's Ramayan we have-

तजौं न नारदकर उपदेश । Bâlak.

'I will not set aside the advice of Narada.'

पुनि पुनि हृद्य विचार करि धरि सीताकर रूप । (Ditto).

'Again and again reflecting in her mind she (Pârvatî) assumed the form of Sîtâ.'

मैं शंकरकर कहा न माना।

(Ditto).

'I did not obey the word of Shankar.'

भरद्वाज सन्तः अपर अब राम जन्मकर हेतु।

'Oh Bharadvâja, hear now another reason for the birth of Râma.'

In Kabîr too we meet with this termination as in:-

तम ब्रह्मा (ब्रह्में) पूछल महतारी । को तोर पुरुष का (के) करि तुम नारी।

Kâbîr's Râmayan, 1-4th Chaupai.

'Then the mother was asked by Brahma "who is thy husband and whose wife art thou."

Here we have काकरि or केकरि in which we have the feminine of the termination कर. In Sanskrit कार is almost as much used as कर after nouns and the signification is similar. This कार is sometimes used in the Bangâlî in a genitive sense as in आपनकार 'of your honour,' आजकार 'of to-day,' कालिकार 'of yesterday.' From कर, when the initial consonant is dropped, we have the Oriya genitive suffix अर as in घरर, while कार gives us the आर of the Hindî तुझारा 'yours,' हमारा 'ours' and of the Guj. तारो 'thine,' तमारो 'yours,' मारो 'mine,' अमारो 'ours.'

Another derivative of the root of, the past pass. part. of, changed to an in the Prakrit, has been appropriated in Hindi to express genitive relations. As the obl. form has been determined to be a remnant of the old genitive the sappended to it must mean 'done of (by) the thing or person' denoted by the obl. form. The idea of 'a thing done by one' passes easily into a thing belonging to one. que तकत काच्य 'a poem composed by a Pandit' becomes easily पण्डितका and i.e. the poem of a Pandit. The terminations and exist in old Hindî along with att, att and at, att. The easiest explanation of the Panjabi a-1 appears to be that, like the Hindi an-sh, they are derived from the past pass. part. of a 'to give' in the form of a made up on the analogy of any from a. Other explanations may also be given. It may be said that the त-t of Marvari dialect and certain G. and H. pronouns which results from the Sanskrit was changed to si-si or si-si, as these consonants are frequently confounded with each other; and thence डो-डी or डा-डी passed into दो-दी or डा-डी. The Sanskrit suffixes used by the vernaculars to express genitive re. lations must have a final क added to them, since, like augmented nouns, the masc. nom. sing. ends in ओ or आ and the feminine in है. Thus for the original of the M. चा-ची-चें we must have त्यक, for the G. मो-नी-छं तनक, for S. जो-जी ह्यक, for H. का-की कृतक and for old H. केरा and केरी केरक and for कर करक and for कार कारक. In the fourth lecture 1 have given several instances of the addition of क to all sorts of nouns and adjectives.

The new loc. termination in H. are में, Braj. में, and पे, P. ते, S. मे, G. मां, M. आंत and B. ते. The forms with an initial म are derived from the Skr. मध्य which has undergone several transformations. In old H. and G. poetry it occurs in the forms of माहीं and महं etc. as:—

शिव संकल्प कीन्ड मनमाहीं।

Tulsi., Bâla-K.

'S'iva resolved in his mind.'

जे हि राखे रघुवीर ते उवरे तेहि कालमहं।

(Ditto).

'Those were safe at that time whom Raghuvira protected.'

एकवार त्रेतायुगमाहीं 1

(Ditto).

'Once in Tretâyuga.'

पुनि मंदिर महं बात जनाई।

'He announced the intelligence in the palace.'

स्रदामा में प्रथिवी माहीं। लीधा दश अवतार ॥

Premânand's Sudâmânum Charitra.

'O Sudâma, I have gone through ten incarnations on this earth.'

सोरठ माहिं सहुको नाठतूं।

'Everybody fled to Soratha.'

The Braj. À is from Hiế, the g being elided and An and g combining into the while in the S. and H. A they form v. In the G. He the whole to for Hiế is dropped. Hiệ is loc. sing. of Hiệ for Heu. The P. and B. À is from Hiế, the loc. of Aq, or Aiế which we have noticed as an Aphhr. dative post-position. H. À is from Skr. quá, which first becomes qua, then qua, and then que or que, and the loc. of que is que or que which is its shortened form. qua is used as a post-position in H. and qua and qual, the loc. forms, in G. and M. respectively. The forms que and que occur in the old H. poets as:—

शंभु गये कुंभज ऋषि पाहीं।

Tulasi, Bâl.-K.

'S'ambhu went to Agastya.'

गयो कपि राम पहं।

Tulsi.

'The monkey went to Râma.'

The M. आंत is from Skr. अन्तः and its initial आ is dropped after a dissimilar vowel as मातींत etc.

"We will now briefly examine the reconstructed verbal forms. We have observed that the materials out of which the case forms are constructed are not only independent words having, as in an earlier stage of a language, specific significations, the combination of which nearly constitutes the idea required to be expressed; but also independent case forms and existing terminations which have acquired a specific sense. Thus when the terminations came into use for both numbers of the cases the B. and the O. have had recourse to the use of independent words such as itn 'a row' and His 'a measure or class,' सक्त 'all' etc. to express plurality, while the G. employed the already existing plural termination si; and such forms as aff and aff loc. and af abl. were used to express case relations and afterwards grew into terminations when their etymological sense was forgotten. We have also seen that, as in the case of the new words that come into a language, the new forms at first express inadequately the sense they are designed to convey but in the course of time imagination and usage give them a definite signification; or like words the forms come by natural transitions to express different relations from those they did before. Thus it was that the abl. कई and the loc. कोई or ताई became dat. affixes or the loc. of the present participle होइते came to express an abl. relation. We shall find these principles at work in the reconstruction of the verb also; and there too you will meet with verbal forms made up by applying the old personal and other terminations to new bases which are adjectival in their nature or by adding verbal forms to them or by appending independent words. The M., S., B. and O. make up some of their tenses and moods in the first way; the others do not. We will first examine these. The bases for their formation are as a matter of course the participles that have come down from the Prakrits and there are no other. These are the present, past and the potential participles which are, as I have just said, adjectival in

their nature. Since an adjective must agree with the noun which it qualifies in number and gender our new verbs must express both these and the person of the nominative. The old verbal forms examined in the last lecture express, as Skr. and Pr. verbs do, only the number and person because they have descended to us from those languages. In the modern dialects those adjectives only that end in m or m agree with the noun to which they are referred i. e. take the fem. termination & when the noun is feminine and the plural ones when it is plural as काळा-डा घोडा M. H. 'a black horse,' काळी जी घोडी 'a black mare,' काळे-ले घोडे 'black horses,' काळ्या घोड्या, काडी घोडियां. Similarly G. and S. When the qualified noun is in an obl. case sing. or pl. these adjectives take the obl. sing. form चोड्यास M., काले घोडेकों H., काळ्या घोडीस M., काली घोडीकों H. Hindi has no obl. form for other nouns than those which end in an and hence in काली घोडीकों the adjective remains unchanged. Adjectives with other endings are not thus inflected as स्तराज घोडा-घोडे-घोडी-घोड्या-घोडियां-घोड्यास-घोडेकों etc. M. H.; while in the B. and O. no adjectives whatever. Here then we see that, like nouns in 37-31, adjectives also with those endings have preserved the old terminations. Now the three participles I have mentioned end in say or say arising from the original affix \$\pi\$, wherefore they must be inflected. In M. and G. however under certain circumstances the present participle ends in wa and therefore is not inflected. The old Present has in M. acquired another sense whence a new one came into use, the forms of which are as follows :-

	Sing.	Pl.
1st pers.	करतों—त्यें or तीं—तें	करतों
2nd pers.	करतोस—त्येस, तीस—तेंस	करतां
3rd pers.	करतो—त्ये. ती—तें	करतात

These are made up by adding the terminations expressive of gender and person to the pres. part. which is atta. With the fem. this becomes attal, but there is another form in the which appears to have been arrived at thus attal (for attal) attal a

sing. \(\frac{1}{3}\), \(\frac{1}{3}\); 2nd pers. sing. \(\frac{1}{3}\), pl. \(\frac{1}{3}\); 1st pers. sing. \(\frac{1}{3}\), pl. \(\frac{1}{3}\). In the 1st pers. sing. is represented by the characteristic anusvara, the vowels expressive of gender necessitating the elision of \(\frac{1}{3}\). In the pl. \(\frac{1}{3}\), \(\frac{1}{3}\) and \(\frac{1}{3}\), become by combination \(\frac{1}{3}\), \(\frac{1}{3}\). The 2nd pers. sing. has the \(\frac{1}{3}\) of the old Pres. and the pl. the \(\frac{1}{3}\) which with the \(\frac{1}{3}\) forms \(\frac{1}{3}\) to which however an inorganic anusvara is added by some. The 3rd pers. sing. has no personal termination, the adjective in its own form expressing that idea, while in the pl. the \(\frac{1}{3}\) of the old Pres. is added. In these forms we see that the \(\frac{1}{3}\) of the nom. which is dropped in ordinary nouns and adjectives is retained and we have \(\frac{1}{3}\), and the forms are the same for all. This arises from the use of the unaugmented participle \(\frac{1}{3}\), and not \(\frac{1}{3}\), which retains the inflections. The forms are:—

	Sing.			
1st pers.	करतें or तंय	Mâl.	करतां	Goan.
2nd pers.	करतस	Mâl.	करतास	Goan.
3rd pers.	करता	Mal.	करता	Goan.
	Pl.			
1st pers.	करतों—तंव	Mål.	करतांव	Goan.
2nd pers.	करतात	Mål.	करतात	Goan.
3rd pers.	करतत	Mal.	करतात	Goan.

The terminations are those of the old Pres. of intransitive roots in these dialects *i. e.* the real Pr. terminations without the transitive or Parasm. augment §. The 2nd pers. pl. 7, which I have traced to the Pr. 771, is peculiar to the Goan, and Mål. and it is not altogether absent from the ordinary M. In the 1st pers. sing. Mal. we have the old § from ¶ distinct and not reduced to an anusvåra as in the standard dialect and also the Goan.

A process the reverse of that observable in M. as regards the change in the sense of verbal forms has taken place in the O. and B. The old Pres. in M. has acquired the sense of the Past Habitual and the forms made up by appending the old terminations to the pres. part. express present time but in the O. and B. this new Present of the M. expresses habitual past action and the old Pres. retains its present signification. In H. too the pres. part. used alone has the signification of the past habi-

tual. The reason why forms expressive of present time have come to denote past time is to be sought for in that natural use of the Pres. which is called Historic Present. A man in narrating a story transports himself for the sake of vividness into that time when the actions are supposed to have taken place and speaks of them as if they were present; and when this habit becomes general in a language the fact of such an imaginary transfer is forgotten and the Present Tense becomes Past Tense. We have many instances of this use of the Skr. Pres. in such books as the Pańchatantra. The forms of the O. Past Habitual are these:—

	Sing.	Pl.
1st pers.	करन्ति '	करन्तु
2nd pers.	करन्तु	करन्त
3rd pers.	करन्ता	करन्ते

As in the M., the 3rd pers. sing. has no personal termination: and the pl. is the M. and H. pl. of nouns in M as vis which we find in the O. nom. pl. प्रमाने 'beasts' also. The second person has the same terminations as the corresponding old Present viz. 3 and 3. The first person sing. has 3 and the pl. 3 and they are the same as the gand g of the Pres., but there is some confusion about the anusvâras. Before proceeding it is necessary to mention that O. and B. use the sing. forms in speaking of, and to, inferiors, while the pl. is used in an honorific sense. This is so in all the languages; but these two use even a singular nominative with the honorific forms or real plurals and a plural one with the inferior forms. This is due to the loss of the original plural of nouns in these languages, which I have before mentioned; so that one same form of the nom, came to be used for both the sing, and pl. verbal forms; but, since the usage common to all our vernaculars did exist, the pl. forms came to be understood in a simply honorific sense and the sing, in the sense of inferiority. There was nothing in the form of the nom. which expressed plurality and hence the verbs also ceased to indicate it, though originally fitted to do so. Similarly the pl. forms of personal pronouns which were not lost came to be restricted to an honorific signification and the sing. to that of inferiority. The words which were brought into use to indicate plurality expressed an aggregate or collection and thus, being themselves sing., the necessity of pl. verbal forms in connection with them was not felt; and hence they take a verb in the real old sing. But there is this consistency in the use of the verb, that the honorific pers. pronouns take an honorific verb and the inferior an inferior *i. e.* an original pl. nom. takes an original plural verb and an original sing. a singular.

These same forms that we have been discussing have in the S. acquired a future signification and constitute the regular Future tense of that dialect. The Pres. expresses in all languages an immediate future—a man's intending shortly to do a thing is considered as good as actually doing it. This is the germ of futurity in the signification of forms of the Present tense; and usage may take hold of it and constitute them into a regular Future. The Sindhi forms are—

Masc.			Fem.		
	Sing.	Pl.	Sing.	Pl.	
1st pers.	हलंदुसि	हर्उंदासीं	हलंदिअसि — ग्रासि	हलंदि ऽं —श्सीं	
2nd pers.	इलंदें	इलंदउन्दी	हलंदिअं—एं	हलंदि ऊं —शृं	
3rd pers.	हलंदी	हलंदा	हलंदी	हलंदिऊं गृं	

Here zez is the old pres. part. The 3rd pers., as in the O. and also M., partially has no personal terminations. The sing. and the pl. are like those of corresponding adjectives in M. The 2nd pers. has the termination v and v of the S. old Pres. In the fem. the first is optionally reduced to v and the v combines with the fem. pl. s. The first pers. pl. has the corresponding S. pronoun veti 'we' attached to it as a termination as in an early stage of a language. The sing. It or vet is perhaps the same without the nasal and shortened, which changes are made probably to adapt it to the expression of singularity. The adjectival mass. termination appears also in the first pers. sing., as in M., but it is shortened to v and the fem. sing. and the pl. v or v optionally combined into v throughout. In the M. the adjectival gender terminations are not used in the pl. Dr. Trump considers these personal terminations as the remnants of the old Pr. forms of vet, which, however, are quite different from these.

The old past part is similarly made the base of verbal forms expressive of past time. In S. they are made up just in the same way as the above, the 3rd pers. having the purely adjectival forms, without the personal terminations, and the gender affixes appearing in the same places as in the above.

Mas	c.
Singular.	Plural.
हकिउसि ─ल्युसि	हि®आसीं ─ल्या सीं
हकिएं—ल्यें	हल्य उ—ल्यु
हर्त्छिओ—स्यो	हलिआ—ल्या
Fem	l .
Singular.	Plural.
हलिअसि— ल् यसि	हलियंसि
हर्छिअं—एं	हलियं — ॐ
हली	हलियुं

When the verb is transitive the participle is passive and the agent, if used, is put in the instr. case. The verb takes the person, gender and number of the object in the nom. case and the forms are just the same as the above; as मार्युस 'I was beaten,' मार्थे 'thou wert beaten.'

]	Marâțhî ha Masc.		· Fe	em	N e	ut.
	Sing.	Pl.	Sing.	Pl.	Sing.	Pl.
1st	गेलों	गेलीं	गेल्यॅ-र्ली	गेल्यों	गेडें	गेर्छी
2nd	गेलास	गेलां	गेलीस	गेल्यात	गेलॅस	गेर्छात
$3\mathbf{r}\mathrm{d}$	गेला	गेले	गेली	गल्या	गेलें	गेर्ली

Here as before we have simply adjectival forms for the 3rd pers.; and the verbal terminations of the old Pres. appear in the first and second united with the nominal affixes indicative of gender and number, which however are dropped where they can not be easily combined with the other, as in the first and second person plural mase. It should be remarked that the old mase, termination 3 or 37 is preserved in the 1st pers. sing., as in the new Pres., and the 2nd pers. pl. has in some cases the affix a, which I have already noticed and traced to the Pr. sour. The Chit. and Goan. dialects agree with this in the main, but use a for the 2nd pers. pl. of the Masc. also, as गेटेन. Their masc, sing, is गेलो and fem. pl. गेल्यो, since they do not drop the old s of the fem. nom. pl. The Mal. discards gender in the first and second persons, when the verbal terminations are appended, and the first pers. sing. termination is the old t, which before the si of the others is reduced to an anusvara, and we have not if went.' The 2nd pers. pl. termination is 7 throughout, as in the other dialects Goan.

हांव गेलों-लीं-लं तूं गेलो-ली-लंस तो-ती-तें गेलो-ली-लं आमी गेलॉ-ल्यॉ-लीं तुमी गेले-ल्यो-लींत ते-त्यो-तीं गेले-ल्यो-लीं

Mâl.

मी गेल्ड्रं तूं गेलस तो-ती-तां गेलो-ली-लां आमी गेलों तुमी गेलात-ल्यात ते-त्यो-तीं गेले-ल्यो-लीं

In transitive verbs M. attempts a curious combination. The participle being passive it must agree with the object and take its number and gender and, if made the base of verbal forms, its person also. But, unlike the Sindhi, the M. does not use this passive participle for an object in the first and second persons. Thus will and riffs in S. mean 'thou wert beaten' and 'I was beaten:' but we do not use such forms as unfalle or unfall to express this sense, though a solitary line from Moropant containing धरिलास has been often quoted. In these cases we use the impersonal construction putting the agent and the object in the instr. and dat, and using the sing. neuter form of the past part., as त्याणे मला or तला मारिले. Thus then this participle can have a nom. object only of the third person. Properly then it ought to take no personal terminations at all; but when the agent which is always in the instr. case is of the 2nd pers. the characteristic sing. and pl. 7 of that pers. are appended to the participle, so that it takes the gender and number of the object which is in the nom. case and the pers. and number of the agent which is in the instr. case. Thus we have तं पोधी विहिन्नीस 'thou transcribedst a book,' तं पोध्या लिहिल्यास, तं काम केलेंस 'thou didst a work,' तहीं पोधी विहिलीत, पोध्या विहिल्यात. These forms are condemned by the Translation Department of the Director of Public Instruction, but the Marathî speakers can not dispense with them; खां काम केलं, खां पोधी लिहिली, the proposed substitutes, are felt to be weak and emasculated. And the Konkanis are not satisfied with second personal forms alone of this nature and have devised a way of affiliating the past part. with the third personal agent also and, as the old terminations and are not expressive enough, they append to that participle the last syllable of the agent forms i. e. the ? or ? of the instr. sing. and नी of the pl., as त्या साहेबानें-न मला बश्चिस दिखेंन 'the Saheb gave me a reward, त्या साहेबांनी मला बिक्षसे दिखेनी 'the Sahebs gave me rewards,' where the a and all of eligate and eligated are added to the verbs to connect them with them. These forms are not used in the Deccan except by some Konkani Brahmans settled there; and they are condemned by all good writers.

The O. and the B. do not inflect their adjectives, whether they end in sit or any other vowel and have no agent case or instrumental. When the languages came to be in this condition there was nothing to mark off the passive nature of the transitive participle. Hence it was forgotten and we have now active verbal forms only, which are constructed as in the other languages.

	O.		В.	
S	ing.	Pl.	Sing.	Pl.
1st pers.	कालि	कलुं	देखिलाम्	देखिलाम्
2nd pers.	कल्च	कल	देखिलि	देखिला—ले
3rd pers.	कला	कले	देखिल (or क्)	देखिलेन

As in the Pres. the O. like the other languages does not add verbal terminations to the third pers., but the forms are like those in M., namely the nom. sing. and pl. masc., though they do not indicate gender here. The other persons have the terminations of the Present.

The B. has no termination in the third pers. singular, while the pl. has the corresponding va of the Present in that language. The 2nd pers. sing. has \(\xi\) and the first person sing. \(\xi\), which are not used in the B. Present but are to be traced to the Apabhr. Present preserved in the \(\xi\) and \(\xi\) of the H. and others; \(\xi\) is \(\xi\) is \(\xi\) is \(\xi\) is the Goan. Archia from Archia, and the anusvâra and the \(\xi\) are hardened into \(\xi\). The first pers. pl. has the same form as the sing. and such a confusion is easy in a language without distinction of number. The second pers. pl. appears to be the old adjectival pl. like the M. \(\xi\) and the O. \(\xi\); and the other form is \(\xi\)(asy) sing., the two being confounded.

The Skr. potential participle in तच्य, Pr. अच्च, which implies duty, has become the base of the O. and B. Future. In Skr. मया कतंत्र्यम् means 'it is to be done by me' i. e. it is my duty to do it, and the transition from this idea to 'I shall do it' is natural. The very word 'shall' in English, which primarily signifies 'I owe' and has now become the sign of the English Future, is an example of it. This participle in Skr. and Pr. is impersonal when the root is intransitive and passive when it is transitive. Like the past part, this

has lost its passive character in these languages for the same reasons. The usual personal terminations are applied to it as—

	0.	B .		
Sing.	Pl.	Sing.	Pl.	
1st करिवि	करिबु-वा	करिव	करिव	
2nd करिन्न	करिव	करिवि	करिवा-वे	
3rd करिव	करिवे	करिवे	करिवेन	

In the O. the third pers. sing. has no terminations and the pl. has the nom. pl. v, which we have found in so many cases. The others have the usual affixes. The optional at of the first pers. pl. appears to be the adjectival sing. The B. first pers, has no termination: the second has the same as those we examined in the case of the Past tense and the third has the affixes of the regular Present. participle is similarly made the base of a Future tense in Sindhi: but since its passive character was not forgotten by the Sindhis, as by the Bengalis and the Oriyas, the Future tense it forms is the Passive Future. The same terminations as those we have examined before are appended to it as Hillail 'I shall be beaten', Hill 'thou shalt be beaten' and मारियो 'he will be beaten.' The third person has, as before, no personal affixes. When the verb is intransitive this participle is used only impersonally in the masc. sing., the agent being in the instrumental. In M. however the potential participle has preserved its old sense and is passive and impersonal, and, when used as a base for verbal forms, takes only the second pers. sing. affix st and the pl. a. Like the past participle when it is passive it takes the gender and number of the object in the nom. and the person and number of the agent in the instrumental, as a ग्रंथ लिहावास, पोथी वाचावीस आणि दसरें काम करावेंस 'thou shouldst write a work, read a book and do some other work.' When the object is in the pl. we have दिहावेस, वाचान्यास, करावींस; and when the agent is in the pl. and the object sing. we have लिहावात, वाचावीत, करावेत; and when both are in the pl. विहावेत, वाचाव्यात and करावींत. When the verb is intransitive it is impersonal i. e. has no Nom. with which it should agree, since the object alone can be Nom.; but it takes the q and \ a of the agent as तं जावंस-तहीं जावंत. Dr. Trump and Mr. Beames (Jour. R. A. S. Vol. VII), misled by the circumstance that in the B., O. and S. the a is pronounced like a, have likened these forms to the Latin bo and explain them as made up of the principal root and the Skr. . But this latter has in none of the vernaculars assumed the form of by dropping the vowel and the aspiration, nor are w or the aspirated

consonants generally ever known to become the corresponding unaspirates. The genius of the Prâkrits and their descendants is to preserve the aspirate element and to drop the mute. In rare cases the former is thrown on an adjoining letter, but never lost. The usual form in which we have \mathbf{q} is \mathbf{z} . And this etymology would not account for the fact that the forms with \mathbf{z} are passive in S.

In these verbal forms then we observe fresh instances of the law of generalization or false analogy. For the Present and Future tenses verbal or predicative forms, i.e. such as indicate the person of the Nom., were wanted; and so great is the necessity of such forms that we in M. make up such verbs as करावीस and केलीस agreeing with both the agent and the object as noticed above. But the languages being in spite of this want condemned to use participles only, they made the best of what they had and extended the analogy of the old Pres., which they possessed, to the participles, which they could not avoid, and thus applied the personal terminations to the participles and made verbs of them. The B. and O. rather than make the verbs agree with the object, as the passive nature of the participial base of the verb required, dropped the passive character altogether and made the participles take the personal terminations of the agent, while M. reconciles both and makes the verbs based on the passive participles agree with the person and number of the agent and the gender and number of the object.

We have next to examine the forms that are made up by adding independent words. There are not many of this class. The H. and P. Future is constructed by affixing η sing., η pl. masc., and η sing. and η pl. fem. to the forms of the Present. This η appears to be the past participle of η and ordinarily it is used in the form of η . Participles of other roots also are in H. sometimes reduced to monosyllabic forms as η for η ; these are feminine and the corresponding masc. monosyllabic forms are η and η . We have seen that these η and η are appended to the obl. case form to make up the genitive in H. and P. Similarly the participle η is used to form the Future, so that η means 'gone that he may do.' The M. Future is formed by adding η or η to the old Present, as you will see from the following forms:—

	Sing.	Pl.	Sing.	Pl_{ullet}
1st	करीन	करूं	धावेन	খাৰ্বু
2nd	कर-रिशील	कराल	धावशील	धावाल
3rd	करील	करितील	धावेल	धावतील

In the first pers. sing. and the anusvara of fi together form 7 as they do in several other cases; the pl. is the same as that of the Pres. What is the nature of this & or se? One & that is appended to the past participle we have already examined. There is another appended in the Goan, and Mal, to the present part, and the forms thus made up have past habitual and future sense. Thus Goan. करताली means 'he used to do' and करतलो Goan. and Mal. 'he will do.' In both cases the base is the present part., but in the first it occurs in the augmented form or the form with the old Skr. The pres. part. has in the O., as we have seen, acquired a past and in the S. a future sense, the germs of both of which are, as 1 have explained, contained in its original signification, while here in the Goan, it has both the senses. Now, as it was the practice, as I observed in the last two lectures, to attach a and s or so optionally to a great many words. the same word had often several formsone without a, another with it, a third with &, a fourth without it and a fifth with both wand w. These terminations did not signify much originally but afterwards, when the words such as the pres. part, began more and more to acquire distinct and diverse significations, one of the forms whether with or without & became identified with one sense and the other with another. Thus action, made up as it is by the addition of \$\overline{\pi}\$, which has left its \$\overline{\pi}\$, and of \$\overline{\pi}\$, was appropriated for the Habitual Past and arred without a to the Future. But that the new additions themselves originally were not expressive of that sense is shown by the fact that the augmented form करता in तो करता तर बरें होतें expresses past conditional in M. and H., while it is the unaugmented ata in G. in a ata al are una which conveys that sense. Thus then & was applied in M. to the Present verbal forms also and, when the old Future was lost, these came to be appropriated for the Future, just as the present participle with 3 came to have a future signification in the Goan, and Mål.

The other tenses are made up by adding the verbal forms of auxiliary roots, but their etymological sense has not yet been forgotten and they have suffered no phonetic corruption except in a few cases. Hence they can easily be recognised. But the various ways

in which they affect the sense of the principal root in the different languages are not without interest. The auxiliaries are si from Skr. y, the Skr. y, or ym, more likely the latter, which assumes the forms of ymis B., yw O., & G., & H. and P., ymis M. and S., and Skr. win from which the H. y and the S. y are derived.

You will thus see that in this portion of their grammar the vernaculars have only adapted the materials they inherited from the Prâkrits to their varying necessities according to the ways resorted to by all the languages in the course of their development. They have got nothing new and have simply given new shapes to the old; and the proposition, that they are descended from the Prâkrits, holds good even here.

LECTURE VII-

Relations between Sanskrit, Pâli, the Prâkrits and the Modern Vernaculars.

Thus, gentlemen, have we surveyed the whole field of Indian Aryan speech from the Vedic times to our own, and what is it we have found? The Vedic dialect lost a great many of its words and some grammatical forms, its nouns and roots arranged themselves under definite declensions and conjugations, and thus became what I have called Middle Sanskrit. Till then there was no phonetic corruption except in isolated instances. But after that time the process went on rapidly, and words were altered in form principally by the law of the assimilation of conjunct consonants and a few vowel changes. By the law of generalization or false analogy the declensions and conjugations were reduced to the prevailing types; some new words came into use and a few old ones became obsolete, and thus the language arrived at a stage of which the Pâli, the sacred language of the Southern Buddhists, and the inscriptions of As'oka afford as specimens. gan a general use of attributive expressions for verbal forms, which had its effect on the vernacular or derived languages also, and thus while a good many of these forms went out of use in Sanskrit, the number of tenses and moods in the derived languages was reduced to In the meanwhile the processes which formed the Pali went on, other laws of phonetic change, and principally that of the elision of uninitial consonants and the reduction of aspirated ones to g, came into operation. The use of analogy in the simplification of grammar proceeded further, a few words of a non-Sanskrit origin were adopted, and thus arose the Prakrits. There were several dialects of this class, but the distinction between them was not great. After a while in the Prakrits themselves new phonetic processes began, the chief of which were the dropping of one of the assimilated consonants and in most cases lengthening the preceding vowel, and the obviating of the hiatus caused by the vowels brought together by consonantal elision by combining them or inserting light semi-vowels between them. These were carried on further, the declensional and conjugational terminations were corrupted and mutilated, and the forms began to get confused: case relations came to be expressed by connecting independent words or pronominal forms with the genitive of the noun; and new verbal

forms were constructed from the participles by appending the personal terminations on the analogy of the existing tenses, or by using the roots indicative of existence as auxiliaries. About this time the Prâkrit speaking people came to be divided into independent communities, separated from each other by hills and rivers, or communication between them decreased; and therefore these several processes of destruction and construction were carried on at a varying rate and with a difference of detail in each; and thus grew up the modern Hindi, Mârâthì, Gujarâti, Sindhî, &c. This is the whole history. The vernaculars have descended from the Prâkrits, and the Prâkrits from Sanskrit; and since a language is transmitted from generation to generation orally, if the modern dialects are spoken languages the Prâkrits must have been so, and if the Prâkrits were spoken dialects the Sanskrit must have been so.

But these conclusions have been denied by some scholars. Professor H. H. Wilson says that the Prakrit of the plays is an artificial modification of the Sanskrit language devised to adapt it to peculiar branches of literature. And the reason he gives is that even in later plays composed but a few centuries ago the Prâkrit used is not the vernacular of the day, but that which we find in the very earliest work of the species. But he is by no means certain, and leaves the question undecided. The reason advanced by him is evidently of no weight. If I choose at this day to express my thoughts in Latin and compose a treatise in that language instead of my vernacular, does that prove that that language is artificial? Latin is sometimes still used in Europe for literary purposes, though it is not the vernacular of any country. Sanskrit playwriters of later ages, and even a stray dramatist at the present day use the old Prakrits for their inferior characters in orthodox obedience to the rules laid down by the old authorities on the histrionic art; and since the Prakrits, like Sanskrit, Latin, and other languages are preserved by means of grammars and literary works, it is possible to write in them as in these languages.

Mr. Beames at one time expressed himself decidedly on this point: "The Prâkrit of the poets is clearly not a dialect that ever was spoken." So Dr. Pischel, a German scholar: "I agree with Mr. Beames, that none of the Prâkrits was ever a spoken language, and that in order to learn what was the spoken language of the Aryans we must turn principally to the modern vernaculars." This, gentlemen, we have done; we have examined the vernaculars and found that there is not a rule about the changes of letters in passing from Sans-

krit into the Pråkrit given by Vararuchi or Hemachandra, of which instances are not found in the vernaculars. Of such as are general the examples are abundant; and in a great many cases even the words in which the change is of a special kind are preserved in the vernaculars in their Prakrit form, slightly altered according to the processes that afterwards came into operation. A good many of the vocal peculiarities of the Pali and Prakrit speakers are preserved by the speakers of the Gujarâtî, Marâthî, Hindî, Sindhî, and Bangâlî. I have already drawn your attention to the fact that the ordinary Gujaratî cannot pronounce d or si but makes or si of it, the Marathî De'sastha ए of न, and the Sindhî and the Bangâlî क्ल of हा, and the Hindî reg or to of it and r of the sibilant. The Bangali pronounces a conjunct ending in q as a double consonant and preserves the old Magadhi peculiarity of reducing all the sibilants to n, while the Hindî still manifests the Pais'âchî peculiarity of making a of yr. The case terminations in the forms they assumed in the Apabhrams'a have been perserved, some in this dialect, some in that. All the three Prakrit tenses do exist and none other; past time is expressed in just the same way as in the Prakrits; the participles, the causals, the passives, the denominatives, the nominal and verbal bases, and the remnants of the Sanskrit conjugations are just as they were in the Prakrits; and the new verbs and cases are formed out of Prakrit materials only. Then again a good many of the Des'ya or non-Sanskrit words that existed in the Prakrits are found in the vernaculars.

Now if the Pråkrits were not spoken dialects, what these scholars probably mean is that they were artificial adaptations, as Professor Wilson calls them. But we have seen that they grew up by such natural laws as guide the development of any language whatever. The development of the Pråkrit dialects from Sanskrit is paralleled by the development of the Romance languages from Latin. If the Pråkrit dialects are to be considered artificial, it is difficult to conceive upon what principles they could have been constructed and for what purpose. A conscious manufacture of a language would be conducted upon some general principles and would not admit of such isolated forms, not obeying any general rule, as we have noticed. The analogies would be perfect; but on the contrary we have seen that in the Påli and the Pråkrits a good many forms are made up according to a certain rule brought into use by analogy, as for instance making the passive by adding an or pay, while

there are others which are not thus constructed but have descended by simple phonetic corruption of Sanskrit forms, as दीसइ, उटआइ and others. In all parts of grammar there are such forms, and these have descended to the modern Vernaculars, as we have seen.

Again, if these had been artificial languages they would not have been called after the names of provinces as we have seen they were. I have given reasons for believing that though the difference between the S'aurasenî and the Maharashtrî was not great, some peculiarities of the former have been preserved by the modern Sindhî Panjabi, Braja and Hindi, while the Marathi represents the old Mahârâshtrî, and some of the peculiarities of the Magadhî Prâkrit are distinctly observable, as we have seen, in some of the inscriptions of As'oka, in which it would be absurd to suppose an artificial language was used. And how, if they were not popular dialects, could the idea of using them for women and the inferior characters in dramatic plays have in the first place arisen? That a poet should make certain persons in his work speak their peculiar dialect, especially when that is an inferior dialect and likely to create mirth, is natural, and this device is resorted to by writers in all countries. But it was probably more from considerations of propriety than liveliness that these languages began to be used by Sanskrit dramatists. For they are no less particular about such proprieties, and of even the so-called unities, than other nations. For one of the rules of the Art is that one act should not contain the events of more than a day. Similarly the minute directions about the use of certain Prakrit dialects in the case of certain persons are explicable only on the supposition that the original idea was to represent in the drama a state of things actually existing in the world. For these varied reasons it admits of no question whatever that the Prakrits of the poets and of the grammarians were really at one time spoken languages. But it is of course not meant that they were spoken just as we find them written, or that they were necessarily spoken at the time when the poets that use them The Prakrits became literary and dead dialects as flourished. Sanskrit itself had before them, and, as already remarked, they may be used for literary purposes even now, if one wishes to do so. The distinction between the written Prakrits and the corresponding spoken Pråkrits cannot be greater than the usual distinction between the language of books and that of ordinary life; and probably the Prakrits of some of our early plays represent the vernaculars of the time faithfully. I must not omit to mention that Mr. Beames has considerably modified his opinion since he wrote the article from which the above extract is taken, for in the beginning of the Introduction to his Comparative Grammar he speaks of the Prâkrits as spoken languages, and attempts to account for the use of so many in the dramatic plays instead of setting it down to a mere fancy.

We will next proceed to consider the views that have been put forth, opposed to the conclusions we have arrived at, as regards the relation between Sanskrit and the Prákrits, and endeavour to determine that relation more definitely than we have done. Professor Weber believes that in the Vedic times there were several dialects. and that by a fusion of these a common language called the Sanskrit was formed, while at the same time the dialects ran on their course and formed the Prakrits. He says, "I incline to the opinion of those, who deny that the Sanskrit Bhasha, properly so called, was ever the common spoken language of the whole Aryan people, and assign it to the learned alone. Just as our modern High German, arising out of the ancient dialects of the Germans, reduced what was common to all to universal rules and laws, and by the power of analogy obliterated all recollection of varieties; and just as, on the other hand, these dialects while they gradually degenerated often preserved at the same time fuller and more ancient forms; so also the Vedic dialects became partly combined in one stream, in which their individual existence was lost, and so formed the regular Sanskrit Bhâshâ, and partly flowed on individually on their own original (Prakrita) irregular force, and continued to be the idioms of different provinces in the corruption of which they participated. The Sanskrit language and the Prakrit dialects had, therefore, a common and simultaneous origin: the latter did not spring out of the former." Professor Weber gives a few instances of Prakritised Sanskrit words from the Vedic dialect, as he calls it, to show that the developments of the Pråkrits began then, but nearly all of these are from such works as the Upanishads, which must be assigned to a very late period when the language was in that confusion which we find in the Buddhistic Now if the development of Sanskrit and the Pråkrits was Gâthâs. contemporaneous, how comes it that almost all the words and grammatical forms in these latter dialects are manifest corruptions of Sanskrit words and forms? Professor Weber's theory does not account for this fact, but this defect is supplied by Mr. Beames, who holds nearly the same view as the Professor. He says, "The idea of a common language is the creation of modern times, and the effect of the spread of literature." "The most probable hypothesis is, that the Aryans from the earliest times spoke many dialects, all closely akin, all having the same family likeness and tendencies common to all, perhaps in every case mutually intelligible, but still distinct and co-existent." In a note we are told that this is probable but cannot be proved. The dialect of the Vedic hymns was, he says, only one of these. Then "after a time the Brahmans consciously and intentionally set themselves to the task of constructing a sacred language, by preserving and reducing to rule the grammatical elements of this Vedic tongue." "They seized on the salient features of Aryan speech as contained in all dialects, and moulded them into one harmonious whole." They (the popular dialects) were anterior to Sanskrit, contemporary with it, and they finally survived it. Nevertheless, Sanskrit is older than the dialects. This sounds like a paradox, but it is true in two senses; first, that "as ages rolled on, the vulgar dialects went on developing into new forms, while Sanskrit remained fixed and fossilized for ever." The second is, that though Pânini, who is credited with this feat of constructing the Sanskrit language, lived when the early dialects were much changed, still among the Brâhmans there was a traditional memory of the ancient and then obsolete forms of many words.......In teaching his pupils the true principles of speech, Panini would naturally use these archaic words in preference to the corruptions current around him, and thus the language which he, to a certain extent, created, was in great part a resuscitation of antiquated terms."

To be able to estimate the views of these writers at their true worth, it is necessary that we should try to fix the meaning of the word dialect, which is the source of some confusion, and endeavour to form some idea of the origin and growth of dialects generally. If little differences are to be regarded as sufficient to constitute a dialect there are as many dialects as human beings. For just as no two human faces are exactly alike, no two men speak exactly alike. Every one has his peculiarities of pronunciation, and is fond of particular words or turns of expression. The principle of individuality is strong in human nature, but its effects are counteracted by the principle of community, which guides the development of human life; and the necessity of communication compels a man to drop peculiarities in speech and to conform himself to the prevailing model. Thus then, the individual differences come to be minute and insignificant, and hence the language of a com-

munity becomes practically one language. But, constituted as communities generally, but not necessarily, are, they are composed of classes: and there is not as much communication between separate classes as within the limits of a class, and hence there come to be class languages, or varieties of speech in the same community. There may be many such classes; but the smaller ones may be neglected, and we may distinguish between two large ones, generally called the lower and the higher, or the uneducated and educated classes. The languages of these differ, that of the lower class being more subject to those laws of development, growth, or corruption which I traced in the first lecture. Thus an uneducated Englishman uses many such forms as "I knowed," "you says," formed upon the prevailing analogies; and his pronunciation of a good many words is corrupt, as "genlman" for "gentleman," "wot" for "what," "guvner" for "governor," &c. And in the same way the language of the Marathi, Gujarati or Hindi lower classes is not the same as that of the higher. But still the word dialect is not used in speaking of these two varieties, one principal reason being that the language of the lower classes is not acknowledged to have an independent existence. Again, when a community comes to have a literature, the style that is used, as a rule, differs from the ordinary conversational style. There are certain words, turns of expression, modes of construction, and even solitary forms which, though used in conversation, do not appear in books. Even these two varieties are not called dialects. The dialects of a language therefore or kindred dialects, as they may be called, are the languages of kindred communities, which, while they possess a large body of common words and forms, have also many others which are different, though in most cases the roots from which these are derived are common to all. And according to the proportion in which the similar and dissimilar elements are mixed, the dialects are more or less distant from each other. The causes that divide men into distinct communities are the causes that create dsitinct dialects. The laws of change and development are always in operation in a language, in a changing condition of society, and the processes of destruction or disappearance of old words and forms and the construction or appearance of new ones, are constantly going on. Hence, when after the separation of men into distinct communities, communication between them is lessened, these processes go on in a varied and dissimilar manner. conditions of life in their new habitations may also be different, and

when they are so they bring about a varied linguistic development. But though the processes of destruction and construction are always going on in a language, they suppose that the society, whose language it is, is moving and not stationary. The life of a community may, however, be even and unvaried, in which case there will be little change in its language. Or certain races may be very conservative, and cling to the past with tenacity. Thus the Lithuanian peasants of the present day have through a number of ages, though they possess nothing like a literature, preserved a good many old Aryan words and forms in a purer condition than all their European neighbours. So that even after men are divided into distinct communities it is by no means necessary that distinct dialects should grow up. They may or they may not. But the possibility of slight differences, such as those which exist in the speech of the different classes of a community, even when the dialect is the same, is not denied. Now, if for the various reasons above indicated dialects have come into existence, these continue until by the development of civilisation and by political events kindred communities come to form a nation; and then by increased communication and the growth of a common literature these dialects are fused together, and one harmonious language is formed; though, however, in remote quarters they may continue to have an independent existence. The writers whom I have quoted pay exclusive attention to this fact, of which there are several instances in modern European history, the most notable of which is the development of the modern German; and lay it down as a universal proposition which requires no proof, that in an early condition of society there must be a plurality of dialects, and there could be no such thing as a common language. This seems to be the opinion of Professor Max Müller also. But if there was not one common original dialect, whence are the common portion of the words and forms of kindred dialects, and the common roots derived? And does not comparative philology itself, in its comparisons and classifications, go upon the assumption that there was such a language? Thus then, since the creation of dialects depends on causes and the causes may not exist, it will not do to assume that there were several dialects in Vedic times without positive proof or even positive indications. Several independent Aryan communities or tribes may not have migrated into India, but only one. Or even if more than one tribe came over they may have been, like the Lithuanian peasants, very conservative as regards their language. The

circumstances we know about the language of the period do not necessitate the supposition of a plurality of dialects. Besides, if according to Professor Weber these originally existing dialects went on in their own isolated course as the idioms of different provinces, and became the Pali and the Prakrits, we should expect to find much greater divergences between them, produced in the course of the many centuries that elapsed between the Vedic period and that in which they were as we now find them. But the distinction between the dialects of the inscriptions of As'oka and even between the different Prakrits is by no means great, and concerns chiefly the pronunciation of individual letters. The plea of linguistic conservatism which I have before used in a certain case, cannot be brought in here, since those early dialects resembling the language of the Vedas, as they must have done if they existed, were already very greatly corrupted in passing into the Pali or the Prakrits. Surely such very extensive changes as from the Vedic to the Prakrit form. if carried on in different provinces by different communities, must occasion very great divergences, greater even than we find between the modern vernaculars. For the degree of departure from the old Prakrits which these last exhibit is not so great as that which the Prâkrits do from the Vedic dialect. The divergence ought to be at least as great, but it certainly is not so.

Now the way in which the supposed contemporaneous development of Sanskrit and the Prakrits is accounted for by Mr. Beames is, as we have seen, this: - That the Prakrits arose by a natural development, while Sanskrit was created by the Brahmans, and principally by Panini, out of the Vedic dialect, the salient points of Prakrit speech, and by a resuscitation of antiquated terms. Hence it contains the old words and forms from which those in the Prakrits are derived. And this also accounts for the existence of what Mr. Beames calls an Aryan but non-Sanskritic element in the Prakrits, by which he probably means those few forms that are not found in classical Sanskrit, but must be referred to the Vedic dialect, or others that must be Aryan, though not found either in classical or Vedic Sanskrit. Of the latter there are almost no instances which may be regarded as beyond the possibility of doubt. But such a theory is calculated to bring relief to a puzzled philologist who, not knowing to what Sanskrit word to trace any Pråkrit or Vernacular word, will at once get out of the difficulty by putting it down as an Aryan word not found in Sanskrit.

how is it possible to create such a language as the Sanskrit out of the elements indicated by Mr. Beames? A literary style, as distinguished from a conversational style, is what one can understand, but a language which never had anything to correspond to it in ordinary vernacular speech, but is simply created, is inconceivable. And if the creation of a sacred language was the conscious and deliberate aim of the Brahmans, and Pânini resuscitated old or obsolete words, why did they or he not include in the new language all the innumerable old obsolete Vedic words and grammatical forms. which would have, from the very reverence that was paid to the Vedas, rendered their invention more sacred; and why should they, instead of doing so, have distinguished between a Chhândasa or Vedic, and Bhasha or popular, speech? And, if the inventors seized all the salient features of the popular dialects, would they have left a few but striking Vedic peculiarities in the popular speech, such as the absolutive in tags or as, unrepresented in their new language? And how could they from the popular dialects, in which the conjugations and declensions were reduced to fewer types, construct the innumerable forms of the Sanskrit noun or verb, the Perfects, the Aorists and the lost tenses or moods? And what must have been the basis of the incalculable Taddhita or nominal, and Krit or verbal derivatives, which have left but few traces in the Prâkrits? Even the Vedic dialect is not calculated to afford much help. For if the grammar of the later Sanskrit had been framed by the conscious inventors upon the model of the Vedic, the declensions, conjugations, and the derivatives would have been like the Vedic; whereas, as a matter of fact, the differences are great, and some of these I pointed out in the first lecture. There remains the third alternative, that there was a traditional memory of these forms. But why should they have been committed to memory when there was no motive? The sacred formulas which Mr. Beames says were orally transmitted do not, if they were the same as those that have come down to us. contain a large number of such forms; and, if they were different. they are simply imaginary. And, though the Vedas were and are committed to memory, the literally incalculable number of case forms, verbal forms, Taddhitas, and Kridants, in the absence of any general rules which were laid down afterwards, as the theory supposes, by the grammarian creators of the languages, it is thoroughly impossible to commit to memory,-impossible, as the great author of the Mahabhashya says, even for Indra, with Brihaspati for his teacher and a thousand celestial years during which to learn them. Of course it is a different thing when they are current in a language which one learns from his childhood, though even in this case very few know all the words and forms which are current in their own country, and nearly all only such as they are immediately concerned with. And what is the evidence for the truth of this theory? Absolutely none is given. It is simply the vague feeling of an individual or individuals, and not a conclusion arrived at after a deliberate weighing of evidence; while it sets at naught the clearest evidence available in the works of the grammarians themselves, which I shall presently adduce. The theory, therefore, is utterly untenable, and the contemporaneous development of Sanskrit and the Prakrits derived from it, to account for which it was invented; is an impossibility. Professor Aufrecht, though he does not believe in a plurality of Vedic dialects, derives the Prâkrits from the Vedic language, and assigns Sanskrit to schools of the learned, but does not explain its Those who believe the Vernacular dialects to be derived directly from the Vedic must explain the origin of classical Sanskrit in some such way as Mr. Beames has done; there is no other way.

Dismissing feelings which we have been considering so long, we will for a time examine the reason or reasons that are given for doubting the derivation of the Pâli and the Prâkrits from Sanskrit. Thus Professor Childers, in the preface to his Pâli Dictionary, states the relations between Sanskrit and Pâli in the following lucid manner:—

" If we compare Pâli with classical Sanskrit, we find that about two-fifths of the vocabulary consists of words identical in form with their Sanskrit equivalents, as Naga, Buddha, Nidana. all the remaining words present a more or less late or corrupted form...........Words of the above two classes nearly exhaust the Pali vocabulary; but there remains a small though important residuum of forms distinctly older than Sanskrit, and found only in the oldest known Sanskrit, that of the Vedas. Nay, I do not feel sure that Pali does not retain a few precious relics older than the most ancient Sanskrit, and only to be explained through the allied Indo-Germanic languages. It results from all this that Pâli cannot be derived from Sanskrit; both, though most intimately connected, being independent corruptions of the lost Aryan speech which is their common parent; but that Pali is on the whole in a decidedly later stage than Sanskrit, and, to adopt a metaphor popularised by Max Müller, stands to it in the relation of a younger sister." Then

in a footnote he gives these Vedic forms in the Pâli: the infinitive in tave, as kútave "for doing," the absolutive or gerund in tvûna, as katvâna "having done." These two terminations, however, occur only occasionally, the usual ones are the Sanskrit tum and tva. The next two, imassa, genitive singular of ayam, and gonam, genitive plural of go "a cow," I consider as made up by false analogy, as I have already indicated; tinnam, genitive plural of tri, is similar. Then vidit from vid to know and meaning "one who knows;" divo, which is masculine in the Veda and in the Pali, while it is feminine in Sanskrit; the Imperative first person plural in amase as in yamamase, "I shall or may restrain "kasâmase, "I shall or may plough;" the Imperfect akû of karoti from the Vedic akat. Pâli has the Vedic l. but this is a sound natural under certain circumstances, since we have it in Marathi and Gujarati, and kuham corresponding to the Vedic kuha. The Vedic Instrumental plural termination of nouns in m is retained in the Pâli and also corrupted to ehi. Professor Childers, however, thinks it is corrupted from the Sanskrit ablative bligas. I agree with those who derive it from the Vedic affix. these may be added the Prakrit ave "he does," in which there is a remnant of the fifth conjugation, while the root belongs to the eighth class; and also the affix त्रण of abstract nouns, while it is ह्व in ordinary Sanskrit. Prâkrit possesses an or an corresponding to the Pali त्वान or तन and the instrumental affix एहि.

Then in another note Professor Childers gives the Pâli garu "heavy" or "great," while Sanskrit has ye, though the ne appears in the Sanskrit comparative and superlative forms neight and new in the Sanskrit comparative and superlative forms neight and new in the Greek word Baras and Latin gravis. The Potential seem "let him be," is also said not to have anything to correspond to it in Sanskrit; but it may be traced to the Vedic subjunctive or ex, seem, or regarded as a corruption of second for Sanskrit every the sa though dropped in Sanskrit being brought over by analogy from the singular of the other tenses. He also gives ex, while Sanskrit has ex, which is doubtful, and also second "everywhere" the sa in which corresponds to f in some Greek words. The Pâli sa the locative singular of the root, the instrumental singular of which is preserved in the Sanskrit si in easi, seem &c. Of these the Prâk; thave ne in such words as new, need &c., and ex.

Here the question is reduced to a point. Two-fifths of the words in the Pâli are pure Sanskrit, the rest are corrupt Sanskrit, while there are about six or seven forms which are lost in classical

Sanskrit but exist in Vedic Sanskrit; and there are one or two which exist in neither. The dialect then from which the Pâli was corrupted or immediately sprang, granting for a moment that it was different from the Sanskrit, had two-fifths of its words exactly like those in Sanskrit, and the other three fifths from which the Pâli corruptions were derived were also exactly like Sanskrit, but it contained these eight or nine forms which Sanskrit has lost. In other words, Pali itself, if we take the original forms of the corrupt threefifths of its words into consideration, is exactly like Sanskrit but for these nine forms. Is the dialect for this difference to be considered an independent dialect? Is the distinction enough to entitle the Pâli or its supposed immediate parent to be considered a dialect, that is, a language, elaborated, according to the definition given before, from the ancient speech by a community distinct from that which elaborated the Sanskrit? If one thinks it is, he may do so, and regard the Pâli as a distinct dialect; but he must for the same reason consider Surat Gujarâtî and Ahmedabad Gujarâtî; Komkanî Marâthî and Dekkanî Marâthî; or the Marâthî, Gujarâtî, English and any other language as spoken by the uneducated classes of the population, and as spoken by the educated, to be distinct dialects of the same ancient sneech. In the same community, as I have observed, varieties of speech may or do prevail and must prevail, but the distinction between them is not enough to constitute them distinct dialects; wherefore Sanskrit and the immediate parent of the Pâli or the uncorrupt Pâli must be considered as one language, even though the latter contained a few more ancient forms; and if both were one, the Pali must be regarded as a daughter of Sanskrit and not its sister. Some of its few peculiarities it may have derived from the spoken variety of Sanskrit, and the rest from that in use among the inferior classes. It often happens that the lower classes sometimes retain an old word or form after it has been given up by those above them, whence it is likely that the Pâli was corrupted from the language of those classes. And as a matter of fact it was for a long time the speech of the uneducated, as will be presently shown. These observations apply to the later Prakrits also; wherefore, if these derived languages were spoken, that from which they were derived, i. e., Sanskrit, must have been a spoken language also.

And, independently of this consideration, there is positive evidence that Sanskrit was a spoken language. Yaska in the Nirukta frequently refers to the Vedic dialect and to another called Bhasha,

the peculiarities of which mentioned by him are observable in classical Sanskrit. Panini in his Grammar gives a good many rules which are exclusively applicable to the dialect of the Vedas, to which he refers by using the words Chhandas, Nigama, Mantra, and Brahmana, and others which are applicable to the Bhasha alone, but by far the largest number of his sûtras have reference to both. Bhasha, or the ordinary Sanskrit, is thus distinguished from the dialect of the Vedas, it must be the language in use at the time when these writers lived. "Bhasha," as used by them, is a proper name, but in later Sanskrit it acquired a generic signification and meant language generally. The root from which the word is derived. signifies "to speak," wherefore the original sense of the word as a proper noun must have been the "speech" or "the spoken language." And, because this was its signification, it afterwards came to denote "explanation." When we ask for an explanation of something that is obscure and unintelligible, what we mean is that the sense should be expressed to us in the ordinary language of men, a language that we can understand. Thus such a sentence as स्थितप्रज्ञस्य का भाषा. means "what is the Vernacular of स्थितप्रज्ञ," an expression similar to "what is the English of it?"

Pâṇini refers certain points expressly to popular usage. He says that the names of countries are conventional, and no grammatical analysis should be given of them, because it is fictitious; these should be used as we find them used. Similarly he says grammarians should not make rules to teach such things as these:—That the two words of a compound express the thing denoted by the principal word as qualified by the sense of the subordinate word; as for instance, tingen, a compound of tingen, and not "man" alone but as connected with a king, i. e. a king's man or officer; and that the base and the termination express the sense of the termination as qualified by that of the base; as alone signifies not are but a child, and not a child alone but a child as connected with are i. e. Upagu's child. For the significations of words are to be learnt from usage.

In the introduction to the Mahabhashya Patañjali tells us that some persons in his or Katyayana's time considered the study of grammar to be unnecessary. For said they, "Vedic words or forms we know from the Veda, and those current in popular usage from that usage; grammar is useless." Now the grammar which is thus de-

clared useless is the grammar both of the Vedic and classical Sanskrit; and the depreciators of the science profess to derive a knowledge of the first dialect from the Vedic books, and of the second not from other books but from popular usage. Hence Sanskrit must have been in the times of those two grammarians a spoken language.

Similarly in the passage from the same work which I placed before you in the first lecture, you will remember that the objector (or purvapakshin) argues that, since usage is the authority upon which the grammarians go, certain verbal forms which are no longer used by people ought not to be taught by the grammarians, and says that instead of those verbal forms participles are employed. The principal teacher (Siddhantin) does not deny the facts, but refers the objector to the vast literature of the language, where he may find them used, though obsolete at the time. It is evident from the whole passage, that Sanskrit was then a spoken language though some of its verbal forms had fallen into desuetude. I have also shown that the language was considerably changed between the times of Pâṇini and Kâtyâyana, and called the Sanskrit that prevailed when Panini and Yaska flourished Middle Sanskrit, and that which was current in the time of Kâtyâyana Classical Sanskrit. Now these changes from the one form to the other could not have taken place if the language had been dead or petrified into a merely literary language.

I am at a loss to see why some scholars should find it so difficult to believe that Sanskrit was a vernacular. If its declensions and conjugations are considered too complicated for the language of everyday life, it must not be forgotten that such a fact did not prevent the ancient languages of Europe from becoming spoken languages. And this objection would do equally well against the Vedic dialect, which, or others like which, are regarded as the vernaculars of their times, and which are richer in inflexions than the later Sanskrit. Then it is held that the artificial regularity of Sanskrit makes it improbable that it should have been a vernacular. Where is this artificial regularity? On the contrary, it is the absence of regularity that renders its grammar so difficult and complicated. There is a freedom in the choice of words, expressions, and forms. In every department of its grammar there are innumerable optional forms; nouns and verbal roots are often declined and conjugated in several ways. One same root in a good many cases forms its special tenses in more ways than one, and in the nominal derivatives, the

verbal derivatives, the formation of the feminine, and the uses of cases and tenses there is a freedom which some may consider a license. The only difference is, that Sanskrit has had the most perfect grammarians in the world, who observed all the facts of their language and laid them down as unchangeable facts, and it is this which gives that language a stiffened appearance. Then the Samdhis or euphonic combinations of letters, which are necessary in Sanskrit, are regarded as inconsistent with the character of a spoken language. It is, however, not denied that such combinations are observable in all languages, and paticularly so in Latin and Greek; but it is urged that in Sanskrit there is a regularity or universality about them which is not found anywhere else. It should not, however, be forgotten that Samdhi in the same word and the same compound, and of a preposition with a root is alone necessary. Between different words it is optional, which means that it was on occasions neglected. Now Sandhi in the same word is necessary by a law of nature. The Sanskrit does not allow a hiatus; and this is a characteristic of most of the modern idioms also. Some languages, such as our Pråkrits and the old languages of Europe, tolerate it. But the euphonic combination of consonants in the same word is necessary even in Latin; as in rex = reg-s, scriptus = scrib-tus, cinctum=cing-tum, lectum = leg-tum, tractum from traho, though the h like the Sanskrit z stands for an original gh; d and t combine to form an s, as defendo, defensum; sedeo, sessum; claudo, clausum; &c. Prepositions are really parts of words, and hence by the same law they also must form one harmonious sound with the initial letter of the word to which they are attached; and modern vernaculars have got corruptions of the combined words, which shows that they must have been used in those forms in the colloquial Sanskrit. Sk. प्रयेस्त, Pr. पहुडू, M. पालट; Sk. प्रत्यभिज्ञाना, Pr. पचिहुआण, H. पहिचान; Sk. अत्यर्थ, Pr. अच्रह, M. अचाट, &c. Now, as to compounds, this peculiarity of the Sanskrit has been carried to an extravagant extent by later writers, but Pânini allows only certain formations of this nature. These grew up as independent words in the language, and hence in the matter of Samdhi were treated like other words. In the spoken language the euphonic combinations we have been considering were not consciously made, but the words themselves acquired those forms by habitual use in the same manner as in other tongues. The grammarians, however, discovered and laid down these rules; and the practice of using them in books even in combining different words gained

ground, though, however, many instances in which there is no such Samdhi are found in the Itihâsas and the Purânas. But if in colloquial speech such a combination was not possible, the grammarians do not enjoin that it should be made; and very probably it was not made.

And traces of many expressions, which only a colloquial use of language can generate, have been preserved, not so much in the literature, as by the grammarians. Such is one expressive of an intensive or excessive action, composed of the Imperative second person form of a root repeated, followed by a verbal form of the same in any tense of the Indicative and in any person or number; as खाद खादेति खादति, lit. "eat, eat, he eats," i. e. eats much, कर क्रविति adia "do, do, he does," i. e. does much. This expression exists in Marathi and is considered so colloquial that no Marathi grammarian has noticed it, as en en en end, at at atth, in which, as in Sanskrit. स्ता and कर are forms of the Imperative second person singular. A similar expression is used when several actions are attributed to the same agent; as ओदनं भुङ्कः सक्त् पिब धानाः खादेत्येवायमभ्यवहरति "eat rice, drink barley water, devour fried grain, in this way he fills his stomach"; which in Marathi is भात जेव पेज पी लाह्या खा असे पोट भरतो. In this case the Indicative should signify a general action of which the Imperatives denote the species, and we may have here करोति "does" instead of अभ्यवहरति. Similarly those innumerable expressions consisting of a form made up by adding sig, technically vigo, to a root, preceded by a noun, and of a verbal form of the same root must be colloquial; as इस्तगाई गृहाति "holds by the hand," जीवनाशं नक्यति "he perishes," उदरपूरं मुक्के "eats a stomachful," यथाकारमहं भोक्य तथाकार भोक्से कि तवानेन "I will eat as I eat (as I like), what have you to do with it?" &c. Etymologically इस्तपाहम, जीवनाश्चम् &c. are accusatives, and they may in these cases be called cognate accusatives, and the expressions somewhat resemble such ones in English, as "run a race," "walk a walk," "die a death," &c. The compounds zuzielez. hands &c. meaning "a scuffle in which there is a brandishing of sticks and seizing each other by the hair;" and a great many others made up of Imperative forms of verbs, or of a verb and its object. which are used as nouns, are of a nature suited for the purposes of a light conversation; as अत्र खादतमोदता वर्तते "'eat and enjoy' is the rule here," अत्राभीतिप्बता वर्तते "'eat and drink' is the rule here." उद्धरोत्मृजा तस्य दानशोण्डीरस्य गृहे " 'take out and give' is what takes place in the house of that bountiful man," जिहस्तम्बोयम "he is one who constantly says, 'strike the sheafs of corn' '', एहिस्वागता वर्तते "'come, welcome to thee' is the practice", &c.

Sanskrit was not the only language spoken in the times of Kâtyâyana and Patañjali. In the Mahâbhâshya there are several passages which contain allusions to a dialect arising from a corruption of Sanskrit. Thus, in the comment on the Vartika Siddhe S'abdarthasambandhe, we are told, with reference to the question, whether words are created or exist of themselves, that Panini's rules suppose that they are not created but exist of themselves; and so is the relation between them and the things they denote, i. c. their power of expression, uncreated and self-existent; and, according to another interpretation of the Vartika, the things also which words denote are so. it known that all these are self-existent? Because in the affairs of the world or in ordinary life men think of certain things and use words to express them; they do not set about producing words before doing so. But this is not the case with those things that are created and not self-existent. If a man wishes to do something with an earthen pot, he goes to the house of a potter and says, "Make a pot for me. I have to do something by its means." Now, if he has to use words, he does not in the same way go to the house of a grammarian and say, "Make words, I want to use them"; but immediately that he thinks of this thing and that he uses words for expressing them]. Well then, if words are to be taken from ordinary life [and are not made by grammarians], what is it that the Sâstra [grammar] does? "The Sâstra lays down a restriction by observing which a man may attain religious merit. It does what other Sastras in ordinary life do. Thus, while it is possible to satisfy hunger by eating anything whatever, it is enjoined that one shall not eat a domesticated fowl or pig; and the object is, that he may by regulating his conduct thus attain religious merit. In the same way this Sastra (grammar) tells us that, while it is possible to express one's meaning by using correct words or incorrect words, correct ones alone which it teaches should be used to secure the religious merit arising therefrom." After this follows the discussion translated in the first lecture; and then we have another of which the following is a portion:-

 $\mathbf{P}\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{RV}$. Does religious merit arise from a knowledge of correct words or from their use?

SID. What is the difference ?

Pûrv. If you say religious merit arises from their knowledge, religious demerit also must arise. For he, who knows what words are correct, also knows what words are incorrect. If merit results from the knowledge of those that are correct, demerit must result from the knowledge of those that are incorrect. Or greater demerit must arise [from their knowledge], as the number of incorrect words is larger, and that of correct words smaller. For the corruption of one correct word are manifold; as, for instance, the corruptions of the correct word are manifold; as, for instance, the corruptions of the correct word are manifold; as, for instance, the corruptions of the correct word are manifold; and, nina, ninaem &c. And the Rishi also indicates (in a passage which is quoted) that the restriction as to correct words concerns their use [and not knowledge].

Sid. Well, then, let it be that religious merit arises from their use [and not from knowledge].

Pûrv. If from their use the whole world would obtain heavenly felicity.

Sip. And now why should you be jealous if the whole world obtain heavenly felicity?

Pûrv. No, certainly. I am not jealous. But what I mean is, that it thus becomes useless to make any effort; such effort only as is fruitful should be made. If you get the fruit without any effort, the effort is useless. [The effort meant is that involved in the study of grammar, i. e. of correct words. People use some correct words at least without studying grammar, and, if eternal felicity results from the use of such words, they get it without making the effort of studying the subject.]

Sid. Why, verily those who make the effort will largely use correct words, and will obtain a large amount of heavenly felicity.

Pûrv. That the fruit does not follow the effort is also an observed fact. For there are persons who though they have made the effort are seen to be incompetent [in the use of correct words], while others, who have not, are competent; wherefore it is possible, the fruit, i. e. heavenly felicity, may not follow.

Sid. Well then, religious merit arises not from knowledge alone, neither from use alone.

Pûrv. From what then?

Sid. Heavenly felicity arises from the use of correct words when it is accompanied by the knowledge, that they are correct, derived from a study of the Sastra.

And thus it goes on.

Now it is clear from all this that correct words, i. e. Sanskrit, was spoken in those days by all, but that incorrect words had got mixed up with it, and the object of grammar was to teach how to avoid incorrect words or corruptions, though there were men who could speak correctly without knowing grammar. And this is the state in which more or less all languages are at all times; and even at the present day the purpose of grammar is considered to be to teach how to speak correctly. By the way, it will be seen how Sanskrit grammarians distinctly declare that they teach nothing that does not exist, they do not create words, but such as are incorrect. But separate the correct ones from what did Patanjali consider to be the standard of correct Sanskrit, who was it that spoke the language correctly, and in whose speech were corruptions observable? This is clear from another passage at the beginning of the third pada of the first chapter of the Mahabhashya. Patanjali interprets the sutra Haled श्रातव: (I, iii, 1.) in a manner to yield such a connotative definition of a dhâtu or root as this, that a dhâtu is that which denotes action or being. Then a question is raised, if this is the way to distinguish a root why should a list of roots be given; in other words, if we have got a connotative definition, a definition by enumeration is not wanted. In this case there is a difference of opinion between Kâtyâyana and Patañjali.

Pûrv. If you have given a connotative definition now, enumeration should not be made, i. c. a list of roots should not be given.

Sip. It should be made.

Pûry. What for?

Side. The enumeration of [the verbal roots] bhû and others should be made for the purpose of excluding nouns (prâtipadika) and the verbs that begin with **MUNICAL**. [i.e. If the roots are not actually enumerated, nouns also which express action or being may come under the connotative definition. In the same way it will extend to roots used in ordinary life, which are not Sanskrit, such as **MUNICAL** and others.]

Pûrv. What verbs are those which begin with आणप्यति?

Sid. Minutal, asia and asia. And enumeration should also be made in order that the anubandhas or indicatory letters and accents of roots may be made known; i. e. that one may know what the

accents and indicatory letters of roots are. It is not possible to know the accents or indicatory letters unless the roots are enumerated. [Anubandhas are certain letters attached to roots to denote some conjugational or other peculiarity belonging to them.]

Pûrv. Now those roots whose accents are capable of being inferred, i. c., are उदात, and which have no anubandhas, but still are inserted in the list, may be omitted from it. [i. c. When those roots which have the अद्भात accent are enumerated, it may be inferred that the rest have the other or उदात accent.]

Sip. Even those should be enumerated in order that आणपयति and others [i. e., corrupt Sanskrit roots] may be excluded.

Hereupon Patañjali disagrees with Kâtyâyana and says:-

Par. No, आजपयित and others will be excluded, because the usage of the educated or Sishtas is to be followed. This usage of the Sishtas must be necessarily referred to in other cases even; for instance, in order to exclude the altered forms of those roots that are enumerated. For in ordinary life they use कसि for कृषि and दिसि for दृशि. [The sense is, that since in grammar we follow the usage of the Sishtas or educated, these verbs आजपयित, बहित and बद्दति, and also कसि for कृषि and दिसि for दृशि which are not used by them will necessarily be excluded from our connotative definition; hence for the purpose of excluding them enumeration is not wanted.]

Now आजपयति is a corruption of the Sanskrit आजपयति, वहति of बत्तेते, and बहुति of ब्रिंते, ते Atm. being replaced by ति Parasm., and कम् of कृष्, and दिम् of दृज्. These and such other corruptions were in use at the time, but Patañjali clearly lays down that they were not used by the Sishtas or educated people, and therefore they belonged to the language of the vulgar. Now all these roots are found in the Pâli in these very forms, आजपयति being, however, आजापयति; but the reading in the MSS. and the Benares lithographed edition which I have consulted is faulty. So also of the corruptions of the word नो given by our author, we find नानो in Professor Childers's Pâli Dictionary, and नोज the masculine of नोजी. Thus our grammarians recognise one language only, the Sanskrit, and these words and forms which are found in the Pâli they assign to the speech of the vulgar.

In another passage still we are told more definitely who the Sishtas were that spoke the Sanskrit language correctly without studying Paṇini's grammar, and whose usage was the standard of

correctness. Paṇini's Sûtra VI. iii, 109, lays down that such words as **yṇạ** should be regarded as correct in the form in which they are *upadishta*, *i. c.*, used or uttered; the changes in them such as the elision, or augmentation, of letters or the alteration of their forms do not obey any general rules laid down by him, but still the words exhibiting those changes should be taken as correct, just as they are used. Now the Pûrvapakshin raises the question.

Pûrv. What is meant by upulishta?

SID. Uttered (used).

Pûrv. How does it come to mean that?

Sid. The root 'dis' signifies 'uttering.' One utters (uses), letters, and says that they are thus upadishta.

Pûrv. By whom upadishta (uttered or used)?

SID. By the Sishtas.

Pûrv. Who are the Sishtas?

Sip. The grammarians.

Pûrv. How so?

Sin. The character of a Sishta is conferred upon one by the knowledge of the science (Sastra); and the grammarians know the science [of grammar].

Pârv. If a man is made Śishta by the science, and the science depends upon a man's being Śishta, this is reasoning in a circle. An argument in a circle does not hold. [The circle is, one is Śishta, and consequently an authority in matters of language if he has studied grammar; and grammar itself depends on the usage of the Śishtas.]

Sid. Well, then, the character of a Sishta is conferred upon one by the country in which he lives and by his conduct. That sort of conduct must be associated with residence in Aryavarta alone (lit. that sort of conduct must be in Aryavarta alone).

Pûrv. Which is Âryâvarta?

Sip. The country which is to the east of the Âdarśa, west of Kâlakavana, south of the Himâlaya, and north of the Pâriyâtra. Those Brahmans in this country of the Âryas who do not store up riches (lit. who keep only so much grain as is contained in a jar), who are not greedy, who do good disinterestedly, and who without any effort are conversant with a certain branch of knowledge are the worshipful Sishţas,

Pûrv. If, then, the Sishtas are an authority as regards language, what function does the Ashtadhyayî (Pâṇini's grammar) perform?

SID. The purpose of the Ashtadhyayî is to enable us to find out the Sishtas.

Pûrv. How is it possible to find out the Sishtas by means of the Ashtadhyayî?

Side. A student of the Ashtådhyåyî finds a man who has not studied the book using words just as they are taught in the Ashtådhyåyî. He then thinks, "Verily, this man possesses some good luck or innate nature by means of which, though he has not studied the Ashtådhyåyî, he uses words just as they are taught in that book. Verily he knows other words also" [not taught in the Ashtådhyåyî, such as guigt]. Thus, the purpose of the Ashtådhyåyî is to enable one to find out who is a Sishta [in order that he may refer to him and learn such words as do not obey the rules laid down by Pånini, but still are correct].

Here then we have the clearest possible evidence that Sanskrit was the vernacular of holy or respectable Brahmans of Âryâvarta or Northern India, who could speak the language correctly without the study of grammar. The corrupt language mentioned by Patanjali which was composed of correct and incorrect words, that is, a dialect like the Pali, must, therefore, have been the vernacular of the other classes. And this is what you may say even with regard to the modern vernaculars. Who is it that speaks good or correct Marathi? Of course, Brahmans of culture. The language of the other classes is not correct Marathi. The word Sishta may be translated by "a man of education or culture;" and this education or culture has, since remote times, been almost confined to Brahmans. Thus the dialects of the inscriptions of Asoka and the Pali were the vernaculars of the non-Brahmanic classes; but a greater importance must evidently have been attached to them in the times of Asoka than is now assigned to the Marâthî of the non-Brahmanic classes, since they are used by him in the inscriptions. They are, however, not recognized as independent languages by our grammarians who treated them as we treat the Marathi of the lower classes; but they were in use and bore the same relation to Sanskrit that low Marathi does to high Marathi, the English of the lower classes in England to the speech of the higher. And the English of the lower classes contains, as we have seen, a great many such grammatical forms as "I knowed," and "you says," along with others that are correct.

It is on account of the mixture of such correct words and forms with others that were incorrect that the Pâli was not regarded as an independent dialect. The case was different with the Prakrits. They assumed a more settled and fixed character, and were used over a larger area, and thus came to be considered and treated as separate languages. But the propagators of Buddhism, who, like all teachers of a new religion, addressed themselves to the lower or uneducated classes, soon raised this speech into importance. They wrote their religious works in it, and in the course of time it became their sacred language; but it is unknown in India as an independent tongue. From these passages in the Mahabhashya, therefore, it is clear that it is by no means true, as is generally supposed, that Sanskrit had ceased to be a spoken language when the Pâli arose. two varieties of speech existed side by side; but as education and culture retrograded the number of Sanskrit speakers gradually decreased, and the lower variety went on spreading itself over a wider area, and developed into the Pråkrits.

This contemporaneous existence of the Sanskrit and the Pâli and the Prâkrits as the spoken languages of two classes of the community explains, and is therefore confirmed by, several facts that we noticed before. If when the Pâli arose Sanskrit became a dead langrage, it could not influence the grammar of the low dialects. Though words from a language that has ceased to be spoken may be adopted into a spoken dialect, the grammar remains intact. Even a living language, if it is foreign, does not affect it. But we have seen that the gradual disappearance of verbal forms is a phenomenon common to both Sanskrit and the Prâkrits, and that the latter derived some grammatical forms from the former, which they did not possess when they were in the stage represented by the Pali. This could not have been the case if the two languages, Sanskrit and Pâli, had not existed as two varieties of the varnacular of a homogeneous community. The fact that Sanskrit words are so greatly corrupted as to be difficult of recognition and are set down as Desyas by the native grammarians, while others can easily be traced to their original forms, also points to the same conclusion. Those that are greatly corrupted were early adopted into the language, and removed from subsequent influence. Most of the others, that exhibit only the ordinary changes, are such as denote elementary notions, and must there-

fore have been adopted as early as those of the first sort. But because they were such elementary words, they were heard again and again in their original forms as used by the higher classes; and thus a further corruption was prevented; and they exhibit only such changes as were necessitated by the vocal habits of the Prakrit speakers. Another fact is the use of Sanskrit for the higher characters in the plays, and of Prakrit for women and the lower ones. This supposes that when the idea first originated, and for a considerable period subsequent to it, though not afterwards when dramatic plays were written more according to rule than with a view to exhibit any existing state of things, women and persons in a low condition could understand Sanskrit, but not speak it. It was the same with Sanskrit then, as it is with Marathi, for instance, now. Chitpâvnî or Mâlvanî woman speaks in her own dialect when conversing with an educated Maratha, but understands the standard Marathi that he uses, though she cannot speak it hereself. this is the case everywhere; a person in a low condition understands what is said to him by one belonging to the higher classes. but cannot himself speak like him, and must use his own variety of the Thus then at the time, when the earliest Sanskrit dramatic plays were written, that language must have been in vernacular use to such an extent as to be intelligible to uneducated persons. And that educated dramatic characters do actually speak it shows that those whom they represent used it in real life. Again, the dramas were composed to entertain an audience, and were actually acted. If the audience did not understand Sanskrit, as well as Pråkrit, the poet defeated his own purpose by making some of his characters speak in that language.

Sanskrit went on gradually losing ground, the number of those who spoke it grew smaller and smaller, and after a time it ceased to be used by anybody for the ordinary concerns of life, though even now Pandits carry on their disputations in it. But at all times it has been acting the parent to the vernaculars and adorning and enriching them. The ancient Pråkrits borrowed everything from it when it was in vernacular use; but the moderns mostly adopt such words as express abstract notions. There is, however, an apparent difference in the manner in which the borrowed words were treated by the Pråkrits, and are treated by the modern dialects. A Sanskrit word when used by a Pråkrit-speaking person was changed according to his habits of pronunciation; but in modern times it is preserved in a state of purity. This, however, is due to the fact that in modern

times the educated classes as well as their uneducated brethren speak the vernaculars, and it is the former that pronounce the word properly. But the latter, and often women belonging to the former, corrupt it. Now the Prâkrit was, as I have shown, for a long time the language of precisely these persons. It is their pronunciation that is recorded by the Prakrit grammarians and poets. In some provinces in modern times even the higher classes mispronounce the Sanskrit words adopted into the vernaculars, and also in reading Sanskrit books. But in the printed books the correct orthography is used. As I have observed in a previous lecture and at the beginning of this, some of the vocal habits of the Prakrit speakers have come down to their modern descendants; but not all. The lapse of time and other causes have generated other peculiarities. Hence a Sanskrit word in these days is not corrupted in exactly the same way as in ancient times; and thus we get what are called modern Tadbhavas. Thus, then, as the Sindhî and the Bangâlî cannot but pronounce Sanskrit मोख as मोक्ल, and the Hindustani as मोछ, or the average Gujarâtî, the Sanskrit word मीन as मोन and जैन as जैन, so did his old Prâkrit ancestors. It should be borne in mind that the Prâkrit corruptions of Sanskrit words were not necessarily caused their continual use for a number of ages, but were due in a good many cases to the vocal peculiarities of used them. Most of those words that represent only the ordinary changes are words of this class, i. c. corruptions simply represent, as I have above observed, transformation which they underwent in the mouth of a Prakrit speaker. At all times Sanskrit words have been coming into the popular languages, but in old times they were pronounced in a manner natural to the men of those times, and thus became Prakrit words; and now they are pronounced in some cases in the same manner, but in a great many in another, and thus they become modern Tadbhavas; while the educated classes often, though not always, pronounce them correctly, and thus we have modern Tatsamas. This is the way to account for the fact that there are so many pure Sanskrit words in our vernaculars, while there were but a few in the old Prakrits; and we need not connect their introduction with the expulsion of Buddhism and the selfish schemes of the Brahmans, with which it has nothing to do, as is done by one writer.

We will now try to form some idea of the chronology and historical causes of the several linguistic changes we have noticed.

The later or classical Sanskrit is in the same phonetic condition as the Vedic dialect from which it sprang; and it was for a long succes-If it was so, the sion of centuries spoken by the Indian Aryans. corruptions observable in the other variety of speech or low Sanskrit, as it may be called, must have been due to the vocal peculiarities of another race than that which elaborated the classical from the Vedic Sanskrit. The universal assimilation of conjunct consonants which we observe in the Pali could not have proceeded from the same community that could speak Sanskrit with purity. And such an extensive assimilation we find in the Italian which was formed out of the Latin spoken by the lower classes of the ancient Roman population by the barbarian races that overran the country. As remarked in the opening lecture, phonetic corruption is rapid and extensive when one race learns and adopts the language of The Pâli corruptions, therefore, represent the manner in which a foreign race pronounced the Sanskrit of the Aryans. from such history as we can glean from Sanskrit literature we know that the Aryan race when it emigrated to India came in contact with other races. After a time some of these were incorporated into the Aryan community, and formed its fourth order, known by the name of Sûdras. As long as these Sûdras were in a thoroughly degraded condition and remained distinct from the other three orders, their speech produced no perceptible effect on that of the latter. But after a time this order began to mingle with the rest, principally by means of intermarriages which must have extensively taken place, since some Smritis or Indian law-books allow them under certain circumstances and others prohibit them; so that the orthodox belief of learned Pandits at the present day is that the Kshatriya and Vaisya orders do not exist, and that all those who are not Brahmans are Sûdras. But there are indications that even Brahmanhood did not escape pollution. As this combination proceeded, the Sûdra corruptions of the language came into prominence, and after a time such a language as the Pâli became the ordinary speech of the uneducated. By the time of Asoka, we may suppose, the so-called Asishta or uneducated people, who spoke the incorrect, or corrupt language, comprehended among them the greater portion of the military, trading, and cultivating classes. Professor Childers is of opinion that there are no Des'ya or non-Aryan words in the Pâli. But the Prâkrits do possess some at least; and you will remember that they exhibit other phonetic changes of which the chief is the clision of consonants. Up to the time of As'oka and even to that of Patañjali these phenomena are not observable in the popular speech; though they may have existed in the speech of the very lowest classes. It, therefore, appears that, when this amalgamated community, with Sanskrit and Pâli as the two forms of speech prevailing among the higher and the lower classes, spread over different parts of Northern India from the Himâlayas to the southern confines of the Marâthâ country, they came in contact in the provinces with other races which led to the further corruptions we have been speaking of; and thus the Prâkṛits were formed. These new races while they adopted the language of the conquerors gave them a few of their own words.

Patañjali lived in the middle of the second century before Christ and king Asoka in the middle of the third. Between Patañjali and Kâtyâyana a pretty long time must have elapsed, since in the Mahâbhâshya various readings or emendations in a few cases of the Vârtikas of the latter are noticed and sometimes their interpretations as given by other writers; so that a sort of literature must have grown round the Vârtikas. I am, therefore, inclined to accept the popular tradition which refers Kâtyâyana to the period of the Nandas, i.e., to about the first quarter of the fourth century before Christ. Now we have seen that in the time of this grammarian the Sanskrit language assumed a different form from that it had in that of Pâṇini; and by the time of Patañjali very great reverence had come to be paid to this last author. For in giving the uses of grammar the author of the Mahâbhâshya says that it is the duty of a Brâhman to study the Vedas along with their angas or illustrative Stateras; and of the six angas grammar is the chief. Patanjali is not likely to yield this honour to any other than Pânini's grammar. To account for these and some of the other circumstances noticed by Dr. Goldstücker we must place Pânini about four centuries before Kâtyâyana, i. e. refer him to about the 8th century before Christ. Yaska must have flourished a short time before him. Though the Pâli or an idiom very close to it was the language of the uneducated classes in the times of Kâtyâyana, Aśoka, and Patañjali, still its formation must be dated some centuries earlier, since in its verb it represents what I have called Middle Sanskrit or Sanskrit of Yaska and Panini. Yaska notices local varieties of Sanskrit words, and gives one or two instances. but makes no allusion to any apabhrams'as or corruptions, though from the nature of his work he may be expected to do so; while Kâtyâyana and Patañjali mention them frequently, as we have seen. Even if they existed in his time, therefore, they must have been insignificant and unimportant, and did not enter into the speech of any class of the Aryan society to any appreciable extent. After his time. however, i. e. about the seventh or sixth century B. C., the elaboration of the Pâli, or low Sanskrit as it might be called; began in a decided manner; and the language continued to be spoken up to the time of Till then it did not specifically assume a Prakrit form, though in the inscriptions of Asoka some of the characteristics of a later Prakrit, the Magadhi, were developed, as we have seen, in one province, very likely Magadha itself. The Prakrits must have begun to be formed about that time, but they did not then attain any distinctive character; and the vernacular speech probably did not finally leave the Pali stage till a very long time afterwards. About the time when the inscriptions in the cave-temples were composed, the Pali was, as I have stated, a sacred and literary language. longer and more important of the inscriptions are therefore in that language. But in a good many of the shorter inscriptions, especially of private individuals, we have words exhibiting Prâkrit characteristics.* The growth of the specific Prâkrits, therefore, must be referred to the early centuries of the Christian era; and we may therefore infer that about the time our first dramatic plays were written they were actually the spoken dialects of those classes of the people whose representatives use them in those works. About the sixth or seventh century the Apabhranisa was developed in the country in which the Brajabhasha prevails in modern times; or, if the speeches in that dialect contained in the fourth Act of the Vikramorvasî were really composed by Kâlidása, which, as remarked in a former lecture, we have the gravest reasons for doubting, its growth must be assigned to a somewhat earlier period. Dandin mentions the Apabhrazisa, and a good many verses from Kâvyâdarśa are found in Vâmana's Alazikâravritti; and if this be the same Vâmana that lived at the court of Jayapîda, king of Kasmîr, who reigned from 751 A.C. to 782 A.C., Dandin must have flourished before the eighth century. The modern vernaculars seem to have begun to assume a distinctive character about the tenth century. In the copperplate inscription containing the name of Bhâskarâchârya, dated 1128 Saka or 1206 A. C., which I once

^{*} Such are काणभोअस for काणभाजस्य, पत्रइतिकाश for प्रवितिकायाः, महाभोयस for महाभोजस्य, भयंत for भदन्त, वेथिका for वेदिका, पहिक for प्रतिक &c.

mentioned before, Marathi appears in its specific character, and so also does Hindi in the work of Chand, who flourished about the same time.

And now, gentlemen, I close. It was impossible in the course of these lectures to do justice to the subject without entering into matters which are not interesting, except to those who have already paid some attention to it. Besides, the subject was wide, and I was compelled to compress a great many facts into a small space, but in spite of this and though I frequently omitted large portions of what I had written, the lectures were long and tedious. I am, therefore, obliged to you for the honour you have done me by your presence here, notwithstanding these drawbacks, and my thanks are specially due to those who have attended the course throughout.